

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| LISTOF TABLES  | 4   |
|--|-----|
| LISTOF PLATES (CAPTIONED PHOTOS)   | 5   |
| ACRONYMSAND ABBREVIATIONS  | 6   |
| GLOSSARY OF KEYCONCEPTSAND TERMINOLOGIES   | 7   |
| FOREWORD   | 8   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT  | 11  |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY  |     |
| CHAPTER ONE: COUNTYOVERVIEW  | .14 |
| 1.1 Background   | 14  |
| 1.2 Position and Size  | 14  |
| 1.2.1. Physiographic and Natural Conditions  | 14  |
| 1.2.3 Climatic Conditions  | 14  |
| 1.3.1 Administrative Units   |     |
| 1.3.2 County Government Administrative Wards by constituency   |     |
| 1.3.3 Political Units (Constituencies and Wards)   | 16  |
| 1.4 Demographic Features   |     |
| 1.4.1 Population Size, Composition and Distribution  |     |
| 1.4.2 Population Density and Distribution  | 19  |
| 1.4.3. Population Projection by Broad Age Group  |     |
| 1.4.3 The population of Persons with Disability  |     |
| CHAPTER TWO:PERFORMANCE REVIEW OFTHEPREVIOUSCIDP PERIOD  |     |
| 2.3. Natural ResourceAssessment  |     |
| CHAPTER THREE: SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK   |     |
| 3.0 Overview   |     |
| 3.1.2 Diversifying tourism   |     |
| 3.1.3 Managing human settlement  |     |
| 3.1.4 Conserving the natural environment   |     |
| 3.1.6 Providing appropriate infrastructure   |     |
| CHAPTER FOUR: COUNTY DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES AND STRATEGIES   |     |
| 4.4 Sector Name: Public Administration and Intergovernmental Relations (PAIR)                          |     |
| 4.5 Sector Name: SOCIAL PROTECTION, CULTURE AND RECREATION (SPCR)                                      |     |
| 4.8 Sector Name: EDUCATION   |     |
| 4.9 Flagship Projects  |     |
| 4.10 CIDP Linkages with National Development Agenda, Regional and International Development Frameworks |     |
| CHAPTER FIVE: IMPLEMENTATIONFRAMEWORK  |     |
| 5.0 Overview   |     |
| 5.1 Institutional Framework  |     |
| 5.2 Resource Mobilization and Management Framework   |     |
| 5.4 Asset Management   | 110 |
| CHAPTER SIX: MONITORING, EVALUATIONANDLEARNING   |     |
| 6.0. Overview  |     |
| 6.1 County Monitoring and Evaluation Structure   |     |
| 6.4 DataCollection,AnalysisandReporting  |     |
| 6.6 Evaluation Plan  |     |
| Annex 1: COUNTY FACT SHEET   | 129 |

## LIST OF TABLES



| Table 1:Area (Km2) by Sub-County  | 16  |
|---|-----|
| Table 2:County Government Administrative Wards                                      | 16  |
| Table 3:County's Electoral Wards by Constituency                                    | 16  |
| Table 4:Population Projections (by Sub-County and Sex)                              | 17  |
| Table 5: Population Projections by Age Cohort                                       | 18  |
| Table 6: Population Projections by Urban Area                                       | 19  |
| Table 7: Population distribution and density by Sub-County                          | 19  |
| Table 8: Population Projections by Broad Age Groups                                 | 20  |
| Table 9: Population of Persons with Disability by Type, Age and Sex                 | 21  |
| Table 10: Demographic Dividend Potential  | 22  |
| Table 11: Analysis of County Revenue Sources  | 24  |
| Table 12: Conditional Grant by Development Partners                                 | 25  |
| Table 13: County Expenditure Analysis   | 27  |
| Table 14: Natural Resource Assessment   | 34  |
| Table 15: Sector Development issues   | 36  |
| Table 16: County Spatial Development Strategies by Thematic Areas                   | 51  |
| Table 17: Sector Priorities and Strategies  | 54  |
| Table 18: Sector Programmes ARUD  | 56  |
| Table 19: Sector Priorities and Strategies GECA                                     | 62  |
| Table 20: Sector Programme GECA   | 63  |
| Table 21: Sector Priorities and Strategies EIICT                                    | 66  |
| Table 22: Sector Programmes EIICT   | 67  |
| Table 24: Sector Priorities and Strategies  | 70  |
| Table 25: Sector Programmes PAIR  | 72  |
| Table 26: Sector Priorities and Strategy SPCR                                       | 78  |
| Table 27: Sector Programmes SPCR  | 79  |
| Table 28: Health Sector Priorities and Strategies                                   | 82  |
| Table 29: Sector Programmes HEALTH  | 83  |
| Table 30: Sector Priorities EPWNR   | 86  |
| Table 31: Sector Programmes EPWN  | 88  |
| Table 32: Sector priorities and strategies EDUCATION                                | 91  |
| Table 33: Sector Programmes   | 92  |
| Table 34: Flagship Projects   | 93  |
| Table 35: CIDP linkage with the Big Four National Economic Plan                     | 98  |
| Table 36: CIDP Linkage with County Governor's Manifesto                             | 98  |
| Table 37: Linkage to The UN 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals       | 100 |
| Table 38: Linkage with Kenya Vision 2030, other plans and international obligations | 102 |
| Table 39: Cross-Sectoral Linkages   | 103 |
| Table 40: Key Players in the Implementation of CIDP III                             | 106 |
| Table 41: Financial Resource Requirements   | 107 |
| Table 42: Revenue Projections   | 107 |
| Table 43: Estimated Resource gap  | 108 |
| Table 44: Risk Management   | 111 |
| Table 45: Outcome Indicator Reporting   | 114 |
| Table 46: Evaluation Plan   | 119 |
|   |     |

۲

۲

## LIST OF MAPS/FIGURES



| Figure 5: Trend analysis for Conditional grant by National Government       2         Figure 6: Trend Analysis on Own Source Revenue       2         Figure 7: Trend analysis for other revenue sources       2         Figure 8: Map 3.1.1 AgAriculture       2         Figure 9: Tourism Diversification       2 | 17<br>23<br>25<br>25<br>26<br>26<br>48<br>49 |
|--|--|
|  | 25   |
| Figure 6: Trend Analysis on Own Source Revenue   | 26   |
| Figure 7: Trend analysis for other revenue sources   | 26   |
| Figure 8: Map 3.1.1 AgAriculture   | 48   |
| Figure 9: Tourism Diversification  | 49   |
| Figure 10: Proposed lakefront development for ecotourism in Homa Bay Municipality  | 49   |
| Figure 11: Map3.1.3 Human Settlement Pattern   | 50   |
| Figure 12: Map3.1 Land use   | 50   |
| Figure 13: Map3.1.5 Transport connectivity   | 51   |
|  | 112  |

## ACRONYMSAND ABBREVIATIONS



| ADP    | Annual Development Plan   |
|--------|---|
| AIDS   | Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome                                 |
| ASDSP  | Agriculture Sector Development Support Programme                    |
| CADP   | County Annual Development Plan                                      |
| CBO    | Community Based Organisation  |
| CECM   | County Executive Committee Member                                   |
| CIDP   | County Integrated Development Plan                                  |
| CIMES  | County Integrated Monitoring and Evaluation System                  |
| CoG    | Council of Governors  |
| CS0    | County Statistics Officer CSP County Sectoral Plan                  |
| DANIDA | Denish International Development Agency                             |
| DRM    | Disaster Risk Management  |
| HDI    | Human Development Index   |
| HIV    | Human Immunodeficiency Virus  |
| ICT    | Information and Communication Technology                            |
| IEBC   | Independent Electoral and Boundaries Commission                     |
| KDSP   | Kenya Devolution Support Program                                    |
| KISIP  | Kenya Informal Settlements Improvement Project                      |
| KNBS   | Kenya National Bureau of Statistics                                 |
| KPHCR  | Kenya Population and Housing Census Report                          |
| KPI    | Key Performance Indicator   |
| KUPS   | Kenya Urban Support Program   |
| M&E    | Monitoring and Evaluation   |
| MTEF   | Medium Term Expenditure Framework                                   |
| MTP    | Medium Term Plan  |
| NARIGP | The National Agricultural and Rural Inclusive Project               |
| NCPD   | National Council for Population and Development                     |
| NGO    | Non-Governmental Organisation                                       |
| PESTEL | Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental and Legal |
| PFMA   | Public Finance Management Act                                       |
| PWD    | Person with Disability  |
| SDG    | Sustainable Development Goal  |
| SWG    | Sector Working Group  |
| THS    | Transforming Health Systems for Universal Care Project              |
| UDG    | Urban Development Grant   |
| UIG    | Urban Institutional Grant   |
| UNFPA  | United Nations Population Fund                                      |

۲

۲

## **GLOSSARY OF KEY CONCEPTS AND TERMINOLOGIES**

۲

**Baseline:** An analysis describing the initial state of an indicator before the start of a project/programme, against which progress can be assessed or comparisons made.

**Blue Economy:** The sustainable use and economic development of both aquatic and marine spaces including oceans, seas, coasts, lakes, rivers, and underground water.

**Demographic Dividend:** The potential accelerated economic growth that may result from a decline in a country's mortality and fertility and the subsequent change in the age structure of the population.

**Development Issue:** The key constraint/emerging issue concerning a sector that needs to be addressed or tapped into through various interventions and programmes.

**Flagship/Transformative Projects:** These are projects with high impact in terms of employment creation, increasing county competitiveness, revenue generation etc. They may be derived from the Kenya Vision 2030 (and its MTPs) or the County Transformative Agenda/Long-term Plans, etc. (For further details, please refer to Treasury Circular No. 01/2022).

**Green Economy:** An economy that aims at reducing environmental risks and ecological scarcities as well as enhancing sustainable development without degrading the environment.

**Indicator:** A sign of progress /change that result from a project's intervention. It measures a change in a situation or condition and confirms progress towards achievement of a desired specific result. It is used to measure a project's impact, outcomes, outputs and inputs that are monitored during project implementation to assess progress.

**Integrated Development Planning:** The process of coordinating the efforts of national and devolved levels of government and other relevant stakeholders to bring together economic, social, environmental, legal and spatial aspects of development so as to produce a plan that meets the needs and sets the targets for the benefit of local communities.

**Outcome Indicator:** A specific, observable, and measurable characteristic or change that will represent achievement of the outcome. Outcome indicators include quantitative and qualitative measures. Examples: Enrolment rates, transition rates, mortality rates, etc.

**Outcome:** An intermediate result generated from a number of outputs relative to the objective of a programme or intervention.

**Output:** Products, services or immediate results, tangible or intangible resulting directly from the implementation of activities or applying inputs.

**Performance indicator:** A measurement that evaluates the success of an organization or of a particular activity (such as projects, programmes, products and other initiatives) in which it engages.

**Programme:** A grouping of similar projects and/or services performed by a National/County

Department to achieve a specific objective; Programmes must be mapped to strategic objectives.

**Project:** A set of coordinated activities implemented to meet specific objectives within defined time, cost and performance parameters/deliverables.

**Public Participation:** Is the process where individuals, governmental and non-governmental groups influence decision making in policy, legislation, service delivery, oversight and development matters. It is a two-way interactive process where the duty bearer communicates information in a transparent and timely manner, engages the public in decision making and is responsive and accountable to their needs.

**Sector:** Is a composition of departments, agencies and organizations that are grouped together according to services and products they provide. They produce or offer similar or related products and services, and share common operating characteristics.

**Sustainable Development:** The development that meets the needs of the present, without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

**Sector Working Group:** Is a technical working forum through which government departments and partners/stakeholders consult on sector issues and priorities.

**Target:** A result to be achieved within a given time frame through application of available inputs.

۲



## FORWARD





H.E. GLADYS WANGA GOVERNOR, HOMA BAY COUNTY

۲

he County Integrated Development Plan 2023 – 2027 is the economic blueprint that bears the dream and aspirations of the people of Homa Bay County. This, to a great extent point in one direction, HOPI and FAITH for good governance and better delivery of public services as espoused in the "GENOWA manifesto. Besides the local aspirations, this plan integrates national, regional, and global developmen agendas.

۲

In developing this plan, the County Government adopted a robust all-inclusive public participation mode where engagements with the people started from the villages, sub-locations, locations, wards, sub-county all the way to the county. The structured multi-stakeholder public participation process recognized challeng es in implementing the previous CIDP and identified ongoing and new priorities/projects. Importantly, the implementation of the proposed new projects will be monitored and oversighted by project managemen committees nominated by members of the community.

The mission of the County government is to "Transform Homa Bay County into the Best County to Live Invest and Work" to achieve this, we have provided development priorities and strategies that would drive the county back to a sustainable growth and recovery path.

As stated earlier, this plan has taken into account National, Regional, and Global development agendas including the Global targets in the current Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Agenda 2063 of the African Union and the National Long-term Vision 2030 and its Fourth Medium Term Plan (MTP 4) of the Kenya Kwanza Government, and the draft Budget Policy Statement. The alignment and linkage of the CIDI 2023 - 2027 to these development frameworks is critical as it provides essential benchmarks to our own development agenda.

The CIDP 2023 - 2027 is being formulated at a delicate time of transition for the county Governments c Homa Bay when newly elected and appointed officials are taking over office. However, my administration has hit the ground running, and delivering this CIDP is one of the first key assignments. This plan provide: a framework for accelerated and inclusive economic growth, improvement of living standards, improver governance, effective delivery of public service, and creation of enabling environment for the private secto to do business. Instructively, therefore, the plan has clear goals and objectives to be pursued; well-defined priorities and strategies and development programmes; an implementation plan with clear outcomes; a monitoring and evaluation framework; and precise reporting mechanisms. In addition, the plan provides for (a) Institutional frameworks, which include an organization chart, required for the implementation of the plar and for addressing the county's internal transformation needs; (b) investments and development initiative (including infrastructure, physical, social, economic and institutional development) that are to be implement ed as informed by the strategies and programmes; (c) County flagship projects, including projects, plans and programs to be implemented within the county by State organs and development partners; and (d) ker performance indicators set by the county.

Finally, we recognize that we will require resources to implement this plan; this includes financial, technical human, and natural resources. The county will harness the diverse skills available within Homa Bay count, and leverage technical expertise from partners and the national government to achieve the goal of this plan. We will equally develop robust financial resource mobilization strategies, including enhancing our owr source revenue by digitizing revenue collection and campaign for partnerships and better relations with donors to bridge identified resource gaps.

I hope we can all come together to ensure we deliver the promise for a better and prosperous Homa Bay County.

Thank you,





## **CIDP - THE DEPUTY GOVERNOR'S FORWARD**





H.E HON JOSEPH OYUGI MAGWANGA DEPUTY GOVERNOR HOMA BAY COUNTY

۲

ounty Government, a creation of the Constitution under article 176 (1), is an important governir structure for the equalization and attraction of real investment through the creation of an enablir environment, mobilization of resources, and the empowerment of the people for poverty alleviation.

۲

The CIDP like a navigation compass, provides a dedicated clear direction to take in achieving our objective Our county aspires to, among other issues, deal with food security, poor health indicators, poverty, Illiterat scarcity of water and sanitation, and many more.

To achieve all the above, the County government will ensure the staff is motivated, provide the necessa equipment, and develop policies and procedures for the success of all the plans.

Our teams in the various sectors have articulated the plans, means, and ways that these will be achieved. agriculture and livestock for example, the teams seek to move from rain-fed agriculture into irrigation; sh from oxen-driven land tilling into real mechanization, and address food safety issues through collaborativ efforts with the farmers for quality assurance across all value chains.

We (I) foresee a county that is safe, healthy, food secure, has sufficient clean water, infrastructure endower educated, and finally, culturally, politically, economically, and environmentally conscious.

These are the principles that will underpin our work for the next five years. But, these are not ambitions the we can simply talk into being, we must support our CIDP with actions that will assure success. Transparent and accountability will lead the core of the activities planned because, without them, we cannot succeed.

Lastly, we need everybody; the national government, our partners, our county teams, and, all the Homa Ba people, everyone playing their roles to the best of their ability.

We have got a lot to do in the next five years. But, the ambitions set out in this plan will ensure we are bus with the right work: making a difference in people's lives.





## SPEAKER'S REMARKS





HON JULIUS GAYA SPEAKER, HOMA BAY COUNTY ASSEMBLY

۲

he provision of the Kenyan Law requires that the allocation of resources commence with planning, which is the stage where considerations are made on development priorities, projects, and programmes intended to be pursued in the next five years.

۲

County plans form the basis of all budgeting and spending, and the CIDP, therefore, is a prerequisite in the planning process to guide, harmonize and facilitate development.

The role of the Assembly, which is representation, legislation, and oversite is critical, not only in the preparation process of the CIDP but also in the implementation.

The County Assembly of Homa Bay will endeavor to support the implementation of this 2023 - 2027 CIDP. We will not tire in our role and will ensure that all the proposed projects and Programmes in this CIDP are implemented for the benefit of the people of Homa Bay.

I wish to appreciate the effort made by the County Executive headed by H.E. Governor Gladys Wanga and the Deputy Governor Hon. Oyugi Magwaga for the tremendous effort they put into ensuring that the development of the CIDP was an intensely inclusive process. The robust public participation, which began from the village all the way to the County is commendable. A majority of the people of Homa Bay feel confident that their views and aspirations were captured during the public participation process.

Thank you and let's make Homa Bay great again.

Hon. Speaker





## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT



SOLOMON OBIERO COUNTY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER – FINANCE AND ECONOMIC PLANNING

۲

This third-generation CIDP has been developed amidst enormous transitional challenges. However, the spirit and will of the people of Homa Bay have remained steady. For the first time since the advent of devolution, the people of Homa Bay truly participated in the preparation of the development blueprint through the robust all-inclusive public participation forum that began from the villages, sub-locations, locations, wards, sub-county, and the county. Several consultative public participation forums were held across Homa Bay County, where citizens and stakeholders reviewed the performance of the previous CIDP and shared their ideas regarding the programs they wanted the government to implement in the next five years. The stakeholders included Honourable Members of the County Assembly, National government officials, Civil Society Organizations, county staff, the Private Sector, organizations for PLWDs, Women, Youth, Professionals, and other non-state actors.

۲

I want to thank most sincere Her Excellency the Governor, Gladys Wanga, for initiating the structured multi-stakeholder public participation process and participating in the public engagements forums, in addition to providing the political goodwill and support for the effective delivery of this CIDP. Similarly, I would also like to sincerely thank the Deputy Governor, His Excellency Oyugi Magwanga, the entire County Executive Committee and our staff for supporting the development of this CIDP.

The Department's Directorate of Planning and Budgeting coordinated the process of developing this Third CIDP (2023-2027); their selflessness and steadfast resolve to serve in the difficult transition period cannot go unmentioned. More specifically, the sector economist deserves a pat on the back.

We can also not fail to particularly recognize the Sector Working Group and the CIDP Secretariat for their immense contribution to the success of this process.

Finally, I would like to sincerely thank the Consultants from Africa Optimal Limited for their exemplary work in providing technical support in preparing this document. Your level of professionalism and technical expertise is indeed remarkable.

As a Department, we will continue to engage the stakeholders and our partners in all development planning and budgeting processes as our primary commitment to ensuring deepened public participation and inclusivity in the affairs of the County Government of Homa Bay County.





## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**





( )

This County Integrated Development Plan III (CIDP III) provides a five-year development plan that integrates the long-term spatial, sectoral, and urban plans with inputs from the Governor's manifesto, national government plans, and programs. This document reviews the County's performance over the last five years and the views and expectations of other development actors. More importantly, it contains the aspirations of the people of Homa Bay captured through robust public participation.

This CIDP III sets the priorities and will guide county government spending over the next five years. However, this CIDP III has been formulated at a delicate time of transition for the County Government of Homa Bay when newly elected and/ or appointed officials are taking over office. The incoming administration, nonetheless, has focused on strategies and programmes that would propel Homa Bay County to new development heights through innovative and transformative development frames that hold the agenda and hope for the great people of Homa Bay County "GENOWA."

The plan consists of Six Chapters, organized as follows:

#### **Chapter One – Overview of Homa Bay County:**

This Chapter presents the County profile, which includes the background information; position and size; physiographic and natural conditions; administrative and political units; demographic features; and human development index. This Chapter provides information critical to the planning and use of County resources.

#### Chapter Two - Performance Review of the Previous CIDP Period

The review entails the achievements in the various sectors as depicted in the project's initiatives and challenges encountered, emerging issues, and lessons during the implementation period. Specifically, the Chapter analyzes the County revenue sources, budget expenditure, and sectors' programme performance review in the implementation period. The Chapter also assesses the natural resources and sector development issues, including course, constraints, and opportunities.

Some key findings during the implementation of the 2013-17 CIDP indicate that it achieved very little of the planned programmes and projects. In many instances, some projects were only on paper but were never implemented on the ground. In addition, some projects were executed outside the CIDP, with very lean capital sourcing and revenue generation with almost 100% reliance on National Government Funding, grants, and donations from development partners; the lack of innovative capital funding and revenue generation strategies and bad governance (especially manifest corruption) and unrealistic implementation mechanisms contributed to the poor implementation of CIDP II.

#### **Chapter Three - Spatial Development Framework**

This Chapter presents a spatial development framework for the County that defines the general trend and direction of the



۲





spatial development of the various sectors in the County. It is the County's plan to coordinate settlement patterns and economic activities for sustainable socio-economic development as envisioned in key policy documents such as Vision 2030, the fourth Medium Term Plan (MTP-IV), and the National Spatial Plan 2015 – 2045.

#### Chapter Four: Development Priorities, Strategies, and Programmes

This Chapter presents the development priorities and strategies for implementation during the 2023-2027 planning period. In addition, the Chapter outlines the underlying process undertaken to enhance evidence-based and multi-stakeholder engagement in priority setting, including (i) the Governor's manifesto; (ii) sector and community priorities; (iii) sector flagships; and (iv) cross-sectoral integrated flagships analysis. The key issues covered in this Chapter include sector development priorities and strategies; sector programmes and flagships; CIDP III Linkages with National Development Agenda, Regional, and International Development Frameworks; and Cross-Sectoral Linkages.

#### **Chapter Five: Implementation Framework**

This Chapter provides the framework for the successful implementation of the CIDP III. The approaches specify how the implementation process will be structured, the resources required, and how those resources will be mobilized and managed. The system also sets the necessary pathways to ensure success in all activities appropriate for each stage. The Chapter includes the following, institutional framework for the implementation of the CIDP, which have clear organizational structures, rules, and formal norms for the service provisions; resource mobilization and management framework, which includes sector financial resource requirements, revenue projections, and expected resource gaps as well as resource mobilization and management strategies. The Chapter also covers asset and risk management.

The County Budget required for fully implementing this CIDP III stands at KSh 47.8 billion. This amount is distributed among the eight MTEF sectors: Agriculture, Rural, and Urban Development at KSh. 8.436 billion; General Economic and Commercial Affairs at KSh. 1,694 billion; Energy, Infrastructure, and ICT at KSh. 11.282 billion; Health at KSh. 10.158 billion; Education at KSh. 3,258 billion; Public Administration and Governance at KSh. 2.519 billion; Social Protection, Culture and Recreation at KSh. 1.337 billion; and Environmental Protection, Water and Natural Resources at KSh. 9,078 billion.

#### Chapter Six: Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning

This Chapter outlines how the plan will be monitored and evaluated during and after its implementation. The M&E processes, methods, and tools are guided by Section 232 of the Constitution and all the legal provisions for M&E, CIMES Guidelines, Kenya Norms and Standards for M&E, and Kenya Evaluation Guidelines. The Chapter highlight: The proposed M&E structure; data collection, analysis, reporting, and learning; M&E outcome indicators tracking; and dissemination and feedback mechanism.



۲

Page 13

۲

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



### **CHAPTER ONE:** COUNTY OVERVIEW

۲



Homa Bay County is an ethnically homogenous county inhabited by the Luo community. However, there are a few tribes like Abasuba-speaking people in Suba South and other ethnic communities found within the major urban centres like Oyugis, Kendu Bay, and Homa Bay municipality. The County's capital is situated in Homa Bay municipality, which is also the most significant urban centre in terms of population. The major economic activities are agriculture (livestock, crop production, and fisheries), medium and small-size trade, mining, and quarrying, among others.

The County is home to the famous Ruma National Park and has 16 islands with unique flora and fauna, and an impressive array of physiographic features with great aesthetic value of nature. The County is a member of the 14-member Lake Region Economic Block (LREB) and a champion of Blue Economy development.

#### **1.2 Position and Size**

۲

Homa Bay County is one of the 47 Counties in Kenya and lies between latitudes 0°15' South and 0°52' South and between longitudes 34° East and 35° East. The county covers an area of 4,267.1 Km2 inclusive of the water surface, which on its own covers an area of 1,227 km2.

The county is located in South Western Kenya along Lake Victoria, where it borders Kisumu and Siaya counties to the North, Kisii and Nyamira counties to the East, Migori County to the South, and Lake Victoria and the Republic of Uganda to the West.

#### **1.2.1 Physiographic and Natural Conditions**

The County is divided into two main relief regions, namely the lakeshore lowlands and the upland plateau with a number of rivers, namely Awach Kibuon, Awach Tende, Maugo, Kuja, Rangwe and Riana rivers, most of which originate from Kisii and Nyamira counties. The climate is inland equatorial, with temperatures ranging from a mean annual minimum of 17.1°C to a mean maximum of 34.8°C, with rainfall amounts of between 250mm and 700mm per annum.

#### **1.2.2 Physical and Topographic Features**

Homa Bay County is divided into two main relief regions: the lakeshore lowlands and the upland plateau. The lakeshore lowlands lie between 1,163 – 1,219 metres above sea level and comprise a narrow stretch bordering Lake Victoria, especially in the northern parts of the County. The upland plateau starts at 1,219 metres above sea level and has an undulating surface resulting from an ancient plain's erosion. It is characterized by residual highlands such as Gwassi and Ngorome hills in Suba, Gembe and Ruri Hills in Mbita, Wire Hills in Kasipul, and




Figure 1: Location of the County in Kenya

Homa hills in Karachuonyo. Kodera forest in Kasipul and the Kanyamwa escarpment that runs along the borders of Ndhiwa and Mbita, also form part of the upland plateau. To the west of the County lies the Lambwe Valley, where Ruma National park is located. The County is dissected by a number of rivers, namely Awach Kibuon, Awach Tende, Maugo, Kuja, Rangwe, and Riana rivers, most of which originate from Kisii and Nyamira counties. There are also several seasonal rivers and streams that originate from the highlands within the County. The County has 16 islands with unique fauna and flora and an impressive array of physiographic features with great aesthetic value as well as breath-taking scenery and forested landscape, particularly those around the islands and the coast of Lake Victoria and a peninsula like Sikri of Mbita sub-county.

#### **1.2.3 Climatic Conditions**

۲

Homa Bay County has an inland equatorial type of climate. The climate is, however, modified by the effects of altitude and nearness to the lake, which makes temperatures lower than in equatorial climates. There are two rainy seasons: the long rainy season from March to June and the short rainy season from August to November. The rainfall received in the long rainy season is 60 percent reliable and ranges from 250 – 1000 mm, while 500 –700 mm is received in the short rainy season. The County receives an average annual rainfall ranging from 700 to 800mm. Temperatures in the County range from 18.6°C to 17.1°C, with







hot months being between December and March. February is usually the hottest month of the year. The temperatures are, however, lower in areas bordering Kisii and Nyamira highlands and higher in areas bordering the lake.

#### **1.2.4 Ecological Conditions**

Agricultural activities in the County vary with the seven Agro-ecological Zones, namely:

- I. Upper Midland (UM1), coffee-tea-zone which occupies southern parts of Kasipul and Kabondo Kasipul sub-counties where tea and coffee are grown.
- II. Upper Midland (UM3), a marginal coffee zone, covers Gwassi hills of Suba sub-county. Maize, millet, pineapples, sorghum, sunflower, and tomatoes grow well in this zone.
- III. Upper Midland (UM4) sunflower-maize zone covers areas surrounding Gwassi hills of Suba as well as Ndhiwa and Nyarongi areas of Ndhiwa sub-county. It supports maize, soya beans and pineapples.
- IV. Lower Midland (LM2) marginal sugar zone occupies parts of Ndhiwa, Homa Bay Town, Rangwe, Kasipul, and the north of Kabondo Kasipul sub-counties. This zone supports green grams, millet, sorghum, tobacco, sunflower,


sugarcane, beans, pineapples, sisal, and groundnuts.

- V. The lower midland (LM3) cotton zone occupies parts of Homa Bay Town and Rangwe sub-counties. It is fitting for growing maize, sorghum, cow peas, ground nuts, beans, soya, sweet potatoes, sunflower, sesame, green grams, rice, and vegetables.
- VI. Lower Midland (LM4) marginal cotton zone occupies a strip along the west of Karachuonyo, central Mbita, and Gwassi areas of Suba sub-county. It supports the growth of cotton.
- VII. Lower Midland (LM5) livestock-millet zone occupies S.W. Suba, Rusinga and Mfangano islands, Lambwe Valley, and Gembe and Kasgunga areas of Mbita sub-county. It supports livestock rearing and millet growing

#### **1.3 Administrative and Political Units**

#### **1.3.1 Administrative Units**

The County has eight sub-counties namely Homa Bay Town, Rachuonyo East, Rachuonyo South, Rachuonyo North, Ndhiwa, Rangwe, Suba North, and Suba South. There are 24 Divisions, 130 Locations and 297 Sub-locations lie on 3150.3 Km<sup>2</sup>. of land.



۲

Figure 2: The map of Homa Bay County's Administrative and political units





۲



According to the 2019 Population and Housing Census, the county had a population density of 359 persons per square kilometre, which is projected to increase to 421 persons per square kilometre by the year 2027.

#### Table 1:Area (Km2) by Sub-County

| SUB COUNTY      | NO. OF<br>DIVISIONS | NO. OF<br>Locations | NO. OF SUB<br>Locations | AREA IN<br>KM2 |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Rachuonyo South | 3                   | 13                  | 25                      | 256.1          |
| Rachuonyo East  | 2                   | 15                  | 35                      | 250.9          |
| Rachuonyo North | 4                   | 23                  | 59                      | 435.4          |
| Homa Bay        | 2                   | 23                  | 59                      | 182.0          |
| Rangwe          | 2                   | 7                   | 19                      | 274.1          |
| Ndhiwa          | 6                   | 29                  | 49                      | 711.4          |
| Suba North      | 3                   | 11                  | 27                      | 406.3          |
| Suba South      | 2                   | 9                   | 24                      | 634.1          |
| Total           | 24                  | 130                 | 297                     | 3,150.3        |

KNBS report (2019)

۲

## **1.3.2 County Government Administrative Wards by constituency**

Homa Bay County has eight (8) Sub-counties that correspond to the eight (8) Electoral Constituencies and 40 Electoral Wards. The County Government of Homa Bay is yet to delineate village administrative units as per Section 52 of the County Government Act, 2012. The table below indicates the sub-locations which would likely form the Villages. Notably, the Constituencies do not have equal number of Wards and Sub-Locations (Villages). To ensure equity in the distribution of County Resources, the County Government will promote development proportionate to size and population density.

#### Table 2:County Government Administrative Wards

| SUB COUNTY      | NO. OF WARDS | NO. OF<br>Sub-locations |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Rachuonyo South | 5            | 25                      |
| Rachuonyo East  | 4            | 35                      |
| Rachuonyo North | 7            | 59                      |
| Homa Bay        | 4            | 59                      |
| Rangwe          | 4            | 19                      |
| Ndhiwa          | 7            | 49                      |
| Suba North      | 5            | 27                      |
| Suba South      | 4            | 24                      |
| Total           | 40           | 297                     |

#### **1.3.3 Political Units (Constituencies and Wards)**

The forty (40) wards in the Eight (8) constituencies are as indicated in the table below.

| Table 3:County's Electoral Wards by Constituency           CONSTITUENCY         COUNTY ASSEMBLY WARD         TOTAL |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Kasipul  | West Kasipul<br>South Kasipul<br>Central Kasipul<br>East Kamagak<br>West Kamagak                                    | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kabondo Kasipul  | Kabondo East<br>Kabondo West<br>Kokwanyo/Kakel<br>Kojwach   | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rachuonyo  | West Karachuonyo<br>North Karachuonyo<br>Kanyaluo<br>Central Karachuonyo<br>Kibiri<br>Wangchieng'<br>Kendu Bay Town | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homa Bay Town  | Homabay Central<br>Homabay Arujo<br>Homabay West<br>Homabay East  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ndhiwa   | Kwabwai<br>Kanyadoto<br>Kanyikela<br>Kabuoch South/Pala<br>Kanyamwa Kalogi<br>Kanyamwa Kosewe<br>Kabuoch North      | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rangwe   | West Gem<br>East Gem<br>Kagan<br>Kochia   | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mbita  | Mfangano Island<br>Rusinga Island<br>Kasgunga<br>Gembe<br>Lambwe  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suba   | Gwassi South<br>Gwassi North<br>Kaksingri West<br>Ruma Kaksingri  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

IEBC 2022

۲

#### **1.4 Demographic Features**

#### **1.4.1 Population Size, Composition and Distribution**

According to the 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census, the county population was 1,131,950 persons consisting of 539,560 males, 592,367 females, and 23 intersexes. This population was domiciled in 262,036 households, with 260,290 categorized as conventional households and 1,746 being group quarters. The county had a population density of 3150.3 people/Km2 and an annual population change of 1.6 % in ten years (2009-2019). The county's annual growth rate stands at 1.6% against the national average of 1.9%. The growth is currently a result of a high fertility rate that stands at 3.6% against the





national average of 3.4%. This is attributed to low use of modern contraception methods by married women, which stands at 48.5%. The Department of Health will enhance investment in reproductive health to promote socio-economic development. Of the total population, 1,018,871 representing 90%, lived in rural areas within 262,036 households, while 113,079 representing about 10%, lived in urban areas within 32,024 households.

Among the eight sub-counties in the county, Ndhiwa Sub-county had the highest projected population of 232,868 people at the start of the planning period in 2022, while Homa Bay has the lowest projected population of 125,370 people in 2022. Across all the Sub-counties, the female population is higher than the male population by an average the margin of four percent (4%) with Suba North Sub-County has the lowest the difference in population between males and females of 1.4 percent.

Where M is male, F is female, and T is Total.

Homa Bay County has a youthful, active population which accounts for 29 percent of the total population (0-14 years) according to the Population and Housing Census 2019. This population structure demands higher investments in education, health, and in creating employment opportunities for harnessing the demographic dividend.

#### 7

| Table 4:Population Projections (by Sub-County and Sex) |         |             |         |         |                 |         |         |             |         |                 |         |        |
|--|---------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------|--------|
|  | (       | CENSUS 2019 |         |         | 2022 PROJECTION |         |         | 25 PROJECTI | ON      | 2027 PROJECTION |         |        |
| SUB COUNTY   | м       |             | т       | м       |                 | т       | М       |             | т       | М               |         | т      |
| Homabay  | 55,756  | 61,681      | 117,439 | 61,504  | 63,852          | 125,370 | 64,634  | 67,965      | 132,611 | 66,875          | 70,843  | 137,72 |
| Ndhiwa   | 103,706 | 114,422     | 218,136 | 114,397 | 118,449         | 232,868 | 120,218 | 129,076     | 246,316 | 124,387         | 131,418 | 255,82 |
| Rachuonyo North  | 85,403  | 93,273      | 178,686 | 94,214  | 96,555          | 190,754 | 99,008  | 102,775     | 201,770 | 102,441         | 107,127 | 209,55 |
| Rachuonyo East   | 57,709  | 64,111      | 121,822 | 63,658  | 66,367          | 130,049 | 66,898  | 70,642      | 137,560 | 69,217          | 73,634  | 142,86 |
| Rachuonyo South  | 61,663  | 69,151      | 130,814 | 68,020  | 71,584          | 139,649 | 71,481  | 76,196      | 147,713 | 73,960          | 79,422  | 153,41 |
| Rangwe   | 55,404  | 62,325      | 117,732 | 61,116  | 64,518          | 125,683 | 64,226  | 68,674      | 132,941 | 66,453          | 71,582  | 138,07 |
| Suba North   | 60,530  | 64,406      | 124,938 | 66,770  | 66,672          | 133,376 | 70,168  | 70,967      | 141,078 | 72,601          | 73,973  | 146,52 |
| Suba South   | 59,383  | 62,998      | 122,383 | 65,505  | 65,215          | 130,648 | 68,838  | 69,416      | 138,193 | 71,225          | 72,355  | 143,52 |

۲

100

5 - 9 0 - 4

Source: KNBS Census 2019



۲

95 - 99 90 - 94 85 - 89 80 - 84 75 - 79 70 - 74 65 - 69 60 - 64 55 - 59 50 - 54 45 - 49 40 - 44 35 - 39 30 - 34 25 - 29 20 - 24 15 - 19 10 - 14



Male

Female

| 114,422 | 218,136 | 114,397 | 118,449 | 232,868 | 120,218 | 129,076 | 246,316 | 124,387 | 131,418 | 255,823 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 93,273  | 178,686 | 94,214  | 96,555  | 190,754 | 99,008  | 102,775 | 201,770 | 102,441 | 107,127 | 209,557 |
| 64,111  | 121,822 | 63,658  | 66,367  | 130,049 | 66,898  | 70,642  | 137,560 | 69,217  | 73,634  | 142,869 |
| 69,151  | 130,814 | 68,020  | 71,584  | 139,649 | 71,481  | 76,196  | 147,713 | 73,960  | 79,422  | 153,414 |
| 62,325  | 117,732 | 61,116  | 64,518  | 125,683 | 64,226  | 68,674  | 132,941 | 66,453  | 71,582  | 138,072 |
| 64,406  | 124,938 | 66,770  | 66,672  | 133,376 | 70,168  | 70,967  | 141,078 | 72,601  | 73,973  | 146,523 |
| 62,998  | 122,383 | 65,505  | 65,215  | 130,648 | 68,838  | 69,416  | 138,193 | 71,225  | 72,355  | 143,527 |
|         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |



#### Homa Bay County Population Pyramid - 2019 Census





#### **Population Projections by Age Cohort**

The county population is dominated by young people, with 71.8% below 30 years and 44.5% below 15 years who need to be supported by the working force as the dependency ratio stands at 87 dependents for every 100 people in the working age (18-64).

The young age structure shows that males and females are their childbearing age; hence the county population will continue to grow. CIDP III identifies the young the population as a productive asset and endeavours to invest in education, health, pro-growth, and job-creation economic reforms.

#### **AGE COHORT 2019 CENSUS 2022 PROJECTION 2025 PROJECTION** 2027 PROJECTION Μ Μ 0-4 78631 77663 155694 86,301 173,055 88,366 85,725 174,091 90,098 87,485 86,754 177,583 5-9 83119 83842 166961 82,318 84,647 166,965 81,979 86,815 168,794 83,053 86,414 169,467 181334 10-14 90967 90367 79,992 83,318 163,310 80,129 84,271 164,399 79,952 85,685 165,637 15-19 68870 67917 136787 74,948 77,954 152,902 76,424 82,337 158,761 76,570 82,980 159,549 20-24 43397 52595 95992 67,017 68,772 135,789 71,258 73,705 144,963 72,244 76,610 148,854 25-29 32978 42526 75504 61,476 63,883 64,249 53,050 54,587 107,637 125,359 67,150 131,399 30-34 31462 42841 74303 36,724 38,023 74,748 44,849 46,697 91,546 50,221 52,747 102,967 35-39 25557 24384 49941 26,213 27,091 53,304 29,334 30,764 60,098 34,460 36,348 70,807 40-44 21424 22575 43999 21,999 22,564 44,563 22,496 23,409 45,905 24,483 25,794 50,276 45-49 14437 17715 20,406 40,252 20,187 32152 17,062 17,625 34,686 19,846 20,993 41,180 13315 13,022 50-54 10261 23576 12,314 25,336 13,575 14,211 27,786 15,271 15,959 31,230 55-59 10083 9,835 14417 24500 8,845 9,482 18,327 10,660 20,496 10,614 11,429 22,044 60-64 9141 12654 7,331 7,682 7,390 15,403 21795 15,013 6,692 14,082 7,275 8,128 65-69 7191 10161 17352 6,020 6,335 12,355 5,890 6,564 12,454 5,616 6,444 12,060 70-74 5894 8340 14234 5,435 4,241 5,036 9,277 4,248 5,210 9,458 5,825 11,260 75-79 2811 4583 7394 3,610 4,050 7,660 3,932 4,948 8,880 3,492 4,580 8,073 2103 3451 5556 5,552 5,933 5,148 5,893 11,040 6,398 11,525 80+ 11,485 5,128 680,354 647,161

۲

#### Table 5: Population Projections by Age Cohort

Source: KNBS Census 2019



۲



۲



The projected population for 2027 is 1,327,513, a 17.3% increase from the 2019 census outcome. The male population projection for the year 2027 is 647,161 and 680,354 for females, representing a percentage increase against the 2019 male and Female Population. Children in the age cohorts of 0 to 14 years form the majority according to the 2019 census and projections, hence the need to formulate a development plan in regard to this age group.

According to KNBS (2019), the county has relatively smallsized towns and low levels of urbanisation of 44,949, which is below 50,000 populations, with Homa Bay town being the most significant urban centre in Homa Bay county, by population. Unplanned urbanisation dominates major towns in the county. The county will institutionalise urban management to promote coordinated and planned urban development through the spa-

### county with rich and hig

۲

and 2023, respectively. Homa Bay County is an agricultural county with rich and high potential areas showing densities of 511, 306,193, and 486 in Rachuonyo south, Ndhiwa, Suba South, and Rachuonyo East, respectively. This reduces the potential of arable land due to land sub-divisions. The county government has prioritised the promotion of modern agricultural technologies to enhance agricultural productivity for food security and nutrition and employment creation and to safeguard agricultural areas from competing enterprise development.

tial plan. There have been proposals to establish three more

According to 2019 (KPHC), the county had a population density of 359 persons per square kilometre, which is projected to

increase to 393 and 405 persons per square kilometre by 2022

municipalities to improve service delivery in the county.

**Population Density and Distribution** 

### Table 6: Population Projections by Urban Area

| URBAN AREAS    |        | CENSUS 2019 |        | 202    | 22 PROJECT | TION   | 2025 PROJECTION |        |        | 2027 PROJECTION |        |        |
|----------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|------------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|
|                | М      | F           | Т      | М      | F          | Т      | М               | F      | Т      | М               | F      | Т      |
| Homabay        | 21,440 | 23,507      | 44,949 | 22,954 | 25,240     | 48,194 | 24,574          | 27,102 | 51,676 | 25,718          | 28,418 | 54,136 |
| Oyugis         | 9,286  | 10,661      | 19,947 | 9,942  | 11,447     | 21,389 | 10,643          | 12,291 | 22,934 | 11,139          | 12,888 | 24,027 |
| Kendu Bay      | 2,920  | 3,144       | 6,064  | 3,108  | 3,356      | 6,464  | 3,308           | 3,583  | 6,891  | 3,448           | 3,742  | 7,190  |
| Ndhiwa         | 2,182  | 2,580       | 4,262  | 2,322  | 2,754      | 5,076  | 2,472           | 2,940  | 5,412  | 2,577           | 3,071  | 5,648  |
| Mbita          | 7,166  | 7,748       | 14,916 | 7,627  | 8,271      | 15,898 | 8,118           | 8,829  | 16,947 | 8,462           | 9,221  | 17,683 |
| Sindo          | 4,866  | 5,420       | 10,286 | 5,179  | 5,786      | 10,965 | 5,512           | 6,176  | 11,688 | 5,746           | 6,451  | 12,197 |
| Rodi Kopany    | 3,760  | 4,362       | 8,122  | 4,002  | 4,656      | 8,658  | 4,259           | 4,970  | 9,229  | 4,440           | 5,191  | 9,631  |
| Nyandiwa Beach | 1,949  | 2,084       | 4,033  | 2,074  | 2,225      | 4,299  | 2,208           | 2,375  | 4,583  | 2,302           | 2,480  | 4,782  |

#### Table 7: Population distribution and density by Sub-County

| SUB COUNTY      | 2019 CENSUS            |            |         | 20                     | 22 PROJECTI | DN      | 2025 PRC   | JECTION | 2027 PROJECTION |         |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------|---------|------------------------|-------------|---------|------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
|                 | Area(KM <sup>2</sup> ) | Population | Density | Area(KM <sup>2</sup> ) | Population  | Density | Population | Density | Population      | Density |
| Homabay         | 182.0                  | 117,439    | 645     | 182.0                  | 125,370     | 688.85  | 132,611    | 728.6   | 137,729         | 756.8   |
| Ndhiwa          | 713.5                  | 218,136    | 306     | 713.5                  | 232,868     | 326.4   | 246,316    | 345.2   | 255,823         | 358.5   |
| Rachuonyo North | 435.4                  | 178,686    | 410     | 435.4                  | 190,754     | 438.1   | 201,770    | 463.4   | 209,557         | 481.3   |
| Rachuonyo East  | 250.9                  | 121,822    | 486     | 250.9                  | 130,049     | 518.3   | 137,560    | 548.3   | 142,869         | 571.2   |
| Rachuonyo South | 256.1                  | 130,814    | 511     | 256.1                  | 139,649     | 545.3   | 147,713    | 576.8   | 153,414         | 599.0   |
| Rangwe          | 274.1                  | 117,732    | 429     | 274.1                  | 125,683     | 458.5   | 132,941    | 485.0   | 138,072         | 503.7   |
| Suba North      | 406.3                  | 124,938    | 307     | 406.3                  | 133,376     | 328.2   | 141,078    | 347.2   | 146,523         | 360.6   |
| Suba South      | 634.1                  | 122,383    | 193     | 634.1                  | 130,648     | 206.0   | 138,193    | 217.9   | 143,527         | 226.3   |

Page 19

۲







#### **Population Projection by Broad Age Group**

| AGE GROUP  | AGE GROUP CENSUS2019 |        |        | PROJECTI | ON 2022 |        | PROJECTION2025 |        |        | PROJECTION 2027 |        |        |
|--|----------------------|--------|--------|----------|---------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|
|  | М                    | F      | Т      | М        | F       | Т      | М              | F      | Т      | М               | F      | Т      |
| Infant Population<br>(<1 Year                        | 14085                | 14647  | 28132  | 86754    | 86301   | 173055 | 88366          | 85725  | 174091 | 90098           | 87485  | 177583 |
| Under 5 Population                                   | 78031                | 77663  | 155654 | 86754    | 86301   | 173055 | 88366          | 85725  | 174091 | 90098           | 87485  | 177583 |
| Pre-School<br>(3-5 Years)                            | 50464                | 50192  | 100656 | 82318    | 84643   | 166965 | 81979          | 86815  | 168794 | 83053           | 86414  | 169467 |
| Primary School<br>(6 – 13 Years)                     | 136435               | 137230 | 273667 | 162310   | 167965  | 330275 | 162107         | 171086 | 333193 | 163005          | 172100 | 335104 |
| Secondary School<br>(13 – 19 Years)                  | 104248               | 111318 | 215566 | 154941   | 162271  | 316212 | 156552         | 166608 | 323161 | 156521          | 168665 | 325186 |
| Youth (15 – 29 Years)                                | 145245               | 163038 | 308283 | 195015   | 201313  | 396328 | 209157         | 219925 | 429082 | 213063          | 226740 | 439803 |
| Women of Reproduc-<br>tive Age<br>(15 – 49 Years)    | 0                    | 270553 | 270553 | 0        | 306616  | 306616 | 0              | 341201 | 341201 | 0               | 362621 | 362621 |
| Economically Active<br>Population (15 – 64<br>Years) | 267610               | 310939 | 578549 | 325503   | 336803  | 662306 | 355785         | 373462 | 729247 | 375572          | 398137 | 773710 |
| Aged (65+)   | 19832                | 29556  | 49389  | 20617    | 22144   | 42741  | 19211          | 22441  | 41652  | 18484           | 22632  | 41116  |

۲

Source: 2019 Census Report (KNBS)

۲

**Pre-School- Age-group (0–5 years):** The population in this age bracket was 155,654 persons in the year 2019. It is projected to grow to 177,583 in 2027.

To ensure that no child is left behind in the education system, there is a need to encourage the establishment of School Feeding Programmes in Early Year Schools (EYS) and increase Early Year Education (EYE) classes within the County to help absorb the increasing number of children in that age bracket. The growth rate also calls for investment in primary health care to reduce child mortality. There is equally the need to collaborate with the private sector to enhance access to education.

**School-going age group:** The population in the Primary school years (6 – 13 years) stood at 273,667, while (13-19) years for secondary school was 215,566. These populations were projected to grow to 335,104 and 525,186, respectively. These have implications for the existing school facilities, including human resources. Increased investment in physical infrastructures and human resources is needed to enhance a good learning environment and quality education. Additionally, there is a need to invest in social protection, promote the transition rate, and reduce the gender parity gap.

Youth Population Age-group (15-29): The 2019 census puts the number of persons in this age bracket as 308,283. This is

projected to rise to 439,803 persons by 2027. This population is vital in the County's labour force and economic development. Therefore, there is a need for investment in vocational and technical training centres for skill development. In addition, the County should also support this population cohort to build profitable enterprises.

**Women of Reproductive - age-group (15-49 years):** The number of women in this age group was 270,553 in the year 2019, representing a good percentage of the total women population, and is expected to increase to 362,621 women by 2027. This childbearing population influences county growth and development and settlement pattern within and outside the County. This calls for investment in reproductive health and primary healthcare facilities. In addition, there is a need for affirmative action in the various sectors to ensure their socio-economic productivity.

**Economically active population - Age- group (15–64 years):** In 2019, the population in this age group was 578,549 persons, which comprised almost half of the population. This is projected to increase to 773,710 persons by 2027. This population provides the workforce within the County and calls for investment in value-addition technologies and the support and development of the informal sector (Jua Kali) to enhance the County's Gross Domestic Product and reduce the unemployment rate in the County. The County Government should also







support innovations and entrepreneurship. Investment in ICT will also improve various aspects of economic development in this population cohort.

**The Elderly age group (65+ Years):** This population was 49,389 in 2019. This is projected to reduce to 41,116 persons by the year 2027. There is need to ensure the elderly receive proper health care services to prevent mortality. There is also a need to increase Older Persons' Cash Transfers.

#### The population of Persons with Disability

Homa Bay County has a disability prevalence of 4.3%, the second highest in the country. This is manifested in hearing, speech, mental, physical, and self-care. CIDP III identified the need for socio-economic inclusion of PLWD in planning, budgeting, implementation, and monitoring of sectors' programmes/projects for equity and equality. This will promote the provision of rehabilitative services and assistive devices in health facilities.

According to the 2019 census, 2.2% (0.9 million people) of Kenyans live with some form of disability. The 2019 census shows a sharp drop in disability prevalence; the 2009 census states 3.5%, but when looking at the same age threshold (i.e., adults and children above five years), the 2009 disability prevalence rate was 3.8%. The 2019 census indicates that 1.9% of men have some kind of disability compared with 2.5% for women. For comparison, the 2009 census reported 3.4% of men and 3.5% of women had a disability; again, when looking

at the same age threshold (i.e., adults and children above five years of age), 3.7% of men and 3.9% of women had a disability. There are more people with disabilities living in rural than urban areas. Analysis of prevalence rates by residence shows 2.6% (0.7 million) of people in rural areas and 1.4% (0.2 million) of people in urban areas have a disability. The 2009 census reports 3.8% of rural populations and 3.1% of urban populations had a disability.

Analysis of disability by domain reveals that mobility is the most commonly reported difficulty, experienced by 0.4 million Kenyans, which represents 42% of people with disabilities. The other domains of disability – seeing, hearing, cognition, selfcare, and communication – are experienced by 12% to 36% of people with disabilities. Albinism is a condition experienced by 0.02% of Kenya's population.

Sub-national analysis of the national disability rate of 2.2% reveals a divergence in disability prevalence rates across counties. The highest prevalence rates of disability were recorded in the central, eastern, and western parts of the Country. Embu County (4.4%) has the highest prevalence rate, followed by Homa Bay (4.3%), Makueni (4.1%), Siaya (4.1%), and Kisumu counties (4%). Counties with the lowest disability prevalence rates are found in North-Eastern Kenya and Nairobi. Wajir has the lowest, with 0.6%. Homa Bay County, in the report by NCP-WD (Demographic Survey), 2015, had 200,000 people living with disability (PWDs), including deaf, blind, epilepsy, mentally challenged, Autism, Downs Syndrome (ADS), intellectual and emotional disability.

| TYDEO     |      | 0-14  |       |     | 15-24 |      |     | 25-34 |      |      | 35-54 |      |      | 55+  |       |
|-----------|------|-------|-------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| TYPES     | М    | F     | Т     | М   | F     | Т    | М   | F     | Т    | М    | F     | Т    | М    | F    | Т     |
| Hearing   | 4527 | 5760  | 10287 | 643 | 576   | 1219 | 359 | 438   | 797  | 453  | 591   | 1045 | 1062 | 2365 | 3427  |
| Speech    | 2584 | 2181  | 4765  | 452 | 322   | 774  | 284 | 235   | 519  | 278  | 157   | 435  | 268  | 655  | 923   |
| Visual    | 7556 | 11122 | 18678 | 951 | 1077  | 2028 | 627 | 920   | 1547 | 1326 | 2289  | 3615 | 2634 | 5202 | 7836  |
| Mental    | 4488 | 7339  | 11827 | 647 | 683   | 1330 | 482 | 734   | 1216 | 661  | 1286  | 1947 | 1086 | 3252 | 4338  |
| Physical  | 6709 | 12148 | 18857 | 617 | 638   | 1255 | 393 | 820   | 1413 | 1242 | 2110  | 3352 | 2857 | 7312 | 10169 |
| self-care | 2523 | 3145  | 5668  | 295 | 238   | 533  | 219 | 224   | 443  | 304  | 282   | 586  | 687  | 1649 | 2336  |

۲

#### Table 9: Population of Persons with Disability by Type, Age and Sex

Source: 2019 census Report (KNBS)

۲



Page 21

#### **Demographic Dividend Potential**

Demographic dividend (DD) presents an opportunity to accelerate economic growth and achieve sustainable development and social change. The demographic window of opportunity for the County opens in 2046. This suggests that the County needs to put more effort into the health, education, and economic opportunities for the population, coupled with efforts to reduce the high dependency ratio to get the benefits of the demographic dividend

Homa Bay County's population in 2019 was enumerated at 1,131950. The population is projected to grow to 1,327,513 in 2027. The proportion of children under the age of 15 was 44.5% in 2019. The fertility rate is projected to drop from 3.6 at the start of the plan period to 3.3 in 2027. Consequently, young dependents will drop from 41% in 2023 to 38.6% by 2027. The falling proportion of young dependents will enable the County to edge closer to the threshold of 30% for the demographic dividend window of opportunity to open. The proportion of the working-age population in the County is projected to increase from 43% in 2023 to 46.3% in 2027. Despite the slight increase in the working age, there is a need to plan and work to attain the demographic dividend. The DD window of opportunity in Homa Bay County is projected to open in 2046.

The key pillars of demographic dividends are Health and Well-being, Education and Skills Development, Employment and Entrepreneurship, Human Rights, Governance, and Youth Empowerment. Homa Bay County needs to approach the attainment of demographic dividend as an interrelated system in which multiple sectors work together to create a favourable policy environment. Among other things, the County will need to continue strengthening its efforts in supporting reproductive health and family planning to achieve better levels of health and fast-track opening of the window of opportunity. In addition, investments in education and economic initiatives to facilitate human capital development and a productive labour market will enable the County to harness its potential for attaining the demographic dividend.

#### **Human Development Index**

Homa Bay County performs below the national average on

most socio-economic indicators. The County scores 0.46 on the Human Development Index (HDI)—a composite measure of development that combines life expectancy, educational attainment, and income indicators. This is below the national average of 0.56. In the wake of devolution, the County continues to experience poor health services, a poor transition from primary to secondary and to tertiary, lack of access to affordable housing among the low-income group, among other essential services. A majority of the population is employed in small-scale trade, agricultural and fishing activities, and civil service in County and national governments.

#### **Poverty and Livelihood Shocks**

Poverty levels in Homa Bay county stand at 48% compared to the national poverty indicator at 45%. Poverty in the County manifests itself in other socio-economic outcomes such as poor nutrition, health, education, and lack of essential services. The primary livelihood activities include medium size and smallscale trade, fisheries, and rain-fed small-scale farming. These practices are highly vulnerable to environmental degradation and the effects of climate change. The high population growth has equally exerted pressure on natural and ecological resources such as fisheries, forests, water, and land. This has led to the depletion of soil fertility and land fragmentations, and over-exploitation of fish stock.

The Constitution of Kenya, 2010, in Article 27, recognizes that measures should be put in place to encourage affirmative action programmes and policies to address past inequalities. Economic and social rights for all are also recognized in Article 43. These include the right to health care services, adequate housing, and sanitation, adequate food of acceptable quality, clean and safe water, and appropriate social security for vulnerable groups.

Given the high poverty rate and the poor HDI score, the CIDP III focuses on pro-poor policies and approaches targeted at poverty alleviation, equitable wealth creation, and the creation of an environment in which individuals can be holistically productive.

| CATEGORY               | 2019    | 2023    | 2024    | 2025    | 2026    | 2027    |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Population Size        | 1131950 | 1231659 | 1254921 | 1278183 | 1302848 | 1327513 |
| Population below 15(%) | 44.5    | 41      | 40.3    | 39.7    | 39.1    | 38.6    |
| Population15-64(%)     | 51.1    | 43      | 43.8    | 44.6    | 45.5    | 46.3    |
| Population Above 65(%) | 4.4     | 3.4     | 3.3     | 3.3     | 3.1     | 3       |
| Dependency Ratio       | 95.6    | 103.3   | 99.6    | 96.2    | 93.1    | 90.2    |
| Fertility Rate         | 3.6     | 3.4     | 3.4     | 3.4     | 3.3     | 3.3     |

۲

۲

#### **Table 10: Demographic Dividend Potential**

Source: census 2019 (KNBS)



۲



# **CHAPTER TWO:** PERFORMANCE REVIEW OF THE PREVIOUS CIDP PERIOD

۲



#### 2.0 Overview

This chapter provides a review of the implementation of Homa Bay County's previous CIDP 2018-22. It presents an analysis of county performance regarding revenues, expenditures, key outcomes, and the significant challenges faced in implementing the plan. The Constitution of Kenya 2010 created a two-tier system of governance - a national and devolved county government – that has required a paradigm shift in development planning. Consequently, the Homa Bay County Government has undertaken an enhanced evidence-based process for the CIDP review. This has involved active facilitation and engagement of a wide array of stakeholders and public participation.

The 2018-202 CIDP performance review provides the basis for preparing the 2023-2027 CIDP. Preparation of the plan is in line with Section 104 (1) of the County Government Act 2012, which obligates County Governments to appropriate resources strictly within a planning framework. The relevance of the activity is underscored by Section 102 (h) of the County Government Act. This review is therefore critical in providing the necessary assessments that explain the extent to which set goals and set targets for the MTEF period 2018-2022 have been achieved.

#### a. Methodology of the Review

۲

The review was a participatory process that was undertaken in all 40 wards of Homa Bay County to provide integrated feedback on implemented programmes and projects in the previous CIDP. This involved sector reviews, Annual Development Plan Reviews, and Budget and implementation reports. The first phase was mainly technical and involved a financial and non-financial sectoral and programmatic assessment of results achieved against original second-generation CIDP targets. The second phase involved public participation and mapping of resources, prioritizing of projects in the wards, setting out of sectoral targets, development of monitoring and evaluation plan and implementation framework.

#### b. Public Participation

Public participation is a constitutional requirement anchored in Articles 1 and 2 of the Constitution of Kenya, 2010, which emphasizes that 'sovereign power belongs to the people' and can be exercised directly or through representation. Article 10 (2) (a) identifies public participation as a value and principle in the Constitution 174 (c) and (d) – the objects of devolution and Fourth Schedule Part 2, Section 14 makes public participation a function of county governments.

Homa Bay County CIDP III adopted a participatory and all-inclusive public participation approach starting from the villages, sub-locations, locations, wards, Sub County, and county. The citizens generated all the documented projects, and their implementation will be monitored and oversighted by project management committees elected by the community.

### Village Cluster

**Dvpt Forum** (3 per sublocation) Consisting of all members of the villages. To elect 8 people to represent them in the Location Forum *Role: To initiate projects* 

### Sub-ward (Location) Forum Consisting of the 8 representatives

from every village cluster To elect 16 members to attend the Ward Forum *Role: To negotiate and identify 10 priority projects* 

## Ward Forum

Consisting of the 16 people from each location. To include16 sectoral representatives identified by Ward Administrator, To elect 16 members to attend the Sub-County forum Role: To debate and confirm the projects

#### Sub-County Forum

Consisting of the 16 members from each ward and 16 sectoral representatives identified by Sub-County administrator, To elect 16 members to attend the County Forum Role: To discuss and pass Sub-county flagship projects



۲

Forum Consisting of the 16 members from each Sub-County, the 16 members elected by the sub-ward (Location) Forum and upto 100 sectoral leaders invited by the Governor. *Role: To approve the* 

projects, to discuss County Flagship Projects and to receive and consider County development status report

Figure 3: Public Participation mode





Page 23



#### 2.1 Analysis of the County Revenue Sources

In the period under review, the Homa Bay County government received funds towards implementing its activities from four main sources: National equitable share, Conditional grants, External grants, and own source revenues and other incomes, mainly being balances brought forward from the previous FYs. Table 11 below summarizes the total County revenues over the review period (i.e., FY 2018/19 to FY 2021/22). During this period, County Government received a total of KShs. 33,994,351,048 consisting of an equitable share of KShs. 26,501,852,646 (78.31%), conditional grants by the National Government of KShs. 956,169,902 (2.81%), conditional grants by Development partners of KShs. 1,214,610,558 (3.57%), own-source revenues of KShs. 971,919,132 (2.87%) and other incomes mostly balance brought forward of KShs. 4,349,789,810 (12.79%). Notably, the actual revenues received are way below the projected amounts totalling to KShs.

#### **Table 11: Analysis of County Revenue Sources**

## 46,204,184,270 leading to a variation of KShs. 12,209,833,222. This variation is majorly because the actual revenue for the final year was not included in this review.

#### 2.1.1 Equitable share

۲

During the period under review, the county received equitable share totalling to KSh. 34,307,205,946 out of a revenue projection of KSh. 35,781,806,600 in the County Allocation of Revenue Acts for the financial under review. The comparison of the two total figures (i.e., the projected revenue vis-a-vis the actual revenue) shows a variance of KSh. 1,474,600,654 which has been entirely reflected in the balances brought forward. The trend analysis below also shows that this source of revenue has marginally increased over the years, both for the revenue projections and the actual revenues. It is, however, noted that during the FY 2020/21, the actual received surpassed the projected, which can be explained by the balancing figure brought forward from the previous FY.

| REVENUE PROJECTION  | (KSHS. MILLION) |                             |  |                       |   |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| FINANCIAL YEARS     | EQUITABLE SHARE | CONDITIONAL<br>GRANTS (GOK) | CONDITIONAL GRANTS<br>(DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS) | OWN SOURCE<br>REVENUE | OTHER SOURCES (BALANCES<br>BROUGHT FORWARD) |
| FY1                 | 6,688,200,000   | 444,954,827                 | 416,987,531                                  | 172,996,417           | 744,200,675                                 |
| FY 2                | 6,741,450,000   | 380,624,069                 | 599,801,103                                  | 177,591,524           | 1,070,112,000                               |
| FY3                 | 6,741,450,000   | 395,535,074                 | 309,416,619                                  | 250,329,938           | 1,276,685,784                               |
| FY 4                | 7,805,353,300   | 153,297,872                 | 454,463,421                                  | 319,493,592           | 886,748,289                                 |
| FY 5                | 7,805,353,300   | 153,297,872                 | 984,763,738                                  | 772,957,301           | 458,120,024                                 |
| Total               | 35,781,806,600  | 1,527,709,714               | 2,765,432,412                                | 1,693,368,772         | 4,435,866,772                               |
| ACTUAL REVENUE (KSH | IS. MILLION)    |                             |  |                       |   |
| FINANCIAL YEARS     | EQUITABLE SHARE | Conditional<br>Grants (Gok) | CONDITIONAL GRANTS<br>(DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS) | OWN SOURCE<br>REVENUE | OTHER SOURCES (BALANCES<br>BROUGHT FORWARD) |
| FY1                 | 5,618,088,000   | 189,495,832                 | 250,442,504                                  | 151,458,569           | 0   |
| FY2                 | 6,161,685,300   | 288,782,726                 | 448,598,903                                  | 274,595,613           | 945,088,179                                 |
| FY3                 | 7,321,214,700   | 324,593,472                 | 360,288,819                                  | 233,869,476           | 1,052,585,289                               |
| FY4                 | 7,400,864,646   | 153,297,872                 | 155,280,332                                  | 311,995,474           | 2,352,125,342                               |
| FY5                 | 7,805,353,300   |                             | 401,676,856                                  | 491,496,550           | 844,743,261                                 |
| Total               | 34,307,205,946  | 956,169,902                 | 1,616,287,414                                | 1,463,415,682         | 5,194,542,071                               |


Source; County Treasury

۲


















#### 2.1.2 Conditional Grant by National Government

۲

The County Government continues to receive the support of the national government through the receipt of loans and conditional grants, the notable grant being the leasing of medical equipment. For the period under review, the County had a total projection of KSh. 1,527,709,714 as loans and conditional grants, out of which the County has received KShs. 956,169,902 leading to a variation of KShs. 571,539,812. Figure 5 shows a trend analysis of conditional grants by the NG, and it shows the highest receipt being KShs. 324,593,472 recorded in FY 2020/21, with the lowest receipt being KShs. 153,297,872 recorded in the FY 2021/22 accounting for only leasing of medical equipment grant. To maximize this revenue source, the report recommends alignment of programmes with those of the NG and working closely with the NG to ensure the implementation of NG MTP IV plans for the County.

#### 2.1.3 Conditional Grant by Development Partners

From the table 12 below, it is clear that the highest Conditional Grant by Development Partners is the Road fuel levy of KShs. 582,273,634, followed by KUSP-UDG of KShs. 333,484,270. It is also prudent to note that the county received more grants in FY 2020/21 of KShs. 828,924,945, this was necessitated by COVID-19 interventions countrywide. Notably, some conditional grants are one-off payments like KISIIP and UN-Habitat, while others have ended, such as THS.

As a critical revenue source, the County Government will seek to diversify this revenue by enhancing its external resource mobilization efforts and bringing additional donor funds and grants on board. Similarly, the County Government will strive to comply with donor funding requirements such as enhancing allocations towards the line departments, e.g., health, agriculture, and climate change, and making budgetary provisions for county contributions that will ultimately trigger disbursements by donors and development partners. More importantly, the current administration will work to ensure that donor confidence is regained through the prudent utilization of conditional grants and loans and proper implementation of donor-funded projects through the newly created Directorate of Stakeholder Management and Public Participation under the Department of Stakeholder Management, Public Participation, Special Projects, and Disaster Management.

#### **Own- Source Revenue**

The County generated a total of KSh. 1,463,415,682 from its internal revenue sources against a projection of KSh. 1,693,368,772 during the period under review. This actual collection included Appropriation-In-Aid generated by the health services department. An in-depth analysis of OSR shows an upward trend regarding revenue projections; however, the actual revenues also have an upward trend





۲

though not linear; this is demonstrated in the FY 2019/20, where the actual revenues exceeded the projected revenue of the same FY.

The growth is attributed mainly to the improved operating business environment, targeted economic stimulus interventions by the County Government, and continuous efforts to recover from the adverse effects of the Covid-19 pandemic. In addition, the New Administration has earmarked its Own-Source Revenue (OSR) generation and collection as a key priority area. The formation of a task force to review systems and processes in this area was to ensure optimal collections and reduce and mitigate pilferages and low revenue banking. The task force recommended cashless collection through the use of technologically-enhanced methods of revenue collection while also ensuring minimal downtimes in the collection machines (POS), ensuring that revenue collectors bank directly all revenues collected to avoid revenue leakages, utilization of enforcement officers across the County to enhance compliance and boost collections among others, all in an effort to optimize OSR collection from now on.

#### **Other Sources**

۲

For the period under review, the County has budgeted with cash balances in a particular FY as "other receipts" in successive FYs these being late exchequer release. Notable outliers on revenue projections recorded in this period are KSh. 1,276,685,784 being the highest amount in FY 2020/21, with the lowest amount being KSh. 458,120,024 recorded in the FY 2022/23. On the actual revenue side, the highest figure is KSh. 2,352,125,342, and the lowest being KSh. 844,743,261. This cash balance brought forward has always been appropriated in the supplementary budgets and approved for expenditure by the County Assembly.

Receipts brought forward have meant underutilization of resources, especially for development projects. It is therefore recommended that going forward in the next planning phase, the county department of finance hould ensure that:

- All conditions precedent to the project identification is duly fulfilled, including land acquisition and meeting of other stakeholder requirements such as counterpart contribution;
- ii. Detailed designs are completed, and other approvals which are applicable are obtained;
- iii. The project has obtained all the necessary regulatory approvals;
- iv. Detailed resource requirements, including funding sources and personnel to operationalize the project, are planned for and;
- v. Project details are captured in the relevant Public Investment Management Information System.



Figure 6: Trend Analysis on Own Source Revenue

۲





#### **County Budget Expenditure Analysis**

The overall budget expenditure at the county level for the review period, including A.I.A., was KSh. 40,417,931,311 allocated to the eight MTEF sectors against a target of KSh. 46,204,184,270 including expenditures for the F.Y. 2022/23. Recurrent expenditure was at KSh. 28,223,541,028 against a target of KSh. 29,558,551,259, while development expenditure amounted to KSh. 12,194,390,283 against a target of KSh. 16,765,990,774. There was a net under expenditure of KSh. 5,906,610,722 that can be attributed to low absorption, especially of development expenditures.





## Table 13: County Expenditure Analysis

| SECTORS   | FINANCIAL YEARS | TOTAL ACTUAL RECURRENT EXPENDITURE<br>(KSHS. IN MILLIONS) | TOTAL ACTUAL DEVELOPMENT<br>Expenditure (KSHS. In Millions) | TOTAL         |
|-----------|-----------------|---|---|---------------|
|           | FY1             | 261,693,940   | 135,032,999   | 396,726,939   |
|           | FY2             | 235,216,562   | 210,144,840   | 445,361,402   |
| ARUD      | FY3             | 142,701,000   | 293,678,058   | 436,379,058   |
|           | FY4             | 235,381,993   | 553,739,218   | 789,121,211   |
|           | FY5             | 319,890,418   | 605,378,252   | 925,268,670   |
|           | FY1             | 108,137,936   | 373,479,126   | 481,617,062   |
|           | FY2             | 97,308,756  | 592,417,203   | 689,725,959   |
| EIIT      | FY3             | 53,484,176  | 1,459,675,871   | 1,513,160,047 |
|           | FY4             | 82,963,105  | 1,063,378,125   | 1,146,341,230 |
|           | FY5             | 101,132,358   | 971,735,693   | 1,072,868,051 |
|           | FY1             | 181,359,450   | 75,825,709  | 257,185,159   |
|           | FY2             | 196,461,490   | 225,098,927   | 421,560,417   |
| GECA      | FY3             | 128,684,262   | 59,809,000  | 188,493,262   |
|           | FY4             | 117,226,628   | 204,476,274   | 321,702,902   |
|           | FY5             | 172,558,833   | 157,092,700   | 329,651,533   |
|           | FY1             | 1,804,039,189   | 94,253,983  | 1,898,293,172 |
|           | FY2             | 2,111,394,976   | 418,286,506   | 2,529,681,482 |
| HEALTH    | FY3             | 2,176,681,219   | 667,999,147   | 2,844,680,366 |
|           | FY4             | 2,745,253,329   | 316,034,649   | 3,061,287,978 |
|           | FY5             | 2,642,607,001   | 436,624,659   | 3,079,231,660 |
|           | FY1             | 504,565,752   | 47,028,799  | 551,594,551   |
|           | FY2             | 415,005,743   | 125,821,574   | 540,827,317   |
| EDUCATION | FY3             | 513,958,815   | 94,576,635  | 608,535,450   |
|           | FY4             | 514,397,811   | 93,953,077  | 608,350,888   |
|           | FY5             | 881,452,956   | 65,473,709  | 946,926,665   |
|           | FY1             | 1,927,176,218   | 149,891,985   | 2,077,068,203 |
|           | FY2             | 1,747,454,403   | 358,420,957   | 2,105,875,360 |
| PAIR      | FY3             | 1,969,011,015   | 211,439,027   | 2,180,450,042 |
|           | FY4             | 2,320,968,633   | 178,108,259   | 2,499,076,892 |
|           | FY5             | 2,531,711,261   | 234,521,882   | 2,766,233,143 |
|           | FY1             | 69,728,041  | 27,316,594  | 97,044,635    |
|           | FY2             | 45,024,179  | 151,537,298   | 196,561,477   |
| SPCR      | FY3             | 41,095,671  | 91,784,154  | 132,879,825   |
|           | FY4             | 47,900,000  | 329,178,148   | 377,078,148   |
|           | FY5             | 88,930,106  | 102,241,196   | 191,171,302   |
|           | FY1             | 158,611,271   | 88,956,343  | 247,567,614   |
|           | FY2             | 126,739,118   | 211,863,040   | 338,602,158   |
| EPWNR     | FY3             | 89,161,005  | 312,406,068   | 401,567,073   |
|           | FY4             | 103,158,872   | 183,645,776   | 286,804,648   |
|           | FY5             | 124,430,307   | 160,425,074   | 284,855,381   |

۲

Source: County Treasury

Page 27

۲







The overall percentage (%) allocation per sector has changed from 2018/19 to 2022/23. However, the trend for allocation per sector has nearly maintained in the same period. The analysis also shows that the PAIR sector has the highest percentage of recurrent absorption of 97.79% during the review period, followed by the EPWNR sector at 95.27%. ARUD sector had the least recurrent absorption of 87.53%. On the other hand, the ARUD sector absorbed only 48.42% of the development expenditure; the highest percentage of development expenditures is 81.14% from the health sector. ۲

#### 2.3. Sector Programmes' Performance Review Agriculture, Rural and Urban Development.

The Agriculture Rural and Urban Development (ARUD) Sector comprises eight (8) sub-sectors, namely: County Department of Agriculture and Livestock, County department of Blue economy and Fisheries, County Department of Lands, Housing, Urban Development and Physical Planning (CDLHUPP); and 5 County Municipal Boards (CMB).

#### **ARUD Sector Performance**

۲

a. Agriculture: To enhance food security and reduce post-harvest losses, a maize storage facility with a capacity of 11,900 kg bags was constructed and equipped. The sector reported decreased crop production as follows: maize decreased by 32.8% from 175,625 MT in 2017 to 118,072MT in 2022; sorghum decreased by 92.0% from 310,004MT to 24,691MT; sweet potato decreased by 29.6% from 133,040 MT in 2017 to 93667.40MT in 2022. In addition, pineapple production decreased by 48.8% from 90,858.50 MT in 2017 to 46,504 MT in 2022. The decreasing trend in crop production could be attributed to overreliance on rain-fed agriculture that experienced long seasons of dry spells, the invasion of crop pests like armyworms, and population growth. This was below SDG goal 2, target 2.3, aimed at doubling smallholder farmers' agricultural productivity and income. However, horticultural produce increased by 9.8% from 15,582.75 MT to 17, 111.5 MT on average in 2022.

**Input Access:** A total of 72MT of assorted seeds of maize and horticulture and 4 tons of rice were distributed to farmers in the sub-counties. Eight (8) seed bulking sites of grams were established in the sub-counties. Eight hundred (800) micro-projects were approved, 600 micro-projects were funded in 20 wards to promote small-scale production, and 300 farmer field schools were established in 20 wards. Further, 3640 value chain actors were trained in entrepreneurship.

**Irrigation Agriculture:** A total of 730 acres of land were under rice farming, and 31,000 bags were produced, valued at Ksh.558 million.

b. Fisheries and Fish Production: Fish production increased

from 42,000 MT in 2017, valued at KShs9.5 b, to 52 000 MT, valued at KShs12b. Fish farming (aquaculture) production increased from 37MT, valued at KShs8m, to 475 MT, valued at KShs143.6m. This was attributed to the increased number of fish ponds from 1801 to 3226, and fish cage culture was promoted, and currently, 1200 cages have been established. To improve access to quality fish feeds, three fish-feed producers were established, producing 936 MT per year valued at KShs74,880,000 per year.

To enhance the value addition of fish products, various technologies were promoted. These include Two (2) fish processing plants that were established, but only one (1) is currently functional with a capacity to process 30 T per day. However, the plant only manages to process between 3-8 tons due to a decline in Nile Perch fish; 2 fish hatcheries and handling equipment worth 12 545, 000 were distributed to fish farmer groups in Rachuonyo North, Suba North & South, and modernization of 5 fish landing sites equipped with a cold chain and handling equipment. Five (5) breeding sites were demarcated to promote the productivity of capture fish stock in Lake Victoria. The beach management units were sensitized on the protection of demarcated breeding sites to enhance production and sustainability.

To promote hygiene along the beaches, four (4) pit latrines were constructed in Rusinga. World Fisheries Day was held at Rakwaro in Rachuonyo North to sensitize and create awareness of fish farming.

**c. Livestock**: To increase livestock production, 7600 cattle were vaccinated against Lumpy Skin disease, 18,160 cattle, and 2,300 sheep were vaccinated against Black quarter and anthrax, while 700,000 chickens were vaccinated against New Castle Disease (NCD). Two (2) slaughterhouses were rehabilitated in Rachuonyo (Oyugis) and Homa Bay Town to enhance the quality of livestock products.

**d.** Lands, Physical Planning and Urban Development: For the period under review, the department was able to embark on a number of projects and programmes, which included countywide preparation of County Spatial Plan by completing the plan component of establishing and equipping the GIS lab. County Spatial Plan preparation is on-going. Completion of Local Physical and Land Use Development Plan for Oyugis Town 2021-2031. Completion of Akuba market through Symbio-City change project; surveyed and demarcated 18 markets; acquired certificate of lease for Affordable Housing Project; constructed ABMT building in Ndhiwa awaiting completion of ablution block, gate, house, and block shade.

The department selected 8 No. informal settlements, namely;







Sofia, Shauriyako, Makongeni, Rusinga Old Town, A thousand Streets, Nyandiwa, Kendubay Old Town, and Ndhiwa informal Settlements for upgrading through the Kenya Informal Settlement Improvement Programme (KISIP). ۲

To improve urban governance and management, the department delineated and upgraded five towns into municipalities, namely: Homa Bay, Oyugis, Mbita, Kendu Bay and Ndhiwa urban areas.

**e. Homa bay Municipality:** Completion of Homabay Municipality modern market; Upgrading to bitumen-standard the C19 Junction - Tom Mboya University link road; Upgrading to bitumen standard of ABSA bank junction to St. Paul Catholic Church and rehabilitation of Homabay Bus Park, which is ongoing.

#### 2.3.2 General Economics and Commercial Affairs.

The General Economic and Commercial Affairs (GECA) sector comprises trade, tourism, industrialization, cooperatives, and marketing sub-sectors. The sector mandate is to unlock the County's endless potential for wealth, employment creation, poverty reduction, industrial development, and equitable resource distribution.

#### **GECA Sector Performance**

۲

**a. Major achievements:** In the period under review, the sector was allocated a total of KShs1,478,486,695, out which KShs1,188,941,740 was spent towards the following major outputs:

- Continuous training of existing and potential entrepreneurs in partnership with Kenya National Chamber of Commerce and Industry and other development partners;
- ii. Completion and operationalization of 4 modern markets in Homa Bay, Oyugis, Mbita and Nyakwere;
- iii. Completed and fully operationalized Kigoto Maize Milling Plant;
- iv. Improved 185 community markets;
- v. Constructed Arujo Animal Feeds Factory, which is at 50% completion;
- vi. Mapped and documented all investment opportunities in the County;
- vii. Completed Magunga FOSA branch building; and
- viii. Developed Draft County Tourism Development Strategy and Policy.

**b.** Enterprise development services: The County Government embarked on providing a full package of support to local businesses, complete with financing, mentorship, incubation, networking, and access to tools, inputs, and markets. Towards that objective, 290 entrepreneurs were trained, and 279 others access funding.

c. Cooperative development services: The County Government prioritized the revival of dormant cooperatives and revitalization of existing cooperatives. Through NARIG Project, three cotton cooperatives were revived; constructed a FOSA at Magunga; started poultry cooperative societies in all the sub-counties. Also, through NARIGP, banana value chain cooperatives were also started in Rachuonyo East, Rachuonyo North, Rangwe, Ndhiwa, and Suba North sub-counties. Again courtesy of NARIGP there was revival of dairy cooperatives in Ndhiwa Sub-county and formation of Kochia Dairy Cooperative Society; trained cooperative staff on public policy formulation through CLEAR programme by the USAID; 21 cooperative societies received the NARIG inclusion grants ranging from KShs980,000- 1,499,000 for membership recruitment and capacity building on management structures; 13 out of the 21 cooperatives under NARIGP have received investment grants.

d. Trade Infrastructure development services: The County Government focused on improving community markets while also building modern markets in all major urban centres. Towards that end, 4 modern markets were constructed in the County, namely Homa Bay Municipality, Mbita Township, Oyugis Town, and Nyakwere. 145 community markets were improved through fencing, graveling, and construction of toilets and provision of other WASH facilities.

e. Industrial development services: The County Government embarked on establishing a number of industries as flagship projects. A maize milling plant at Kigoto was completed and operationalized, while the animal feed plant at Arujo is at 50% completion level.

**f. Tourism:** The County Government held a mass tourism beauty pageantry in 2018

#### **Energy, Infrastructure, and ICT**

The sector comprises three sub-sectors of Energy, Infrastructures, and ICT, with the aim of providing cost-effective physical and ICT infrastructure facilities and services for sustainable economic growth and development in the County.

#### **EIICT Sector Performance**

a. Infrastructure sub-sector: In the period under review, in their quest to increase access and connectivity, the sub-sector managed to construct 2,463 kilometres of new roads against a target of 1,500 km. To ensure the County has a reliable and sustainable road network, a total of 2,463km of classified roads were Maintained and Rehabilitated. Further, 109 km of road was bituminised against a target of 50km as a result of emergency road interventions and ongoing road construction work by road KeNHA and KURA.





**b. Public Works:** During the period under review, the sub-sector constructed 7 footbridges in order to facilitate access and safety of residents while crossing rivers and streams across the 8 sub-counties.

۲

**c. Transport sub-sector:** The sub-sector implemented programmes/projects aimed at increasing the efficiency of road transport and the safety of passengers and other road users. One (1) bus park was constructed in Oyugis town, Rachuonyo South Sub-county and three (3) guard rails were constructed to improve safety on our bridges. 15 plants and machineries were maintained to accelerate the infrastructure development and rehabilitation in all eight (8) sub-counties.

**d.** Energy Sub Sector: Efforts towards improving access to electricity, the sub-sector managed to connect eight (8) health facilities to the grid against a target of 200. This was attributed to a lack of commitment by the county government to the REREC MOU. In addition, one (1) fuel-powered generator was procured and installed at the referral hospital. On access to a renewable energy source, the sub-sector installed a solar power system in Island in partnership with DREAM GLOBAL EPL, which is 50% complete. Additionally, 80 solar systems previously installed were maintained against a target of 200 solar systems aimed at increasing business hours and improving security.

e. ICT sub-sector: The sub-sector during the period under review was able to establish and equip 1 ICT hub at the county HQ in Homa Bay Central Ward in Homa Bay town Sub County; 20% of sub-counties covered with internet infrastructure; maintained and operationalised county website. In addition, in equipping stakeholders with skills, information, and ICT knowledge, the sub-sector, in collaboration with ICT Authority through the AJIRA Digital programme, managed to train 640 stakeholders, including staff.

**2.3.4: Education Sector:** The Education sector comprises Early Year Education (EYE) services, Human capital development, and vocational training. The sector aimed to provide quality, relevant and inclusive education to promote social cohesion and sustainable development.

#### **Education Sector Performance**

**a.** Early Childhood Development Education: The Gross Enrolment (GE) increased from 80,859 pupils to 85,600 pupils in 2022. This was a 65.85% achievement of the target 7,200 pupils. The high levels of GER are attributed to an increased number of classrooms constructed from 24 to 39 and the sensitisation of parents on positive parenting in all eight sub-counties. The number of ECDE centres stands at 1153 (private 267 and public 886). During CIDP II implementation, 312 additional

teachers were recruited, increasing teachers pupil ratio to 1:50 from 1:58, and this is within the national standard.

**b.** Vocational Training Centres: The Gross Enrolment (GE) increased from 2100 to 3001 in 2022, attributed to the increased number of Vocational Training Centres from 24 to 34 in 2022 and the National government capitation. The number of male students increased from 1321 to 1620 while that of females increased from 779 to 1381, this was due to sensitisation and awareness creation by the lawmakers.

**c.** The Sector also constructed 5 workshops, 2 hostels were constructed, 32 pit-latrines, and renovated 16 VTCs. 30 VTCs were equipped with modern tools and equipment, 28 VTCs were supplied with training materials.

**d.** The number of students receiving bursary awarded increased to 22,120 beneficiaries in 2020/2021 from 5,848 beneficiaries in 2017 while the amount disbursed increased from KShs30 million to KShs103 million.

**The New Administration:** Furthermore, in the current financial year, the Governor has disbursed Bursary Fund totalling Kshs. 100 million to benefit 20,000 needy students. The Scholarship Fund has also been disbursed amounting to Kshs. 30 million to support 240 needy students. Lastly, the current administration has also allocated Kshs 5,172,208.25 to Vocational Training Centres as a capitation grant to ensure quality training in the Vocational Training Centres.

#### **Environmental Protection, Water, and Natural Resources.**

The sector comprises environmental protection, water and natural resources. The sector focused on the conservation and management of forests, water catchments, and management of wetlands, restoration of degraded land, green economy, waste management, pollution control, integrated regional development, water resources management, increase access to water and sanitation and mitigation and adaptation to the effects of climate change among other programmes.

#### **EPWN Sector Performance**

- a. Urban water supply; during the period under review, the county increased the water coverage in urban centres by increasing cubic meters produced per day from 4,700 to 23,400. This was done by expanding Oyugis water supply and rehabilitating Mbita, Homa Bay and Kendu Bay water supply schemes.
- b. Rural water supply; In order to ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all in the rural areas, the department involved the following interventions namely; increasing the number of boreholes from 90 to 146 boreholes which increased the number of beneficiaries by 2,800 households. 7 more springs were also constructed





to benefit 950 households and reduce the fetching distance to 7 km. 7 rural schemes were also rehabilitated increasing the distribution network by 14 km and finally constructing 2 rural gravity schemes. Other additional interventions targeting the rural areas include installation of roof catchment tanks to 5 public schools and construction of 4no. Sanitation blocks were constructed in 2 schools and one public toilet at Rodi Market in collaboration with Amref and Dunea. ۲

- c. Environmental protection & natural resource management: To improve waste management and pollution control services the sector managed to do the following: acquired a dumpsite in Ndhiwa and a holding site in Mbita; procured & installed 149 litter bins in Suba North and Homa Bay Sub-counties. Procured a waste truck; procured 15 skips; and procured 8 noise meters all in bid to enhance waste segregation, recovery, recycling, phase out of dumpsites, and create green jobs, therefore not only fulfilling the SDG 6 but also SDG 8. Rolled out cleaning services in 6 major urban centres (Homabay, Oyugis, Mbita, Kendubay, Rodi and Nyakwere and managed to conduct 2 campaigns on effective solid waste management
- d. Forestry development: During the review period, forest cover increased from 2.6 per cent of the county's land area to 3.1 per cent which is still way below the required national target of 10 percent. This area includes gazetted public forests which are all protected and conserved for their ecological, socio-cultural, educational, research and economic functions. Other interventions employed under this area of ensuring improved environmental conservation included spearheading countywide campaigns in 50 public schools and establishing 200 tree nurseries in support.
- e. Climate change Adaptation: In order to combat climate change and its impacts, the sector has strived to rehabilitate and conserve 5 parcels of land of around 1,500 acres; hold 10 awareness campaigns and ensure formulation, validation and assent of 2 climate change legal frameworks.

#### **Health Sector**

۲

The sector comprises health service delivery, WASH, general administration, and research. The sector is mandated to build a progressive, responsive, and sustainable technology-driven, evidence-based, and client-centred health system for accelerated attainment of the highest health standards for the people of Homa Bay County.

#### **Health Sector performance**

a. Facility-based Maternal Mortality Ratio: The Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) decreased from 75/100,000 to 69/100,000 live births in 2021/2022. This was attributed to the increased number of facilities offering Caesarean Services from 3 to 14 and the construction of 3 more maternity units in Ndhiwa, Rangwe, and Kendu Bay. This was within the SDG target 3.1 of less than 70/100,000 live births and below the national target of 79/100,000 live births.



**Personnel**: According to the Kenya Health Information System, the proportion of skilled births attended by a skilled health provider increased from 49.6% to 74.1% against a target of 65%. The remarkable progress resulted from the Linda Mama Programme, 2 additional maternity units, the newly established 26 health facilities, and the equipping of 35 newly constructed health facilities. The results achieved met SDG target 3.1

**c.** Contraceptive use: Modern contraceptive use among women increased from 43. 5% in 2017 to 48.5% in 2022. This performance could be attributed to the Covid-19 outbreak and the containment measures that limited access to health facilities due to the fear of the unknown.

**d.** Adolescent Birth Rate (10-19 years): High adolescent pregnancy is still evident in the County. The proportion of teenage women who had begun childhood stands at 22.4%. This was attributed to the long school holidays occasioned by Covid-19 containment measures. The proportion of women who made at least one visit to ante-natal clinics stood at 95.2%, while the proportion of pregnant women who made at least 4 or more visits increased insignificantly by 0.7% from 45.1% to 45.8% against a target of 52%. Again, the dismal performance was attributed to Covid-19 containment measures. There were regional disparities, with Homa Bay Town reporting the highest attendance of 62.2% while Ndhiwa reported the lowest at 37.3%.

e. **Under 1-year Mortality rate**: According to KPH (2019), children dying before they celebrated their first birthday stand at 58/1,000 lives in 2022. The achievement fell short of the SDG target of 3.2 of at most 12/1,000 live births. This could be attributed to the low distribution of LLTTNs to pregnant women, from 75.5% to 74.9%, occasioned by long periods of stockouts. The proportion of children aged 12-59 months dewormed stands at 28.4% in 2022.

**f. Under 5 Mortality Rate**: During the period under review, the children dying before celebrating their 5th birthday was 92/1,000 lives (KPH, 2019). This was below the SDG target of 3.2 out of 25/1000. In addition, the average annual facility deaths stood at 28.29 in 2022, an increase from 27.86 deaths in 2018, with Homa Bay Town reporting the highest number of 134 deaths annually while Rangwe reported the lowest of 4 deaths. Child stunting levels stood at 21.8% in 2022.

**g.** The proportion of 1-year-old Children Fully Immunized: During the 2018-2022 review periods, the County recorded an increase in immunization coverage against child illnesses which rose from 68.1% in 2017 to 74.8% in 2022. The target of 90% was, therefore, not achieved. The dismal achievement could





be attributed to a lack of immunization vaccines in other health facilities and limited defaulter tracing by CHVs. Nevertheless, the figure is still below the SDG target of 3.8 full coverage.

( )

**h. HIV control**: The current status of HIV/AIDS in the County shows positive progress toward reducing the burden. This is evident with the declining prevalence rate from 25% in 2017 to 18.5% in 2022 among the 15-49 years. In addition, however, mother-to-child transmission decreased from 9.1% to 8.7 %.

**i. TB control**: According to KHIS (2022), the number of TB patients on treatment was 1200. The proportion of TB cases detected and cured successfully stands at 93.8% in 2022. Of the TB patients seen at the facilities, 99% were tested for HIV in 2022. This was a result of the increased referral of 420 (35%) patients by CHVs, thus achieving target 3.3 of the SDG.

**j. Reduced Malaria Incidence:** During the period under review, malaria-confirmed cases stood at 212.6/1000, with facility-based malaria cases being high in Rangwe and low in Suba South. The proportion of children under 1 sleeping under nets showed Homa Bay Town at 92.4%, while Rachuonyo East recorded the lowest of 55.4%. In collaboration with the National Government, the County government has invested resources and efforts toward Malaria control. Major efforts have been to purchase and distribute Insecticides Treated Nets (ITNs) to 74.9% of pregnant women, indoor residual spray, and advocacy programs on environment management, thus achieving target 3.3 of the SDGs.

#### Health sector high-impact interventions.

a. Improved infrastructural development in Homa Bay county referral Hospital: The following achievements were realized - the establishment of the Renal Unit, HDU, MRI, partially functional Blood Bank, and ISO-certified laboratory and imaging unit.

b. Health Insurance Subsidy Programme (HISP): To enhance access to health care services, a total number of 1,500 poor households were registered under HISP against a target of 160,000 households. This was a 0.94% achievement by the sector.

**c. Health infrastructure**: The number of health care facilities established was 23 against a target of 40 distributed as follows: Kabondo 3, Kasipul 3, Mbita 5, Rachuonyo N. 5, Ndhiwa 3, Homa Bay 2, Suba 1 & Rangwe 1, thereby increasing the average County facility density from 2.08 to 3.35 per 10,000 populations. 1 oxygen plant was installed at Rachuonyo hospital, three maternity units were constructed, 1 general ward was constructed in Miriu, the county administration and drug store construction are ongoing at 70% completion, 5 ambu-

lances were acquired, and 1 mortuary was established in Suba, 136 immunization fridges were procured against a target of 100 courtesy of partner support, two (2) incinerators constructed at referral and Ndhiwa hospitals. The sector purchased assorted equipment for health facilities.

**d. Sanitation programme**: For community-led total sanitation, 1706 (93%) villages triggered against a target of 1835 villages, with the County achieving 30% ODF.

e. Health Human Resource: To address the capacity gap within specialized and sub-specialized health, the proportion of doctors increased from 0.37/10000 in 2017 to 0.51/10000 in 2022 against a target of 5%. At the same time, the proportion of nurses decreased from 6.11/10000 in 2017 to 5.67/10000 in 2022. In addition, core health worker density increased from 8.08/10000 in 2017 to 10.4/10000 in 2022. The health workforce distribution across the County remains skewed, with level 3 and below being the most disadvantaged.

**f. Health Financing**: The County health expenditure increased from Ksh 1,898,293,172 to Ksh. 30,86,343,931 in 2022, representing an increase of 62%. The health budget stands at 32% of the county budget.

 g. Health Products and Technologies: KEMSA supply of drugs and commodities order refill rate stands at 60%. Further, 3 County laboratories received ISO accreditation.

h. Cancer Screening: Cancer screening was initiated at the County Referral Hospital, and 8.72% of women of reproductive age were screened for cervical cancer against a target of 12%, an increase of 0.3% from 2017. This was attributed to a lack of trained health personnel.

#### Social protection

The sector comprises Gender, Youth, Social welfare, Culture, and Sports. The sector is mandated to formulate, mainstream and implement responsive policies through coordinated strategies for sustained and balanced socio-cultural, sports, recreation, and empowerment of vulnerable, marginalized groups and areas for the County's economic development.

#### **Social Sector Performance**

a. Awareness and Prevention of Drug Abuse: Drug abuse poses an economic burden to the County government in terms of criminal activities, social services, and household spending on health care, among others. During the CIDP II implementation, the sector, in collaboration with development partners, held sixty (60) sensitization forums on drug and substance abuse.

b. Improving livelihood: The sub-sector trained fifty (50) Self







Help Groups (SHG) on entrepreneurship in collaboration with development partners.

c. Empowering Gender: In 2017, women comprised 30% of the County Executive Committee Members (CECM), while 25% of MCAs were women in County Assembly. However, this was below the 2010 Kenyan Constitution of 2/3 gender rule and below SDG target 5.5 of ensuring women's full and effective participation in political & economic affairs.

d. Promoting sports: The sub-sector constructed a modern stadium in Homa Bay Town which stands at 80% completion.

#### **Public Administration and Intergovernmental Relations**

This sector entails political, governance, and public finance management. The sector plays a central role in coordinating and facilitating activities of other sectors.

#### PAIR Sector performance Executive Office of the Governor:

During the 2018-2022 period, the department achieved the following:

- Drafted Civic Education and Public Participation Act.
- Implemented Performance Contracts for CECM and Chief Officers.
- · Construction of 8 ward offices (partly completed).
- Completion of Rangwe, Rachuonyo East, and Mbita Sub-County offices.
- Initiated the upgrading of Kendu-Bay, Oyugis, Ndhiwa, and Mbita towns to the municipality status
- Mobilized the donation of two fire engines, two fire trucks, and one Ambulance from the United Kingdom.
- Enactment of the County Inspectorate Service and Compliance Act, 2018.
- Enactment of the County Disaster and Emergency Management Act, 2019.
- Draft Social Risk Management Plan.
- Human Resource and Payroll Audit.
- Under the Kenya Devolution Support Programme, KDSP, the County conducted a mass training for various levels of staff (Senior Management and leadership courses).

#### **Finance and Economic Planning:**

During the CIDP II implementation period, the department undertook the following:

- Recruitment of 336 new enforcement officers (Increased from 57 officers).
- Drafted County Monitoring and Evaluation Policy
- Adoption of a Cashless Revenue Collection-
- Formation of a Revenue Board
- · Establishment of Audit Committee, Pending bills taskforce

#### **County Assembly Service Board.**

۲

During the implementation period, the following Bills were passed:

- i. County Investment & Development Corporation Bill, 2018;
- ii. County Inspectorate Services Bill, 2019;
- iii. County Community Health Services Bill, 2019;
- iv. County State of the County Address Bill, 2019;
- v. County Civic Education & Public Participation Bill, 2019;
- vi. County Health Services Bill, 2019;
- vii. County Cultural Heritage Bill, 2019;
- viii. County Lake Region Economic Bloc Bill, 2019;
- ix. County Trade (licensing) and Markets Bill, 2019; and
- x. County State Officers Retirement Benefits Bill, 2022.

#### Challenges in the Implementation of the 2018-2022 CIDP

Home Bay County CIDP II faced a number of challenges, including:

- Reallocation of resources from the planned and budgeted activities
- The poor linkage between department plans and budgets
- Inadequate public involvement in sector priority identification coupled with mismatch between community expectations and available resources, which led to low implementation rates.
- Delays in the disbursement of funds from the National Treasury greatly affected implementation of the county projects as it slowed the absorption rate and discouraged contractors from taking up county projects.
- Lack of County spatial plans and physical plans, which led to mushrooming of unplanned structures
- Inadequate baselines to establish the existing levels of services leading to inadequate intervention measures.
- Inadequate funding for the programme and projects ·
- Underdeveloped ICT infrastructure; low technology, innovation, research, and development uptake;
- Unavailability of County land for enterprise development
- Bureaucracy in procurement processes and procedures that delays project take-off and completion
- Late disbursement of development funds contributes to continuous rolling over of priority projects hence eating budget allocations meant for other priority projects
- Delay in disbursement of development funds from the national government to the sub-national government hindered timely implementation and completion of proposed programmes and projects.
- Inadequate funding to operationalize and implement key priority/flagship projects .
- Vandalism of projects

#### **Emerging issues**

 High demand for housing reducing potential agricultural land to real estate development



۲

- Climate change and its effects on projects
- E-procurement process
- Waste management
- Public Private Partnerships (PPP)
- Green and Blue Economy

#### **Lessons learned**

۲

Over the last five years, the sectors have benefited from acquiring knowledge and applications that could improve its efficiency. These include:

- Policies, laws, regulations, and plans are very critical for the successful implementation of the designed programme by any entity execution.
- Building and sustaining partnerships help in bridging technical and financial resources to implement specific programmes and projects.
- Undertaking proper feasibility studies and infrastructure design before making significant investments;
- A structured and inclusive public participation to be undertaken to promote people-driven projects for ownership and sustainability.
- Align department's plans and budgets to ensure the implementation of planned activities
- Targets set be aligned to the department's resource allocations and resource availability to ensure that they are realistic and achievable.
- Monitoring and reporting be part of the CIDP implementation strategy
- · Involve government and non-state actors in CIDP man-

agement from preparation, implementation, evaluation, and reporting stages.

- Establish development committees at both the county and ward level for all stakeholders for appropriate coordination and synergy.
- Establish, operationalize, and sustain Sector Working Groups to supplement citizens' priorities with technical guidance.
- Guide citizens to appreciate the linkage between physical infrastructure and the 'software' requirements in the form of technical and capacity considerations.
- Develop a data management and Monitoring and Evaluation framework to establish and update baselines, project management practices and citizen information and feedback
- The growth of peri-urban and satellite towns has led to increased demand for water and sanitation services;

#### Recommendations

- Leverage Public-Private Partnerships to develop alternatives to purely public and philanthropic investments.
- Promote awareness of drought-resistant crops to enhance food production and encourage environmentally friendly farming practices

#### **Natural Resource Assessment**

This section discusses the major natural resources found within the county. The information is summarized in Table 14.

| Name of Natural | Dependent   | Status, Level of Utilization;  | Opportunities for  | Constraints to optimal   | Existing Sustainable  |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| Resource*       | Sectors   | Scenarios for Future   | optimal utilization  | utilization  | Management strategies   |
| Lake Victoria   | <ul> <li>Fisheries</li> <li>Tourism</li> <li>Agriculture</li> <li>Transport</li> <li>Trade</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Declining fish stock and<br/>rising water levels -<br/>expected to further decline<br/>with expansion of open<br/>lake fishing</li> <li>Encroachment on the<br/>riparian land</li> <li>Water quality expected to<br/>decline due to increased<br/>pollution/farming activities</li> <li>Water hyacinth invasion<br/>expected to increase</li> <li>Sand harvesting</li> <li>Open lake fisheries</li> <li>Cage fish farming</li> <li>Water transport</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Can support more food<br/>production through<br/>irrigation, cage fish<br/>farming</li> <li>Ferry services linking<br/>mainland to the 16<br/>islands in the lake</li> <li>Infrastructure<br/>development at fish<br/>landing beaches</li> <li>Water transportation<br/>and sports</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Lack of regulatory policy<br/>framework for lake front<br/>development</li> <li>Water quality deteriorated<br/>from waste water disposal<br/>(Affecting quality of fish,<br/>and quality of tourism)</li> <li>Inadequate sanitation<br/>facilities at the fish landing<br/>beaches</li> <li>Initial capital outlay</li> <li>High cost of establishing<br/>irrigation infrastructure</li> <li>Water hyacinth invasion</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Regulate waste water<br/>and effluents from farms</li> <li>Extension services<br/>to cover waste water<br/>treatment management</li> <li>Regulation of fishing<br/>gears</li> <li>Safe use and disposal of<br/>agro-chemicals</li> <li>Lake front (beach)<br/>planning to promote<br/>tourism investments</li> </ul> |

۲

#### Table 14: Natural Resource Assessment







| Name of Natural<br>Resource*                                      | Dependent<br>Sectors   | Status, Level of Utilization;<br>Scenarios for Future   | Opportunities for optimal utilization   | Constraints to optimal utilization   | Existing Sustainable<br>Management strategies   |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Lake Simbi Nyaima   | <ul> <li>Tourism</li> <li>Trade</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Increasing water levels</li> <li>Siltation</li> <li>Erosion of banks</li> <li>Migration of flamingo birds</li> <li>Mining od 'bala'</li> </ul>   | Fencing with     perimeter wall   | <ul> <li>Limited branding as tourist<br/>attraction site</li> <li>Lack of regulatory policy<br/>framework</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Community involvement<br/>of management of the<br/>tourism site</li> <li>Resource mapping and<br/>pegging</li> <li>Develop a conservation<br/>management strategy</li> </ul> |
| Ruma National<br>Park   | Trade, Tourism,<br>Investment and<br>Industrialization                             | <ul> <li>Illegal poaching of wildlife<br/>by the local people</li> <li>Increase variety of animal<br/>species and additional of<br/>the big five animals</li> </ul>   | Can generate income<br>and revenue through<br>tourists lodging  | Inadequate fund to construct roads and view points   | Enhancement law in<br>poaching and educating the<br>residence on the important<br>of wildlife   |
| Wetlands - Oluch<br>Kimira and Ondago                             | Agriculture     Environment  | <ul> <li>Encroachment on the wetland</li> <li>Limited rain fed agricultural activities</li> <li>Canals clogged and in state of disrepair</li> <li>Weirs vandalized</li> <li>Declining Water Levels and quality due adverse human activities.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Damming for paddy<br/>rice irrigation</li> <li>Horticultural<br/>production through<br/>irrigation</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>High cost of establishing<br/>irrigation infrastructure</li> <li>High cost of farm inputs<br/>e.g. seeds, fertilizers and<br/>pesticides</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Education and<br/>awareness</li> <li>Rehabilitation and<br/>repairs of irrigation<br/>infrastructure</li> <li>Adopt climate change<br/>mitigation and resilience</li> </ul>  |
| Rivers- Awach<br>Kibuon, Miriu,<br>Awach Tende,<br>Kuja and Riana | Agriculture     Irrigation     Transport   | <ul> <li>Encroachment on the riparian land</li> <li>Flooding during rainy seasons</li> <li>Artisanal fishing activities</li> <li>Water used for limited irrigation activities</li> <li>These Rivers are faced with various challenges including; siltation, Pollution, encroachment, decline in water levels, illegal abstraction among others</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Tree nurseries<br/>establishment</li> <li>Irrigation</li> <li>Abstraction for<br/>domestic use</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Lack of policy framework to<br/>regulate farming in riparian<br/>lands</li> <li>Water quality deteriorated<br/>from waste water disposal</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Regulate farming<br/>activities in riparian<br/>lands</li> <li>Tree planting along<br/>riparian lands</li> </ul>   |
| Springs-Gwassi,<br>Rangwe, Mfangano,<br>Kabondo and<br>Kasipul    | <ul> <li>Agriculture</li> <li>Irrigation</li> <li>Transport</li> </ul>             | <ul> <li>Encroachment on the water catchment areas</li> <li>Lower yield due to lack of maintenance</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Tree nurseries<br/>establishment</li> <li>Irrigation</li> <li>Spring protection</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Inadequate funding</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Regulate farming<br/>activities in catmint<br/>areas</li> <li>Tree planting in catmint<br/>areas</li> </ul>  |
| Hot Springs<br>Abundu,  | <ul><li>Tourism</li><li>Trade</li></ul>  | <ul> <li>-Used for Medicinal<br/>purposes by traditional<br/>medicine men</li> <li>-Religious use for prayers</li> <li>-waters of blessings</li> <li>-Packaging to increase<br/>value and sold to generate<br/>revenue</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Tourist attraction sites</li> <li>Proper marketing, both<br/>local and international</li> <li>Improvement of<br/>infrastructure</li> <li>Taking physical<br/>position by fencing</li> <li>Employment creation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Limited branding as tourist<br/>attraction site</li> <li>Poor road network</li> <li>Lack of regulatory policy<br/>framework</li> </ul>              | <ul> <li>Community involvement<br/>of management of the<br/>tourism site</li> <li>Resource mapping and<br/>pegging</li> <li>Develop a conservation<br/>management strategy</li> </ul> |
| Minerals (Bala<br>in Lake Simbi<br>Nyaima)                        | Agriculture<br>Environment   | <ul><li>Livestock feeds seasoning</li><li>Soil erosion</li></ul>  | Soil conservation   | Lack of policy framework     for mining  | Develop a conservation<br>management strategy   |
| Stone quarries –<br>Kendu and Sindo                               | <ul> <li>Transport</li> <li>Housing</li> <li>Environment</li> <li>Trade</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The sector is still under<br/>exploited</li> <li>Environmental degradation</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Introduction of<br/>machine cuts in<br/>quarries</li> </ul>  | Lack of appropriate<br>technology for extraction   | <ul> <li>Develop a conservation<br/>management strategy</li> <li>Reclamation of<br/>exhausted quarries</li> </ul>   |

۲

۲



۲



| Name of Natural<br>Resource*   | Dependent<br>Sectors   | Status, Level of Utilization;<br>Scenarios for Future   | Opportunities for optimal utilization  | Constraints to optimal utilization  | Existing Sustainable<br>Management strategies  |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Sand   | <ul> <li>Transport</li> <li>Housing</li> <li>Environment</li> <li>Trade</li> </ul>     |   | <ul> <li>Can support county<br/>revenue through cess<br/>collection.</li> <li>Construction of sand<br/>dams.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Damaging of roads by<br/>heavy loaded Lorries.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Develop a conservation<br/>management strategy</li> <li>Establishment of<br/>Weigh Bridge to curb<br/>overloading</li> </ul>  |
| Kodera, Wire forest  | <ul> <li>Tourism</li> <li>Environment</li> <li>Forestry</li> </ul>                     | <ul> <li>These forests are faced<br/>with various challenges<br/>including; Deforestation,<br/>Encroachment, Illegal<br/>logging among others</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Bee farming</li> <li>Tree nursery<br/>establishment</li> <li>Tourist attraction and<br/>recreational site</li> <li>Increased<br/>conservation</li> <li>Census of both flora<br/>and fauna Community<br/>involvement and<br/>empowerment in<br/>conservation of the<br/>forest resource</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Effects of climate change</li> <li>Uncoordinated logging and<br/>un licensed timber felling</li> <li>Deforestation</li> <li>Illegal charcoal production</li> <li>Encroachment</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Rehabilitation of hilltop<br/>and water catchment<br/>areas and afforestation</li> <li>Enhanced Participatory<br/>forest management<br/>practices</li> <li>Enforcement of forest<br/>regulations</li> <li>Fencing the forests to<br/>safe guard their future</li> </ul> |
| Hills – God Jope,<br>Ruri, Gwasi,<br>Gembe, Rusing and<br>Mfangano   | <ul> <li>Agriculture</li> <li>Environment</li> <li>Forestry</li> <li>Energy</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Rapid deforestation due to<br/>increasing demand for fuel<br/>wood, charcoal and timber</li> <li>Deforestation as a result of<br/>encroachment of the forest<br/>by farmers for agricultural<br/>use.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Excellent viewpoints<br/>and very rich<br/>biodiversity of rare<br/>birds species for<br/>tourist attraction</li> <li>Reforestation.</li> <li>Hiking and rock<br/>climbing.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>The increasing demand for<br/>fuel wood, charcoal and<br/>timber</li> <li>Reduced acreage</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Afforestation</li> <li>Conservation of natural forest in hilltops for maintenance of the ecosystem</li> <li>Promotion of alternative sources of energy</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>Islands</li> <li>Takawiri</li> <li>Mfngano</li> <li>Remba</li> <li>Ringiti</li> <li>Kibuogi</li> <li>Sukru</li> <li>Kiwa</li> </ul> | <ul><li>Tourism</li><li>Fisheries</li><li>Blue economy</li></ul>                       | <ul><li>Fish storages</li><li>Fish breeding sites</li></ul>   | <ul> <li>Tourism attraction<br/>sites</li> <li>Installation of<br/>cold storages for<br/>preservation of fish</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Lack of policy framework</li> <li>Not protected for tourism</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Development of policy<br/>framework</li> <li>Protection</li> <li>Improved road network</li> </ul>   |

۲

### **Development Issues**

۲

This section present key sector development issues and their causes as identified during data collection and analysis stage. The information is provided as indicated in Table 15.

Table 15: Sector Development issues

| SECTOR                                | GENERAL ECONOMIC AND COMMERCIAL AFFAIRS (GECA)  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sub-sector: TOURISM                   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development Issue                     | Cause(s)  | Constraint(s)  | Opportunities   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undeveloped tourism attraction sites. | <ul> <li>Policy gaps</li> <li>-Unavailability of land ownership<br/>documents.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Poor interdepartmental coordination.</li> <li>Lack of policy framework</li> <li>Lack of political goodwill</li> <li>Bad governanCe</li> </ul>                 | <ul> <li>-Development of tourism policy.</li> <li>-Availability of tourism attraction sites.</li> <li>-Employment opportunities e.g. tour guides</li> <li>-Upgrading of infrastructure e.g. roads.</li> <li>-Development of area economies</li> <li>Revenue flow to the county.</li> <li>-Hotel ratings.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-sector: COOPERATIVES              |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undeveloped cooperative societies     | <ul> <li>-Policy gaps.</li> <li>-Poor saving culture</li> </ul>                           | <ul> <li>Low capitalization</li> <li>Insufficient market channels<br/>for produce and value-added<br/>services to farmers</li> <li>Lack of policy framework</li> </ul> | Development of Cooperative policy   |  |  |  |  |  |







| Sub-sector: INDUSTRIALIZATION        |  |   |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Undeveloped industries               | <ul> <li>-Partnerships</li> <li>-Funding</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Bad governance</li> <li>-Poor interdepartmental</li> <li>Coordination</li> <li>-Inadequate funding.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Employment creation</li> <li>-Income generation</li> <li>-Value addition</li> <li>-Increased revenue to counties</li> <li>-Increased revenue to counties</li> <li>-Promote PPP to complete and establish new factories</li> </ul>  |
| Sub-sector: TRADE                    |  |   |  |
| Poor Market infrastructure           | <ul><li> -Partnerships</li><li> -Own-source revenue</li></ul>  | <ul> <li>Inadequate funding</li> <li>Diversion of budgetary allocation.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Increased business volume</li> <li>Increased revenue</li> <li>Increased employment opportunities.</li> <li>Partnerships.</li> </ul>   |
| Unfriendly business environment      | <ul> <li>Insecurity</li> <li>Power supply</li> <li>Inadequate</li> <li>Water supply</li> <li>Business space</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Lack of partnerships</li> <li>Poor communication channel<br/>between the CG and the private<br/>sector</li> <li>Prioritization of the sector.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Increased employment opportunities</li> <li>Increased revenue</li> </ul>  |
| Inadequate entrepreneurial skills    | Capacity building  | <ul> <li>Lack of mentorship programs</li> <li>Poor social networking attitude<br/>and culture.</li> <li>Unhealthy competition</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Upscaling entrepreneurial skills.</li> <li>Linkages and networking.</li> <li>Trade exhibitions and trade fairs.</li> </ul>  |
| Revolving fund                       | Policy gaps  | Lack of policy, laws and regulation   | Youth and women empowerment, business development through access to affordable credit facility.  |
| Sector                               | Agriculture Rural and Urban Developm   | nent (ARUD)   |  |
| Sub-sector: Agriculture              | <u></u>  |   |  |
| Development Issue                    | Cause(s)   | Constraint(s)*  | Opportunities**  |
| Low crop productivity and<br>Outputs | <ul> <li>Drought and overreliance on rain-fed agriculture.</li> <li>Low adoption of good agricultural practices.</li> <li>Use of uncertified seeds</li> <li>Use of unclean planting materials</li> <li>Inadequate agricultural extension services</li> <li>Low levels of agricultural mechanization</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Unpredictable weather patterns</li> <li>High cost of establishing irrigation<br/>infrastructure</li> <li>Lack of capital</li> <li>High cost of farm inputs</li> <li>Inadequate agricultural extension<br/>staff</li> <li>High cost of agricultural<br/>machinery</li> <li>Inadequate agricultural<br/>machinery</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Promotion of irrigated agriculture.</li> <li>Farm Input subsidy</li> <li>PPP on extension service delivery</li> <li>Farmer sensitization and trainings through demonstrations, field days and agricultural shows.</li> <li>Promotion of access to credit facilities through linkages</li> <li>Promoting uptake of agricultural products insurance</li> <li>Promote drought tolerant crops</li> <li>Promotion of climate Smart Agriculture technologies</li> <li>Provision of subsidized agricultural mechanization services</li> <li>-Purchase of multipurpose ox-plough (Ram start/Motor driven) to PWDs)</li> <li>-Facilitating Agriculture Technology Development Centre (ATDC)/ local artisans to fabricate affordable agricultural equipment Provision of certified seeds and clean planting materials.</li> <li>Recruit additional Agricultural Extension Officers</li> <li>Facilitate mobility of Agricultural Training Centre (ATC)</li> <li>Strengthen research extension farmer linkages</li> </ul> |
| High Post-harvest losses             | <ul> <li>Inadequate appropriate storage facilities</li> <li>High pest and disease incidences</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>High cost of appropriate storage facilities</li> <li>High cost of pesticides</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Construction of produce post-harvest<br/>handling facility</li> <li>-Promotion of use of hermetic bags and metal<br/>silos.</li> <li>-Promotion of Integrated pest and disease<br/>management</li> </ul>   |

۲



08/08/2023 18:03:14

۲

Page 37

۲

| Inadequate Market Access                                     | <ul> <li>Poor access to market<br/>information</li> <li>-Poor road networks</li> <li>Low use of e-marketing</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>High cost of road maintenance</li> <li>Poor internet coverage in some areas</li> <li>High cost of e - marketing</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>-Sensitization and promotion of use of e-marketing</li> <li>Construction of agricultural produce aggregation centres</li> <li>-Value addition to increase the marketability of agricultural, livestock and fisheries products</li> <li>-Establish other marketing infrastructure -livestock markets, slaughter houses and abattoirs and fish landing sites,</li> <li>-Development of landing sites, Establish ice flaking plants at strategic BMUs and Provision of cooling equipment.</li> </ul>   |
|--|---|---|--|
| Inadequate county specific legal<br>and regulatory framework | Delay in passing of bills in the county assembly  | High cost of developing policies and bills  | Develop appropriate Policy framework Develop legal and regulatory framework  |
| Sub-sector: LIVESTOCK  |   |   |  |
| Development Issue  | Cause(s)  | Constraint(s)*  | Opportunities**  |
| Low livestock production and productivity                    | <ul> <li>Poor breeds for dairy production.</li> <li>-Low uptake of new animal husbandry techniques;</li> <li>Disease outbreaks</li> <li>Inadequate fodder</li> <li>-Lack of information on modern livestock production.</li> <li>- inbreeding that exposes undesirable genes</li> <li>Low apiculture production in the county.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>- lack of information among the households on appropriate breeds</li> <li>- low uptake of Al services</li> <li>- lack of capital</li> <li>- Inadequate and high cost of animal feeds</li> <li>- lack of routine vaccination programmes.</li> <li>- inadequate disease surveillance and reporting.</li> <li>- Poor pest control</li> <li>- reliance on natural rains for fodder production.</li> <li>- lack of fadder and pasture conservation programmes.</li> <li>- High costs of farm inputs</li> <li>- low strength of extension staff</li> <li>- lack of diverse gene pool.</li> <li>- inability to procure Al services.</li> <li>- poor attitude towards apiculture.</li> <li>- high cost of inputs e.g. hives and equipment</li> <li>- Lack of knowledge in apiculture.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Strengthen extension services.</li> <li>Sensitize community on AI services.</li> <li>link farmers to low cost credit.</li> <li>Subsidize inputs and equipment for livestock production.</li> <li>Intensify routine vaccination- <ul> <li>Improvement of animal disease surveillance</li> <li>Encourage adoption of tsetse control technologies.</li> <li>Encourage fodder irrigation in the irrigation scheme.</li> <li>training farmers on feed conservation</li> <li>Subsidized inputs.</li> <li>Veterinary and Livestock production officers in each ward</li> <li>Improve apiculture production.</li> <li>Sensitization on apiculture.</li> <li>Subsidized inputs.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Low income from livestock<br>products                        | <ul> <li>-Inadequate access to markets<br/>for livestock and livestock<br/>products</li> <li>-Low value addition on livestock<br/>products</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>- low product volumes</li> <li>- high cost of value addition</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>enhance aggregation and common marketing.</li> <li>- Establish modern sale yards to improve<br/>marketing of livestock</li> <li>- promote value addition</li> <li>- establish modern slaughter facilities to<br/>improve quality of meat products.</li> </ul>   |
| Sub-sector: FISHERIES  |   |   |  |
| Development Issue  | Cause(s)  | Constraint(s)*  | Opportunities**  |
| Low fisheries productivity                                   | <ul> <li>-Overfishing</li> <li>-Use of illegal fishing gears</li> <li>-Invasion of lake by Water<br/>hyacinth</li> <li>-</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>-limited budgetary allocation</li> <li>-Lack of regulatory framework</li> <li>-Understaffing</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Strengthen enforcement of existing fisheries regulations.</li> <li>-Conduct continuous monitoring, and surveillance (MCS);</li> <li>-Enhance the capacity of the Beach Management Units in managing beaches;</li> <li>-Diversification of livelihood opportunities for fishermen</li> <li>-Construction and Equipping of more modern fish landing points/banda</li> <li>-Fish value addition and Marketing</li> </ul>  |



۲

۲

|   | Low productivity in fish farming  | <ul> <li>- Low uptake of modern<br/>technology</li> <li>-High cost of inputs</li> <li>-Inadequate extension service<br/>provision</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Provision of appropriate fishing gears and accessories</li> <li>Capacity building on appropriate fishing technologies –</li> <li>Organization and capacity building of BMUs</li> <li>Promote Cage fish farming and aquaculture fisheries through PPP</li> <li>Increase surveillance and safety management in the lake to protect lives (security and safety boat, stand-by ambulance)</li> </ul> |
|---|---|--|---|
| Sub-sector: LAND  |   | 1  |   |
| Development Issue   | Cause(s)  | Constraint(s)*   | Opportunities**   |
| Lack of spatial and resource use<br>planning and mapping strategies | <ul> <li>Lack of County Spatial planning</li> <li>Poor land use and tenure<br/>system in the County</li> <li>Lack of CSP</li> <li>Uncontrolled development</li> <li>Lack of investment plan</li> </ul>  | Inadequate Budgetary allocations   | <ul> <li>-Develop spatial plan</li> <li>-Develop a resource use plan</li> <li>-Invest on a proper spatial plan for proper planning and zoning</li> <li>-Digitized Land Records for the entire county</li> </ul>   |
| Poor and inadequate housing   | <ul> <li>-Dilapidated housing and<br/>infrastructure</li> </ul>   |  | Development and redevelopment of affordable housing   |
| Informal human settlement   | <ul> <li>-Uncontrolled development</li> <li>-Unsecure land tenure</li> <li>-Out dated development plans</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-limited budgetary allocation</li> <li>-Lack of regulatory framework</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Establish a land tenure and human settlement</li> <li>-Demarcation (Adjudication and surveying) of<br/>land</li> <li>-Automation of development application and<br/>approvals</li> </ul>   |
| Lack Valuation Roll   | <ul> <li>-Unregulated land rates</li> <li>-low/untapped revenue collection</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>-limited budgetary allocation</li> <li>-outdated valuation roll</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Valuation and Rating Act</li> <li>-Valuation of land re-evaluation of land rates</li> </ul>   |
| Demarcation of public lands   | Encroachments   |  | <ul> <li>-Availability of land for investment</li> <li>-Existing public land for investments</li> </ul>   |
| Renovation of government houses                                     | <ul> <li>Poor housing</li> <li>Poor aesthetics of the town</li> </ul>   | Budget for the renovations   | <ul> <li>Existing houses for renovation</li> <li>Budget for the housing</li> <li>Improving housing units by constructing them to storey</li> </ul>  |
| Sub-sector: MUNICIPALITY  | 1   |  |   |
| Development Issue   | Cause(s)  | Constraint(s)*   | Opportunities**   |
| Lack of solid waste management sanitary land fill site              | <ul> <li>-Poor waste management</li> <li>-Uncontrolled dumping</li> <li>-Lack of litter bins in town</li> <li>-Lack of sensitization of the public on littering and dumping</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-No designated dumping site</li> <li>-Lack of proper waste<br/>management policy</li> <li>-Lack of enforcement measures</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Develop and put in place a waste</li> <li>-Carry out sensitization of the public on<br/>littering and dumping</li> <li>-Acquire dumping site</li> <li>-Provide litter bins within the town centre<br/>and recreational areas and ensure regular<br/>collection</li> </ul>   |
| Inadequate support infrastructure within the municipality           | <ul> <li>-Poor road network within the municipality.</li> <li>-Lack of other recreational facilities such as parks.</li> </ul>  |  | <ul> <li>Open up more roads to increase accessibility</li> <li>Improve existing earth roads to bitumen<br/>standard</li> <li>-Carry out maintenance on existing roads and<br/>other infrastructure</li> <li>-Develop other infrastructure such as markets,<br/>stadiums.</li> </ul>   |
| Reduced revenue collection  | <ul> <li>-Unclear allocation of<br/>revenue streams to different<br/>departments.</li> <li>-Poor uptake of technology<br/>for revenue collection (mobile<br/>payment)</li> <li>-Poor infrastructure leading to<br/>low motivation to pay revenue</li> </ul> | Lack of proper public participation<br>and sensitization of the public on<br>revenue remittance  | <ul> <li>-Create more infrastructure that will enable the county to collect revenue</li> <li>-Carry out sensitization to the public on the need to pay revenue.</li> </ul>  |



۲

| Uncontrolled development within the municipality.                             | -Lack of proper town planning  | <ul> <li>-Lack of qualified staff to oversee<br/>planning</li> <li>-Lack of policies guiding<br/>developments within the town</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Carry out proper town planning.</li> <li>-Develop and put in place policies to ensure<br/>upcoming developments conform to the plans.</li> <li>-Streets beautification through planting of<br/>appropriate trees and flowers</li> <li>-Control illegal structures and building<br/>materials</li> </ul> |
|---|--|---|---|
| Environmental degradation   | <ul> <li>-Improper deforestation (cutting of tress)</li> <li>-Lack of a tree planning culture</li> <li>-Overuse of non-renewable energy sources e.g. charcoal.</li> <li>-Uncontrolled development in catchment areas</li> <li>-Rise in population size within the municipality.</li> <li>-Air and noise pollution from the transport industries( Matatus and Motor bikes)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>-Poor environmental management policies</li> <li>-Lack of control on tree cutting and planting</li> <li>-Poor regulations on energy sources e.g. burning and use of charcoal.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>-Develop a proper environmental management<br/>policy</li> <li>-Sensitize the public on deforestation , tree<br/>planting and energy sources</li> </ul>  |
| Sector: EDUCATION   |  |   |   |
| Development Issue   | Cause(s)   | Constraint(s)*  | Opportunities**   |
| Inadequate Infrastructure in both<br>EYE and VTCs                             | Inadequate budgetary allocation/<br>resources<br>Change of priorities<br>Delays in the flow of funds   | Lack of policies and regulations  | Involvement of stakeholders/partners in the<br>development processes<br>Empowerment of VTC trainees to generate<br>income.<br>Eco friendly  |
| Inadequate teaching and learning materials in EYE                             | It has never been prioritized during budgeting   | Lack of policies and regulations  | Development of policies and legal frameworks<br>Support from development partners<br>Sensitization of parents to embrace EYE pro-<br>grammes  |
| Inadequate tools, equipment and machinery in VTCs                             | Inadequate budgetary allocation/<br>resources<br>Rapid changes in technology   | Power outage<br>Lack of skills to operate modern<br>technology tools  | Promotion of innovations and exhibitions to provide markets for goods and skills  |
| Inadequate recruitment of teachers/tutors in EYE and VTCs                     | Increased enrolment in both EYE<br>and VTCs<br>Unfavourable terms of employment<br>Negative attitude towards VTCs<br>Lack of schemes of service  | Lack of policies and regulations  | Embrace youth internship/apprenticeships<br>programmes<br>Development of policies for both EYE and VTCs   |
| Lack of child/day care centres in VTCs  | It has not been planned<br>Teenage pregnancies<br>Working mothers  | Lack of policies and regulations  | Available spaces to construct and fully equip baby care centres   |
| Lack of school feeding program<br>and clean and safe water in EYE<br>centres. | It has never been planned  | Lack of policies and regulations  | Involvement of development partners   |
| Lack of EYE and VTC policies and legal regulations                            | Lack of political goodwill   | Change of priorities  | Political goodwill from the new government  |
| Bursaries and scholarships  | Increase in number of needy students/trainees  | Awarding non-devolved functions bursaries   | Partnerships<br>Prioritize bursaries to VTCs<br>Increase bursary allocation<br>Put more focus on VTCs while awarding bursaries  |



۲



۲

| Climate change and environmen-<br>tal degradation         | Lack of environmental clubs in EYE<br>and VTCs<br>It was not planned in the previous<br>CIDPs<br>Negative Attitudes towards environ-<br>mental conservation  | Inadequate resources   | Teachers trained on environmental activities  |
|---|--|--|---|
| Lack of PWDs mainstreaming                                | Lack of awareness from parents<br>with children abled differently<br>Lack of PWD friendly school infra-<br>structures<br>Few teachers for special education  | Implementing the existing policy<br>(Disability Act 2019)  | Involvement of stakeholders/partners in the implementation processes  |
| Lack of ICT mainstreaming                                 | Not been budgeted for<br>Reliance on manual ways of opera-<br>tions (Analogue)<br>Lack of research centres   | Non-functional ICT Hubs  | Involvement of stakeholders/partners in the<br>implementation processes<br>Innovative hubs  |
| Sector: Energy, Infrastructure And I                      | CT (EIICT)   | 1  |   |
| Sub-sector: Infrastructure                                |  |  |   |
| Development Issue   | Cause(s)   | Constraint(s)*   | Opportunities**   |
| -Quality of roads being done                              | - Inadequate allocation of funds.  | <ul> <li>-Competing priority needs.</li> <li>-Reallocation of budgets to other<br/>pressing need</li> </ul>                            | <ul> <li>Existence of other road development<br/>agencies with same vested interest.</li> <li>-Investment opportunities for the private sector<br/>(PPPs).</li> <li>-Project management structures attached to<br/>specific projects (PMCs).</li> <li>-Preparation of policy framework and legal<br/>framework to guide in the execution of the<br/>subsector priorities</li> </ul> |
|   | - Incapacitated local constructors<br>awarded tenders  | <ul> <li>-Influence to award works to local contractors with low capacity.</li> <li>-Delay in award of various road works.</li> </ul>  |   |
|   | -Lack of topographical surveys<br>and adherence to Roads Inventory<br>Condition Survey   | <ul> <li>Designs not done in some of the roads</li> </ul>  |   |
|   | -Inadequate supervisions   | <ul> <li>Lack of supervisory vehicles.</li> <li>Lack of adequate road<br/>inspectors</li> </ul>  |   |
|   | -High cost of doing some of the Roads  | <ul> <li>-Low uptake of labour based<br/>intervention</li> <li>Models.</li> <li>-Competing priorities against<br/>available</li> </ul> |   |
|   | -lack of policy and regulatory frameworks.   | -Duplication and coordination of<br>programmes and actors in the<br>subsector  |   |
| -Weak Maintenance of<br>Machines/Equipment                | -Poor maintenance procedures   | <ul> <li>-Non adherence to laid down<br/>procedures of undertaking<br/>maintenance</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Raising A-I-A through disposal of obsolete<br/>machine sand equipment's</li> <li>-Service to the other departments</li> <li>-Existence of mechanical expertise</li> <li>-Available designated spaces for construction</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>-Weak infrastructural<br/>development</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>-Lack of Response to the needs<br/>of various ministry.</li> <li>-Insufficient budgetary allocation<br/>towards meeting the demand.</li> <li>-Budgets are predetermined<br/>ahead of design.</li> </ul> | Inadequate professional i.e. structur-<br>al engineers and QAs electrical and<br>mechanical.<br>Vastness limited access.               | <ul> <li>-Loading of materials and equipment on contracts.</li> <li>-Hiring of technical staffs from other department i.e. water and municipality.</li> <li>-Preparation of policy and legal framework</li> <li>Existing landing sites along the beaches</li> </ul>   |

Page 41

۲

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP

۲



| Sub-sector: Energy   |   |  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Development Issue  | Cause(s)  | Constraint(s)*   | Opportunities**   |  |  |
| -Low access to electricity   | Lack of commitment to honour<br>MOUs with stake holders   | <ul> <li>-Low information about electricity<br/>connection</li> <li>-Long process in power<br/>connection by Kenya Power</li> <li>-High cost of electricity<br/>connection</li> <li>-Removal of government subsidy<br/>on rural electrification</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>-Matching Funds to enhance rural connectivity.</li> <li>-Existence of partners such KPLC,KENGEN</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| -Low access to solar lighting  | <ul> <li>-Delay in prompt repair and<br/>maintenance</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>-High interest rate caped on<br/>payment of solar light taken on<br/>loan</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Availing of repair and maintenance funds</li> <li>-Availability of trained staff to do repairs and<br/>maintenance</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| -Low Access to Renewable<br>energy services                                  | <ul> <li>Low uptake in modern<br/>techniques on renewable energy<br/>sources</li> <li>Lack of Commitment to address<br/>concerns of engagement with<br/>partners</li> <li>Lack of youth training on<br/>renewable energy</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>-Cooperation amongst<br/>development partners and the<br/>county government still prudent</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Availability of partner to enhance uptake on<br/>renewable energy programs</li> <li>Creation of GSDU and LIASON offices to link<br/>partner with the county Government</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Sub-sector: Information Communic   | ation Technology  |  |   |  |  |
| Development Issue  | Cause(s)  | Constraint(s)*   | Opportunities**   |  |  |
| Limited Internet access  | Low national fibre infrastructure coverage in the county  | Slow pace in the execution of national fibre infrastructure pro-<br>gramme   | Connectivity, communication, and sharing<br>Information, knowledge, and learning.<br>Availability of partner to enhance uptake on<br>internet connectivity.                                 |  |  |
| Limited access to E-government information and services                      | <ul> <li>-Low trainings on digital literacy<br/>programs.</li> <li>-Minimal outreaches towards<br/>equipping target audience with<br/>information and ICT knowledge</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>-Few training programme on<br/>ICT and digital acceleration by<br/>either development partners or<br/>government entities.</li> <li>-Weak internet connectivity.</li> <li>-Low digital infrastructure<br/>coverage.</li> </ul>                    | <ul> <li>Growing demand to Ensure more community<br/>members, staff, youths, women and PWDs and<br/>are trained.</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| Lack of last mile infrastructure connectivity to all government institutions | Low national fibre infrastructure coverage in the county  | <ul> <li>-Slow pace in the execution<br/>of national fibre infrastructure<br/>programme</li> </ul>   | Availability of partner to enhance uptake on Inter-<br>net connectivity partners such as ICT Authority  |  |  |
| -Inadequate and high-cost power infrastructure                               | -Low power connectivity.  | <ul> <li>-High cost for power connectivity.</li> <li>-Vastness of areas that are off-<br/>power grid.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>-Availability of partner to enhance uptake on<br/>renewable energy</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| -Limited uptake of connectivity by SMEs                                      | <ul> <li>-Cost of accessing and<br/>installation of digital<br/>infrastructure by SMEs</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>-High cost of accessing digital devices.</li> <li>-Technical know-how towards installation of the digital devices.</li> <li>-Low internet connectivity</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>-Availability of partner to enhance new<br/>upcoming businesses</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  |  |
| Sector: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, WATER AND NATURAL RESOURCES (EPWNR)        |   |  |   |  |  |
| Sub-sector:  |   |  |   |  |  |
| Development Issue  | Cause(s)  | Constraint(s)*   | Opportunities**   |  |  |

| Development Issue                         | Cause(s)                               | Constraint(s)*       | Opportunities**                              |
|---|--|----------------------|--|
| Inadequate access to clean and safe water | Limited distribution networks          | High cost of inputs  | Growing population<br>Numerous water sources |
|   | Dilapidated networks                   | Weather variability  | Industrialization/Urbanization               |
|   | Climate change                         | Unwillingness to pay | Irrigation                                   |
|   | Lack of ownership leading to vandalism |                      |  |



۲





| Low capacity of waste water treatment                   | High population in Homa Bay and Mbita  | Lack of spatial plan  | Value addition to waste (waste to energy)<br>Manure production   |
|---|--|---|--|
|   | Inadequate sewer networks  |   | PPP –<br>Enterprise development<br>More connections<br>Alternative models for sanitation   |
| Low awareness of sanitation<br>practices and technology | <ul> <li>Cultural practices</li> <li>Negative attitude</li> <li>Inadequate access to information</li> <li>Lack of legal framework i.e.<br/>Water and Sanitation policy</li> </ul>  | High cost of developing sanitation facility   | Redesigned sanitation facilities that are not costly<br>Knowledge hub<br>PPP   |
| Environmental degradation                               | <ul> <li>Population pressure</li> <li>Urbanization</li> <li>Lack of legal framework i.e.<br/>forest policy</li> <li>Inadequate governance structure</li> <li>Political goodwill</li> <li>Lack of awareness</li> <li>Conflict on the roles on NG and<br/>County government</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Low funding</li> <li>Inconsistency in disbursement<br/>of funds</li> <li>Staff Capacity gaps (Numbers<br/>and skills)</li> <li>Inadequate tools and equipment</li> <li>Non gazettement of some forests<br/>e.g. Kodera, Gwassi, Homa hills</li> <li>Settlement patterns</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>PPP</li> <li>Available forest land</li> <li>Enterprise development</li> <li>Carbon trade</li> <li>Conservation practices e.g. wetlands</li> <li>Promotion of agro-forestry</li> </ul> |
| Low uptake of green energy                              | Low awareness  | High cost of acquisition<br>Capacity gaps   | Favourable weather patterns<br>Largest shore lake  |
| Low resilience to climate crisis                        | <ul> <li>Over-reliance of single source of<br/>livelihood e.g. fishing</li> <li>Over-reliance on natural<br/>resources</li> <li>Increased occurrence of climate<br/>change risks and hazards e.g.<br/>floods, drought, rising lake level</li> </ul>                                  | High cost of acquisition<br>Capacity gaps   | Favourable weather patterns<br>Largest shore lake  |
| Sector: Health  | 1  | 1   |  |
| Sub-sector:   |  |   |  |
| Development Issue                                       | Cause(s)   | Constraint(s)*  | Opportunities**  |
| Inadequate health equipment and machineries             | <ul> <li>Increased demand due to<br/>increasing population</li> <li>Ageing and outdate equipment/<br/>machineries</li> </ul>   | High cost involved  | Managed Equipment Services-MES<br>Targeted procurement   |
| Inadequate commodities and supplies                     | Inadequate procurement   | Limited budgetary allocation  | Strengthen quantification and forecasting<br>Procurement as per the requirement  |
| Erratic payment of stipends to CHVs                     | Lack of implementation of county community health act  | Inadequate budgetary allocation for community health strategy   | Implementation of Homabay county community health act  |
| Inadequate specialized services                         | <ul> <li>Lack of specialists</li> <li>Lack of specialised health<br/>infrastructure</li> </ul>   | High wage bill<br>Long training for specialists   | Targeted recruitment of staff  |
| Poor referrals system                                   | <ul> <li>Increased demand due to<br/>increasing population</li> <li>Ageing and outdate ambulance</li> <li>Inadequate of budgetary<br/>allocation</li> <li>Lack of boat ambulance</li> <li>Inadequate staffing for<br/>emergency services</li> </ul>                                  | High cost involved  | Procurement of ambulances for major facilities<br>and establishment of referral coordination centre  |
| Low staff morale/ Frequent<br>Industrial actions        | <ul><li>Delayed salaries</li><li>Delayed promotions</li><li>Un honoured CBAs</li></ul>   | Delayed disbursement from the exchequer   | Prompt salary payment, prompt promotions   |
| Inadequate healthcare staffing                          | <ul><li>Inadequate recruitment</li><li>Staff turnover</li><li>High number of facilities</li></ul>  | High wage bill<br>Lack of transition plan for partner<br>supported staff  | Strategic Recruitment of staff   |



۲



| Funding (Donor fatigue)  | <ul> <li>Global financial challenges</li> <li>Unsatisfactory health outcomes<br/>despite heavy investment</li> </ul>   | Limited health funding  | Increase health budgetary allocation   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Emerging Diseases (Covid 19)   | Climate change<br>High human wild animal interaction   | Increasing population<br>High<br>Urbanization   | Strengthen disease surveillance<br>Strengthen emergency response services  |  |  |
| Early teen pregnancies   | <ul> <li>Poverty</li> <li>Low high school transition for<br/>girls</li> <li>Traditional/cultural practices</li> <li>Sexual violence</li> <li>Inadequate knowledge of ASRH</li> </ul> | Rigid education policies<br>Stigma  | School health education<br>Community sensitization and involvement in<br>reproductive health<br>Provision of youth friendly services |  |  |
| Accessibility to health facilities in various wards  | <ul> <li>Poor soil texture</li> <li>Poor workmanship of road projects</li> </ul>   | High input cost<br>Vast hilly terrane   | Improving facilities roads through ward based projects   |  |  |
| Inadequate infrastructure/hous-<br>ing for healthcare workers                              | <ul> <li>Increased demand due to<br/>increasing population</li> <li>Ageing and outdate equipment/<br/>machineries</li> </ul>   | High cost involved  | Facility housing through ward based projects   |  |  |
| Stunted growth and malnutrition among under 5 children                                     | Food insecurity  | Poor agricultural practices   | Implement school feeding programme across the county   |  |  |
| Financial inability by vulnerable<br>groups to access health services<br>through insurance | Poverty<br>Inadequate community engage-<br>ment  | Inadequate accredited facilities<br>Inadequate policy framework for<br>implementation of UHC  | Provision of medical cover to the vulnerable groups who cannot afford (NHIF)   |  |  |
| Sector: Public Administration and I  | ntergovernmental Relations   |   |  |  |  |
| Sub-sector:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Development Issue  | Cause(s)   | Constraint(s)*  | Opportunities**  |  |  |
| Weak policy monitoring and implementation  | <ul> <li>Lack of M&amp;E, civic education and<br/>public participation policies</li> <li>Inadequate technical capacity</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Inadequate allocation of<br/>resources for monitoring and<br/>evaluation</li> <li>Inadequate technical capacity</li> </ul> | Political Goodwill   |  |  |
| Delayed payment or non-pay-<br>ment of contractors   | <ul> <li>Initiation of projects without<br/>clarity about availability of funds</li> <li>Lack of fidelity to budget</li> </ul>   | <ul><li> Poor contract management</li><li> Political interference</li></ul>   | <ul><li>Demand for accountability from the CEC</li><li>Enforcement of procurement regulations</li></ul>                              |  |  |
| Limited project ownership by communities   | Lack of structured civic<br>education     Insequence public participation  | <ul> <li>Poor contract management</li> <li>Inadequate linkages between all<br/>offices involved</li> </ul>                          | <ul><li>Political Goodwill</li><li>New structures for public participation</li></ul>   |  |  |

offices involved

• Lack of proper job placement and

• Inadequate enforcement of the

Inadequate performance tracking

Lack strict integrity requirementsCollusion

management laws and guidelines
Inadequate personnel especially

job description for staff

Code of Regulations

Lack of proper project

in built environments

۲



Lack of discipline among county

staff (especially manifested

Nepotism in recruitment and

Lack of standardization and qual-

ity assurance of county projects

award of county tenders

through lateness to work)

۲

• Inadequate public participation

• Culture of laxity in the county

Inadequate examples from the top leadership

Inadequate adherence to procurement rules and procedures

• Inadequate public participation

Poor contract management

• Poor work ethics

public services

· Lack of goodwill from the public



• Exemplary leadership

Improved governance
New procurement period

project

New procurement personnel

· Participatory planning for and management of

Strengthened HR offices

۲



| County projects undertaken<br>on land that has not been fully<br>transferred to the public                       | <ul> <li>Inadequate Inter-departmental<br/>linkages</li> <li>Inadequate land ownership<br/>verification processes</li> <li>Collusion</li> </ul>                | <ul> <li>Inadequate personnel</li> <li>Poor project management</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Improved Inter-departmental linkages</li> <li>Enhanced documentation and management of<br/>public assets</li> </ul>   |
|--|--|---|--|
| Border conflicts leading to<br>encroachment of county markets<br>by neighbouring counties                        | <ul> <li>Inadequate government services<br/>and utilities</li> <li>Inadequate documentation and<br/>management of county assets<br/>especially land</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Inadequate border demarcation</li> <li>Inadequate documentation and<br/>management of county assets<br/>especially land</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Presence of regional economic blocks</li> <li>Presence of revenue and investment boards</li> </ul>  |
| • Inequitable distribution of resources among political and administrative units                                 | <ul> <li>Lack of formula for equitable<br/>ward-based projects allocation</li> <li>Variation in existing levels of<br/>development</li> </ul>                  | <ul> <li>External determination of boundaries</li> <li>Selfishness and unhealthy competition among MCAs</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Existence of GIS lab for spatial planning</li> <li>Initiation of legislation for Ward-Based<br/>planning</li> </ul>   |
| Delayed operationalization of village administrative units   | <ul> <li>Failure to delineate villages</li> <li>Concerns over the ballooning wage bill</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Lack of appropriate legislation</li> <li>Political gridlocks that undermine delineation of villages</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Political goodwill</li> <li>Appointment of CECM in charge of<br/>administration</li> </ul>  |
| Infighting between the elected MCAs and Ward Administrators  | <ul> <li>Misinterpretation of powers and responsibilities under the law</li> <li>Personal interests</li> </ul>   | Lack of public participation policy   | -Directorate Stakeholder management and<br>public participation  |
| Inadequate services at ward admin offices  | <ul> <li>Lack of political goodwill</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Lack of government-premise-<br/>based offices</li> <li>Inadequate allocation of<br/>resources</li> <li>Poor contract management</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Political goodwill from the new administration</li> <li>Appointment of CECM in charge of<br/>administration</li> </ul>  |
| Inadequate enforcement of<br>county laws   | <ul><li>Inadequate personnel</li><li>Integrity issues</li></ul>  | <ul> <li>Lack of county courts</li> <li>Inadequate allocation of<br/>resources for enforcements<br/>functions</li> <li>Political interference</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Establishment of enforcement unit</li> <li>Recruitment and training of enforcement officers</li> <li>Appointment of CECM in charge of enforcement matters</li> </ul>  |
| Development Issue  | Cause(s)   | Constraint(s)*  | Opportunities**  |
| Sector: Social Protection, Culture a   | nd Recreation  | 1   |  |
| Sub-sector:  |  |   |  |
| Development Issue  | Cause(s)   | Constraint(s)*  | Opportunities**  |
| Under-development of sports<br>infrastructure and recreational<br>facilities                                     | Failure to Develop sports infrastruc-<br>ture and recreational facilities  | Failure to promote and develop<br>sports and sports facilities at all<br>levels   | <ul> <li>Managing, marketing, rehabilitating and<br/>maintaining sports stadia to provide avenues<br/>for sports development</li> </ul>  |
| Under-development of sports talents  | Hidden youth talents   | Inability to identify and nurture hid-<br>den talents   | <ul> <li>Organize ward tournaments /County Leagues</li> <li>Training of sports technical personnel</li> <li>Presentation of county teams to inter county<br/>and international sports events</li> <li>Encourage PWDs to embrace Paralympic and<br/>Deaflympics sport activities in all sub counties</li> </ul> |
| <ul><li>Inadequate</li><li>structures to nature</li><li>youth talents</li></ul>                                  | <ul><li> Poor planning</li><li> Lack of political good will</li></ul>  | • Failure to recognize and nature talents through talent academies, annual games and sports   | Establish functional talent centres for young<br>people  |
| Limited public knowledge on<br>youth, PWDs and gender inclu-<br>sion approaches in development<br>and governance | Lack of youth and gender inclusion strategies  | <ul> <li>Poor civic education on<br/>mainstreaming of youth,<br/>PWDs and gender issues<br/>through sensitization to county<br/>departments to incorporate<br/>gender issues in planning,<br/>budgeting and implementation of<br/>them programmes.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Affirmative action – provide women, youth and<br/>PWD opportunities to be better represented in<br/>decision making processes.</li> <li>Ensure uptake of 30% of tenders by youth,<br/>women and PWD,</li> <li>Establish a one- stop shop for AGPO services</li> </ul>                                 |
| Few incentives for motivating<br>young people to participate in<br>sports  | Inadequate public participation  | <ul> <li>No scheme to identify and<br/>equitably award talented youth in<br/>sports and cultural activities</li> </ul>  | Introduce sports competition award schemes   |

Page 45



۲



| Limited investment in the cultural industry   | <ul><li>Poor planning</li><li>Lack of political goodwill</li></ul>                  | Missing cultural industries to market<br>youth talents through performing<br>arts and story writing                                   | Partnership with the Kenya Film Commission, pri-<br>vate sector and the National Museums of Kenya,<br>UNESCO, Kenya tourism Board, UNEP Participate<br>in exchange programs with other county, national<br>and international agencies  |
|---|---|---|--|
| Limited social amenities, recre-<br>ational facilities and correctional<br>institutions for the public            | Poor public participation strategies<br>Poor planning<br>Lack of political goodwill | Lack of equitable social<br>Amenities, recreational facilities and<br>rehabilitation centres  | Establishing functional facilities for<br>social activities, recreation and<br>rehabilitating survivors of drugs, GBV  |
|   |   |   | among others   |
| Inadequate credit facilities for<br>SMEs owned by youth, women<br>and PWD that are affordable                     | Poor public participation strategies<br>Poor planning<br>Lack of political goodwill | No linkages for youth, women and PWD with loans and grant schemes   | Enhancing financial inclusion  |
| Weak localization of national policies for youth, children, older persons, women and PWD                          | Lack of policies and legal frame-<br>works  | Lack of policies that protect and promote the rights and welfare of youth, women and PWD  | Localize national policies and implement them  |
| Underdevelopment of Gender and<br>Social protection services<br>Inadequate Gender and disability<br>Mainstreaming | Poor public participation<br>Lack of policies and legal frame-<br>works             | Lack Women and youth empower-<br>ment<br>Inadequate improvement in the<br>welfare of the vulnerable and mar-<br>ginalized population. | <ul> <li>Capacity building of youth, women and PWDs<br/>on entrepreneurship.</li> <li>Ensure compliance with Government 30%<br/>procurement reservation for vulnerable and<br/>marginalized groups.</li> <li>Facilitating opportunities for youth and women<br/>to participate in all processes of national<br/>development</li> <li>Establishment of Children's parks</li> <li>Establishment of children remand/correctional<br/>homes.</li> <li>Establishment of rescue and rehabilitation/<br/>correction centres</li> <li>and Child protection units within our police<br/>stations in the County.</li> <li>Develop child welfare protection policy</li> </ul> |
| Under-development and pres-<br>ervation of cultural heritage and<br>arts, and programs                            | Poor public participation<br>Lack of policies and legal frame-<br>works             | Inability to identify and nurture of cultural and the arts talents  | <ul> <li>Construction of multiplex cultural centres</li> <li>Organize county cultural festivals</li> <li>Organize inter county cultural exchange programmes</li> <li>Establishment of county museums</li> <li>Establishment of county anthem/attire</li> <li>Engagement of traditional herbalists Establish cultural and heritage promotion services i.e. theatre, artists and traditional musicians.</li> </ul>   |
| Decline in cross cohort male empowerment  | Poor public participation<br>Lack of policies and legal frame-<br>works             | Lack Completed partnership<br>agreements  | <ul> <li>Establish a trust fund to fundraise for boy child<br/>empowerment</li> <li>Support entrepreneurial skills training for the<br/>boys and young men</li> <li>Establish an entrepreneurial SACCO for young<br/>male entrepreneurs</li> <li>Establish male peer to peer psychosocial<br/>groups and reflection circle safe spaces for<br/>men and boys</li> <li>Establish sub county Elderly recreation centres<br/>(Duol)</li> <li>Conduct Annual Men to Men Conference</li> </ul>   |
| Lack of Mainstreamed Climate<br>Change Programs within the<br>Sector  | <ul> <li>Inadequate resources</li> <li>Lack of Legal Frame works</li> </ul>         | Failures to implement Government directives on climate change   | <ul> <li>Create Children, Youth, Women and PWDs<br/>environmental groups to champion Climate<br/>Change Activities, i.e. tree planting.</li> <li>Plant trees to Regreen children's park,<br/>developed stadiums,, Developed rescue<br/>centres and Cultural sites</li> </ul>   |



۲



۲

# **CHAPTER THREE:** SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

۲



#### 3.0 Overview

This chapter presents a spatial development framework for the county that defines the general trend and direction of the spatial development of the various sectors in the county. It is the county's plan aiming at coordinating settlement patterns and economic activities for sustainable socio-economic development as envisioned in key policy documents such as Vision 2030, the fourth Medium Term Plan (MTP-IV) and the National Spatial Plan 2015 - 2045.

#### **Spatial Development Framework**

The County Spatial plan is supposed to provide detailed guidelines and planning standards for zoning provisions as well as permitted functionality of the plan. It addresses the disconnect between economic and spatial planning that has led to uncoordinated and unguided development within the urban centres and towns in the county by providing a broad physical framework that provides physical planning policies to support economic and sectoral planning. Further, it provides a synthesis and scenario building while embracing Sustainable Development Principle and recommends a balanced Spatial Framework within which development projects and programmes will be implemented. The following are key elements of the spatial framework and strategies by thematic areas, which are aligned to Kenya Vision 2030, its fourth Medium Term Plan (MTP-IV), and the National Spatial Plan 2015–2045.

#### **Modernizing agriculture**

۲

Agricultural transformation is essential for Homa Bay County because it has huge potential to spur growth and raise income. However, the agriculture sector in Homa Bay county is yet to realize its full potential due to inconsistent and uncoordinated agricultural development strategies. Nevertheless, agriculture is still one of the leading sources of income in Homa Bay County.

All agricultural land for food production except the areas delineated as Urban and Transport Corridors should be designated as Green Spatial Development areas. Moreover, where human settlement activities shall be permitted and thus designated as rural areas and/or peri-urban for housing/home development, they must be compatible and conform to the green agenda in housing development. Therefore, the following are spatial development strategies for transforming agriculture into a modern and commercially viable sector through intensification and diversification.

- The county to increase agricultural productivity through improved technology uptake, and mechanization;
- The county to expand land under agriculture through small-holder farmer participation and increased farmer extension services;
- The county to consider appropriate, affordable, and reliable crop and livestock husbandry methods, and technol-

ogy applied and transferred to the populace to engage in profitable agriculture and promote local economic growth;

- The county to expand land under agriculture through irrigation programmes to cushion farmers from the impact of unreliable rainfall.
- Integrate geospatial technology (GIS) in agriculture

#### 3.1.2 Diversifying tourism

Diversifying tourism to expand the sector into a new market rather than specializing in a single product is critical to the growth of the tourism sector. However, diversification has received relatively little attention in the tourism sector in Homa Bay County.

Diversification strategies lead to the more sustainable development of the tourism sector in terms of protecting natural resources and value-added products and services and strengthening linkages between tourism and other regional industrial sectors.

The diversification strategies to promote sustainable tourism development in Homa Bay County,

- The county to mobilize land resources in the Lakefront through acquisition, purchase, compensation, and land banking to release this land for tourism promotion;
- Develop the County-Tourism products to link to the regional circuit (Western Kenya) and the national circuit synchronized (Branding, Marketing, Promote Visibility in various channels).
- Mapping and conserving all potential tourism zones in the county, i.e. Simbi Nyaima, Nyamgondho, birds island, etc.;
- Mobilisation of funds for capital investment to develop the area as a Lakefront (infrastructure, building lodges, resorts). The Capital Investments shall be through Public-Private Partnerships, National and County Governments, Foreign Direct Investment, and local investors;
- Delineation and designation of the Lake Front, through legislation, as an exclusive tourism development zone;
- Marketing and branding of potential attraction sites

#### 3.1.3 Managing human settlement

The needs of individuals and societies, such as the need for food, water, security, access to commerce, etc., have always directed human settlement. The settlement patterns in the County follow the agro-ecological zones where high potential areas have the highest population density within the County. Such high-potential areas include Ndhiwa, Kasipul, Kabondo Kasipul, etc. The low-potential regions include Suba North, Rangwe, etc., with low population densities. Other factors, such as transportation corridors and fish landing bays, equally





define settlement patterns and the direction of the County's growth. There are large nuclear settlements along major fish landing beaches such as Litare, Luanda Nyamasare, Remba, Takawiri, and Nyandiwa in Suba South, among others.

The overall rates by which people have relocated from rural areas into urban areas (urbanization) in Homa Bay County have continued to increase over time. Therefore, planning for decent and high-quality urban livelihoods and rationalizing rural growth centres is critical.


In order to realize this, aspects of green spaces should be embedded in the human settlement strategies towards integrated and balanced development to allow enhanced environmental quality. Thus, 30% green cover should be encouraged whereas 70% built environment shall comprise residential, industrial, educational, recreation, public purpose, commercial, public utilities, and transportation land uses, while embracing mixed land use for county-wide functionality.

Rural settlement deserves strategies that promote nucleated settlement in rural and the local centre of a radius of 0.5 of a

Kilometre, well serviced with socio-economic facilities to perform their designated functionality.

The following are spatial development strategies for decent and high-quality urban livelihoods, as well as rationalization of rural growth centres.

- The county to consider land banking to develop affordable housing to enhance social inclusivity, equity, and a liveable environment for the urban population in the county;
- The county to establish Appropriate Building and Materials Technology centres (ABMTC) in all the sub-counties;
- The County shall ensure development control is exercised in the human settlement of both in urban and rural areas as provided in the Physical and Land Use Planning Act No. 13 of 2019;
- Resettlement programmes to deepen nucleation while releasing land for agricultural productivity, especially in rural settlements.
- Establishment and operationalization of urban institutions
- 30% green cover should be encouraged



۲

Figure 8: Map 3.1.1 Agriculture





 $( \bullet )$ 

۲



#### **Conserving the natural environment**

Natural capital is an essential element in the structuring of future developments. It is the environment around which all planning, development, and land use decisions should be made. The natural environment in Homa Bay County provides valuable ecosystem services, not merely unused land for available development. Therefore, protecting these areas is not done only for the sake of conservation alone but to make the surrounding developed parts of the county more sustainable, liveable, and valuable (socially, financially, and in terms of green infrastructure).

The natural structure comprises all environmentally significant areas and habitat conservation, including forests, hilltops, wetlands, etc. The spatial development strategies under an integrated natural framework include:

- Create buffers zones through the demarcation of these ecologically sensitive spaces;
- Homa Bay County Government in collaboration with National Government to gazette these areas of ecological integrity;
- Promote ecotourism ventures and recreational activities in these areas to enhance revenue generation to the county;
- Mapping, profiling, and development of potential natural resources;
- Establishment of natural resource conservation programmes

#### **Transportation network**

The operation and progress of modern society relies heavily on the transportation networks. With the targeted strong growth in the economy and the population of Homa Bay County, rapid growth in intra- and inter-regional freight and passenger transportation demand is inevitable. Efficient and sustainable the transport system will play a major role in improving the quality of life in the county. Unregulated public transportation services represent a challenge that needs to be overcome as their inefficiencies may lead to continuing dependency on private cars. Therefore, optimisation of mobility and accessibility through sustainable transportation systems are necessary in order to increase mode shares in public transport.

The following are strategies for maximising the efficiency and sustainability of the transport sector through enhanced links and connectivity:

- Open up all roads (ward-based) from production and industrial to commercial taking into consideration road connectivity;
- Develop the public transport network and complementary infrastructure are crucial elements in supporting urban growth;





**Figure 9: Tourism Diversification** 



Figure 10: Proposed lakefront development for ecotourism in Homa Bay Municipality





- Construct walkways and cycle paths on the main roads by promoting Non-Motorized Transport (NMT) system;
- Widen narrow road reserves and create parking spaces along roads within all CBDs.
- Revive and develop small ports (piers) of Homa Bay, Kendu Bay, Mbita, Mfangano, etc
- Develop, Rehabilitate and maintain transport infrastructural programmes and services.

#### **Providing appropriate infrastructure**

The provision of appropriate infrastructure through interconnected, efficient, reliable, adequate, accessible, safe, sustainable, and environmentally friendly systems of infrastructure (water, energy, education, training, and research facilities; health; ICT; sewer; sports, etc.) requires sustainable strategies such as:


- Acquire land for liquid waste disposal sites in all the major urban centres
- Improve electricity and telephone connectivity
- Acquire land for cemeteries in all major urban
- centres
  Provide street lights along all major roads and urban centre
- Develop internet hot spots where community
- members can log in freely through a publicWi-Fi at Sub-county HQs in major public Parks
- Strengthen tertiary institutions, training, and research facilities
- Upgrade and equip all health facilities
- Improve access to schools and health facilities

#### Industrialisation

۲

Industrialisation growth in the county must be driven through a primary industrial focus where space is provided to develop an economic zone. The special economic zone is to provide a space where foreign and local investors can come and establish their factories or industries. Strategies to realize this include:

- Develop a public-private partnership framework to develop a special economic zone through effective infrastructure development to facilitate these areas as an impetus for growth while embracing the backward and forward linkages concepts.
- Zone industrial areas taking into consideration



( )

Figure 11: Map3.1.3 Human Settlement Pattern




Figure 12: Map3.1 Land use

accessibility in relation to the CBDs and production areas;

 Revamp and establish Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in the county;








Figure 13: Map3.1.5 Transport connectivity

# Table 16: County Spatial Development Strategies by Thematic Areas

| THEMATIC AREA                         | OVERVIEW/ CURRENT STATUS  | POLICY STRATEGY   | POTENTIAL<br>Geographical<br>Areas | LEAD AGENCIES/<br>DEPARTMENTS  |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Diversifying tourism                  | County is home of diversified potential Tourism<br>attraction sites<br>• Ruma National Park<br>• Tom Mboya Mausoleum<br>• Homa Hills Hot Spring<br>• Simbi Nyaima<br>• Mfangano Rock Art,<br>• Oyugis Bird Sanctuary.   | <ul> <li>Mobilize land resources in the Lakefront, through acquisition, purchase, compensation, and land banking to release this land for tourism promotion</li> <li>Develop the County-Tourism to link to the regional circuit (Western Kenya) and the national circuit synchronized (Branding, Marketing, Promote Visibility in various channels).</li> <li>Map and conserve all tourism potential zones in the entire county i.e. Simbi Nyaima, Oyugis Bird Sanctuary, birds island etc;</li> <li>Mobilize funds for capital investment to develop the area as a Lakefront (infrastructure, building lodges and resorts). The Capital Investments shall be through Public-Private Partnership, National and County Government, Foreign Direct Investment and local investors;</li> <li>Delineate and designate the Lake Front, through legislation, as an exclusive tourism development zone.</li> <li>Market and brand of potential attraction sites</li> </ul> | • 8 Sub<br>counties                | <ul> <li>Tourism Section</li> <li>Hospitality Industry</li> <li>Blue economy</li> <li>Tour operators and<br/>Guides</li> <li>Western Tourism Circuit</li> <li>Development partners</li> <li>Donors</li> <li>Investors</li> </ul> |
| Conserving the natural<br>environment | <ul> <li>Homa Bay is endowed with rich natural environment<br/>and geological structure that favours the existence<br/>of minerals</li> <li>County has a forest cover of 2.7% from 2.6%<br/>in 2017.</li> <li>Establish 200 tree nurseries and planting of<br/>seedlings by 50 schools.</li> <li>A total of 27 new water springs protected</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Map, profile and develop potential natural resources</li> <li>Establish of natural resource conservation<br/>programmes</li> <li>Create buffers zones through demarcation of these<br/>ecologically sensitive spaces</li> <li>Collaborate with National Government to gazette these<br/>areas of ecological integrity</li> <li>Promote ecotourism venture and recreational activities<br/>in these areas to enhance revenue generation to the<br/>county.</li> </ul>   | 8 sub counties                     | <ul> <li>Water,</li> <li>Environment and</li> <li>natural resources</li> <li>Energy</li> <li>Lands and Physical planning</li> <li>Forestry</li> <li>Development partners</li> <li>Donors</li> <li>Investors</li> </ul>           |

۲

# HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



Page 51

۲

08/08/2023 18:03:32



| THEMATIC AREA                           | OVERVIEW/ CURRENT STATUS  | POLICY STRATEGY  | POTENTIAL<br>Geographical<br>Areas | LEAD AGENCIES/<br>DEPARTMENTS   |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Transportation<br>network               | <ul> <li>The county improved transportation network by constructing:</li> <li>Five (5) jetties constructed to provide for safe landing in and out of the water body;</li> <li>One (1) bus park was constructed in Oyugis, Rachuonyo South and three (3) guard rails constructed to improve safety.</li> <li>Opened up 2, 416 km countywide</li> <li>Maintained 2,416km of classified roads countywide</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Develop, Rehabilitate and maintain transport<br/>infrastructural programmes and services;</li> <li>Open up all roads (ward-based) from production and<br/>industrial to commercial taking into consideration road<br/>connectivity;</li> <li>Develop the public transport network and<br/>complementary infrastructure as key element in<br/>supporting urban growth;</li> <li>Construct walkways and cycle paths on the main<br/>roads by promoting Non-Motorized Transport (NMT)<br/>system;</li> <li>Widen narrow road reserves and create parking<br/>spaces along roads within all CBDs.</li> <li>Revive and develop small ports (piers) of Homa Bay,<br/>Kendu Bay, Mbita, Mfangano</li> </ul>  | County wide                        | <ul> <li>Road and infrastructure</li> <li>KENHA</li> <li>KURA</li> <li>KERRA</li> <li>Development partners</li> <li>Donors</li> </ul>   |
| Modernizing<br>Agriculture              | <ul> <li>The county has a diverse agricultural potential i.e., sugar cane (Ndhiwa), sun flower (Suba), pineapples (Rangwe) and potatoes (Kasipul and Kabondo Kasipul). maize, beans, sorghum, millet, kales, sweet potatoes and peas.</li> <li>Maize storage facility with a capacity of holding 11, 900 kg bags constructed and equipped.</li> <li>Eight (8) seed bulking sites of grams established in the sub-counties.</li> <li>A total of 730 acres of land under rice farming and 31, 000 bags of rice produced valued at Ksh.558 million.</li> </ul>           | <ul> <li>Increase agricultural productivity through improved technology uptake, and mechanization (tractorisation);</li> <li>Expand land under agriculture, through small-holder farmer participation and increased farmer extension services;</li> <li>Promote appropriate, affordable and reliable crop and livestock husbandry methods, and technology applied and transferred to the populace to engage in profitable agriculture and promote local economic growth;</li> <li>Expand land under agriculture through irrigation programmes to cushion farmers from impact of unreliable rainfall.</li> <li>Establish industries for value addition</li> <li>Provide of subsidies and incentives to the farmers</li> <li>Integrate geospatial technology (GIS) in agriculture</li> </ul> |                                    |   |
| Industrialization                       | <ul> <li>To promote value addition and marketing of processed products, the county embarked on:</li> <li>Maize processing factory is operational in Kigoto, Suba South</li> <li>Animal feeds factory is at 50% completion,</li> <li>Cotton and multi-fruit processing plants are at 5% and 10% respectively with only land identified.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Develop public-private partnership framework to<br/>develop a special economic zone through effective<br/>infrastructure development to facilitate these areas as<br/>an impetus for growth while embracing the backward<br/>and forward linkages concepts.</li> <li>Zone industrial areas taking into consideration<br/>accessibility in relation to the CBDs and production<br/>areas;</li> <li>Revamp and establish Small and Medium Enterprises<br/>(SME) in the county</li> </ul>  | County wide                        | <ul> <li>Trade and<br/>industrialization</li> <li>Agriculture</li> <li>Lands and Physical<br/>Planning</li> <li>Development partners</li> <li>Donors</li> <li>Investors</li> </ul>  |
| Providing appropriate<br>infrastructure | <ul> <li>The county government provided:</li> <li>Fifteen plants and machineries were purchased to accelerate the implementation of road construction and rehabilitation in all the subcounties.</li> <li>2 hostels constructed, 32 pit-latrines constructed and renovation of 16 VTCs. 18 VTCs were equipped with modern tools and equipment, 28 VTCs supplied with training materials.</li> <li>Constructed Nyakwere market and 2 modern markets in Homa Bay Town and Oyugis town</li> <li>Constructed Akuba market in Mbita through Symbio-City project</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Acquire land for liquid waste disposal sites in all the major urban centres</li> <li>Improve electricity and telephone connectivity</li> <li>Acquire land for cemeteries in all major urban centres</li> <li>Provide street lights along all major roads and urban centres</li> <li>Develop internet hot spots where community members can log in freely through a public Wi-Fi at Sub County HQs in major public Parks</li> <li>Strengthen tertiary institutions (VTCs), training and research facilities</li> <li>Upgrade and equip all health facilities</li> <li>Improve access to schools and health facilities</li> </ul>   | Countywide                         | <ul> <li>Road and infrastructure</li> <li>Water and environment</li> <li>Energy</li> <li>Education</li> <li>Trade and<br/>Industrialization</li> <li>Housing and Urban<br/>Development</li> <li>Agriculture</li> <li>KENHA</li> <li>KURA</li> <li>KERRA</li> <li>Development partners</li> <li>Donors</li> <li>Investors</li> </ul> |
| Managing human<br>settlement            | <ul> <li>Established and operationalize Homa Bay<br/>Municipality</li> <li>Delineated urban boundaries for five (5) urban<br/>areas (Homa Bay, Oyugis, Mbita, Kendu Bay and<br/>Ndhiwa)</li> <li>Tenure regularization and planning of Kendu<br/>Bay old town and Ndhiwa Informal settlements<br/>ongoing through KISIP</li> <li>Constructed ABTC in Ndhiwa and renovation of<br/>four (4) government houses</li> <li>Construction of 400 units of affordable housing<br/>project ongoing</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Land banking to develop affordable housing to<br/>enhance social inclusivity, equity and liveable<br/>environment for the urban population in the county;</li> <li>Establish Appropriate Building and Materials<br/>Technology centres (ABMTC) in all the sub counties;</li> <li>Ensure development control is exercised in human<br/>settlement both in urban and rural areas as provided<br/>in the Physical and Land Use Planning Act No. 13 of<br/>2019;</li> <li>Establish and operationalize of urban institutions</li> <li>30% green cover should be encouraged</li> </ul>   | County wide                        | <ul> <li>Housing and Urban<br/>Development</li> <li>Lands and Physical<br/>Planning</li> <li>Development partners</li> <li>Donors</li> </ul>  |



۲



۲

# **CHAPTER FOUR:** COUNTY DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES AND STRATEGIES

۲



This chapter presents the development priorities and strategies for implementation during the 2023-2027 planning period. The chapter outlines the underlying process undertaken to enhance evidence based and multi-stakeholder engagement in priority setting, including: (i) governor's manifesto; (ii) sector and community priorities; (iii) sector flagships; and (iv) cross-sectoral integrated flagships analysis.

# 4.1 Sector Name: Agriculture, Rural and Urban Development (ARUD)

#### Sector composition:

The Agriculture Rural and Urban Development (ARUD) Sector comprises of seven (7) sub- sectors namely: County Department of Agriculture and Livestock, County department of Blue economy and Fisheries, County Department of Lands, Housing, Urban Development and Physical Planning (CDLHUPP); and County Municipal Board (CMB).

#### **Sub-Sectors and their roles:**

۲

#### **Agriculture and Livestock sub-sector**

- Formulate, implement and monitor agriculture and livestock legislations, regulations and policies
- Provide agricultural extension services and animal welfare
- Link with agricultural research institutions and promote technology deliver
- Develop, implement and coordinate programs in the agricultural sector
- Regulation and quality control of inputs and services in the sector
- Management and control of pests and diseases in crops and livestock
- Promote management and conservation of the natural resource base for agriculture
- Collect, maintain and manage information on the agriculture and livestock sector
- Promote and develop livestock including emerging species
- Set research agenda, liaison and coordination
- Manage livestock information
- Monitor and manage livestock feed and food security
- Facilitate development and rehabilitation of livestock marketing infrastructure
- Livestock breeding and multiplication services
- Veterinary Public Health and Food Safety
- Blue Economy and Fisheries sub-sector
- Fisheries extension services
- Up scaling fin fish culture
- Fish seed bulking units
- On-farm trials
- Fish Health certification

- Development and maintenance of fish landing stations and jetties, fish auction centres and fish landing fees
- Demarcation of all breeding areas and fencing of fish landing stations
- Fish trade licensing and fish trade permits
- Collection of fish production statistics
- Enforcement of fisheries regulations and compliance with management measures
- Implementation of fisheries policy, fisheries management measures and regulation and limiting access to fishing
- Fisheries monitoring, controls and surveillance
- Zonation for aquaculture county specific disease control

#### **Blue Economy and Fisheries sub-sector**

- Fisheries extension services
- Fish seed bulking units
- On farm trials
- Fish Health certification
- Development and maintenance of fish landing stations and jetties, fish auction centres and fish landing fees
- Demarcation of all breeding areas and fencing of fish landing stations
- Fish trade licensing and fish trade permits
- Collection of fish production statistics
- Enforcement of fisheries regulations and compliance
   with management measures
- Implementation of fisheries policy, fisheries management measures and regulation and limiting access to fishing
- Fisheries monitoring, controls and surveillance
- Zonation for aquaculture county specific disease control
- Lakefront Development
- Development and Implementation of a Blue Economy Policy framework
- Development of a Blue Economy Master Plan
- Promote sustainable use of freshwater and wetland resources
- Build capacity and research and development in the Blue Economy
- Promotion of trade and investment in Blue Economy resources

#### Lands and Physical Planning sub-sector

- Formulation of county spatial plan and urban plans
- Identifying, geo-referencing, surveying and titling and fencing of public land
- Urban housing projects in collaboration with national government and other stakeholders
- Establishment of town management offices and urban committees
- Establishment of GIS Centre
- Development of GIS based valuation roll

Page 53





08/08/2023 18:03:37





- Installation and implementation of Land Information System LIMs
- Maintenance and rehabilitation of county government buildings
- Establishment of appropriate building technology centres
- Kenya Informal Settlement Improvement Programme
- Formulation of physical planning, lands and housing policies
- Develop county urban institutional development strategies

#### **Housing and Urban Development sub-sector**

- To facilitate progressive realization of the right to adequate housing by all;
- To promote the development of housing that is functional, healthy, aesthetically pleasant and environmentally & friendly;
- To assist the low-income earners and economically vulnerable groups in housing in housing improvement and production;
- To encourage research and popularize the use of appropriate building materials that are locally available and low cost building technologies to reduce the cost of housing;
- To contribute in the alleviation of poverty by creating employment among the poor through building material production and construction processes as well as promote income-generating activities within the built environment;
- To mobilize resources and strengthen capacity building in order to facilitate increased investment in the housing sub sector;
- To streamline the legal and institutional framework to promote housing development;
- To promote inclusive participation of the private sector, public sector, community based organizations, Non-Governmental Organizations, co-operatives, communities and other development partners in planning, development and management of housing programmes.

#### **Municipality sub-sector**

۲

- To promote a safe and healthy environment.
- To promote and undertake infrastructural development and services within the municipality.
- Monitor and regulate municipal services where those services are provided by services providers other than the board of the municipality.
- Collect rates, taxes levies, duties, fees, and surcharges on fees and settle and implement tariff rates and tax and debt collection policies.
- facilitate and regulate public transport
- Oversee the affairs of the municipality.
- Ensure provision of services to its residents.
- Develop and manage schemes including site development in collaboration with the relevant national and county agencies.
- Vision: An innovative, commercially-oriented and modern agriculture, livestock development, fisheries, rural and urban development Sector.
- Mission: To improve livelihoods of the people of Homa Bay County through promotion of sustainable, competitive and innovative agriculture, livestock development, blue economy, fisheries, research development and sustainable land management.
- Sector Goal:

The overall goal of the sector is to attain food and nutrition security; sustainable management and utilization of land and blue economy. The sector is a key player in economic and social development of the county through food production, employment and wealth creation, security of land tenure and land management

 Sector Priorities and Strategies: The sector priorities are derived from the sector development issues documented in Section 1 above. Information in this section is presented in Table 3.

### **Table 17: Sector Priorities and Strategies**

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP

| SECTOR PRIORITIES                      | STRATEGIES   |
|--|--|
| Increase crop productivity and outputs | <ul> <li>Promotion of small holder irrigated agriculture and completion of pending works on the Kimira-Oluch irrigation schemes.</li> <li>Development of Homa Bay county farm Input subsidy program through creation of an input supply system with agro-dealers that will ensure farmers access and use certified seeds and clean planting materials.</li> <li>Development of an Agricultural Mechanization Service Centre that will provide subsidized mechanization services on hired terms to farmers to improve efficiency in agricultural production and generate revenue for the county.</li> <li>Establishment of Agricultural Training Centre (ATC) for Homa Bay County to help in technology research development and subsequent transfer to farmers and revenue generation for county though research and conference facility.</li> <li>Facilitate Agriculture Technology Development Centre (ATDC) and capacity build local artisans to fabricate affordable agricultural equipment in crop and livestock production, value addition and agro-processing.</li> <li>Promote stakeholder engagement and collaboration in extension service delivery and pre-financing through: <ul> <li>a) Establishing and promoting access to affordable financial facilities and develop Homa Bay county farmers' credit facility and revolving fund linkage system.</li> <li>b) Strengthening inkage to farm input suppliers and demonstrations on use of certified seeds, fertilizers, agro-chemicals, farm machineries, certification of products, credit access and modern technologies in agriculture to promote use of and uptake.</li> <li>c) Strengthening research-extension-farmer linkages in agricultural technology development and adoption by farmers</li> <li>d) Promoting uptake of agricultural products in insurance to cushion farmers in risky but lucrative agricultural enterprises of dairy farming and horticultural crop production for export markets e.g. French beans</li> <li>Promotion of Climate Smart Agriculture technologies</li> <li>Support crop diversification by promoting orphaned crops</li></ul></li></ul> |





| Improve agricultural extension service delivery   | <ul> <li>Improve service delivery through hire of additional extension staff to fill up gaps for staff.</li> <li>Facilitate continuous professional development of extension staff capacity.</li> <li>Facilitate farmer trainings and technology transfers</li> <li>Facilitate mobility of Agricultural Extension Officers in the field through purchase of motorcycles and vehicles for field extension staff.</li> <li>Develop early warning and disease surveillance systems for the department.</li> <li>Promote development of youth and 4K Clubs in agriculture.</li> </ul>  |
|---|--|
| Reduce post-harvest losses  | <ul> <li>Establishment of post-harvest handling facilities and cold chain management systems</li> <li>Promotion of use of hermetic bags and metal silos.</li> <li>Promotion of Integrated Pest and Disease Management (IPDM).</li> <li>Promote use of proper handling and transportation equipment to reduce post-harvest losses and improve shelf life.</li> </ul>  |
| Promote market access   | <ul> <li>Sensitization and promotion of use of e-marketing through development of an agribusiness hub at the Homa Bay ATC.</li> <li>Establish participatory market system development.</li> <li>Establish and equip agricultural produce aggregation centres.</li> <li>Promote establishment of farmers' cooperatives for marketing of farm produce and access to farm inputs and credit.</li> <li>Establish appropriate marketing infrastructure including livestock markets, slaughter houses/abattoirs and fish landing sites.</li> <li>Establish ice flaking plants at strategic BMUs and Provision of cooling equipment.</li> <li>Training and demonstrations on value addition to increase value, shelf life and marketability of agricultural, livestock and fisheries products.</li> </ul>   |
| Create enabling legal and regulatory<br>framework for county specific<br>agricultural development | <ul> <li>Develop county policy on Agricultural intensification and commercialization.</li> <li>Develop policy on agriculture land use</li> <li>Sustainable agriculture and climate smart policy.</li> <li>Develop policy for agriculture sector coordination.</li> <li>Adopt and domesticate national policy on agrochemical use and safe use practice.</li> <li>Develop policy on seed and seed systems, specifically for vegetative propagation.</li> <li>Develop policy on gender inclusivity in agricultural development.</li> <li>Legal framework on extension revitalization and digitization to fit into the GIS county plan.</li> <li>Develop animal welfare policy</li> <li>Develop animal sales yard and auction policy.</li> <li>Develop revolving fund management policy.</li> <li>Develop regulations governing certification of seed, fish and livestock multiplication centres be certified.</li> <li>Develop appropriate policy and legal framework for fisheries development.</li> </ul>  |
| Increase capture and farmed fish productivity   | <ul> <li>Promote cage culture fish production</li> <li>Establishment of model pond fish farms and fish cages for technology transfer</li> <li>Development of specific fish species management plans for Nile perch, tilapia and omena.</li> <li>Protection of fish breeding grounds.</li> <li>Undertake monitoring, control and surveillance of the lake fisheries.</li> <li>construction of modern fish bandas in all major fish landing beaches</li> <li>Acquisition of additional patrol boats to ensure compliance with fishing regulations and security in the lake.</li> <li>Acquisition of life saving gear to ensure safety of fish folks while at the lake</li> <li>Promotion of fish production.</li> <li>Support farmers with input subsidy.</li> </ul>   |
| Enhance Fisheries Extension Delivery services   | <ul> <li>Improve service delivery through hire of additional extension staff to fill up gaps for staff.</li> <li>Facilitate continuous professional development of extension staff capacity.</li> <li>Facilitate farmer trainings and technology transfers</li> <li>Facilitate mobility of Extension Officers in the field through purchase of motorcycles and vehicles for field extension staff.</li> <li>Develop early warning and disease surveillance systems for the department.</li> </ul>  |
| Increase Livestock Production and<br>Productivity   | <ul> <li>Development of Homa Bay county livestock Input subsidy program through creation of an input supply system with agro-dealers that will ensure livestock farmers access inputs.</li> <li>Promote the use of modern breeding technologies e.g. A.I.</li> <li>Establish dairy cattle and dairy goats' multiplication centres.</li> <li>Promote provision of improved chicken and dairy goats per household.</li> <li>Promote and Support poultry production through local poultry upgrading, improvement and acquisition of additional egg incubators</li> <li>Promote and Support poultry production and conservation.</li> <li>Promote afternative sources of protein in animal feeds.</li> <li>Promote development of apiculture.</li> <li>Promote development of Homa Bay county farmers' credit facility linkage system for affordable and easy access to agricultural loans.</li> <li>I.Linkage to farm input suppliers and demonstrations on improved fodder and feeds, vaccinations, pests &amp; disease management, hatcheries, apiaries, calf management.</li> <li>g) Strengthening research-extension-farmer linkages in agricultural technology development and adoption by farmers</li> <li>Promote Pigs, Rabbits and emerging Livestock species</li> <li>Promote not farm tequicultural products in insurance to cushion farmers in risky but lucrative agricultural enterprises of dairy farming and horticultural crop production for export markets e.g. French beans</li> <li>Promote market development mough produce standardization, product certification and pricing</li> <li>Construct modern slaughterhouses</li> <li>Construct and manage modern auction yards.</li> <li>Undertake disease surveillance, control and routine Veterinary curative services including vaccination.</li> <li>Develop infrastructure (laboratories), for disease diagnostic and treatment.</li> <li>Develop infrastructure for pests, vector and disease control.</li> <li>Develop infrastructure for pests, vector and disease control</li></ul> |

۲





۲

| Enhance Livestock Extension Delivery services                  | <ul> <li>Improve service delivery through hire of additional extension staff to fill up gaps for staff.</li> <li>Facilitate continuous professional development of extension staff capacity.</li> <li>Facilitate farmer trainings and technology transfers</li> <li>Facilitate mobility of Extension Officers in the field through purchase of motorcycles and vehicles for field extension staff.</li> <li>Develop early warning and disease surveillance systems for the department.</li> </ul>  |
|--|--|
| Proper county spatial planning                                 | <ul> <li>Effective planning of towns markets and all public land and prompt issuance of tittle deeds in collaboration with the National Government</li> <li>Continue to ensure that tittle deeds are issued</li> <li>Continue the process of construction of modern markets in the major towns</li> </ul>  |
| Adequate land banking, land adjudication and survey of markets | <ul> <li>Acquisition and registration of land in the name of the county government for development</li> <li>Purchase of modern GPS and other equipment's</li> </ul>  |
| Enhancing Fish Value Chain                                     | <ul> <li>Promote fish farming by establishing model pond fish farms and fish cages for technology transfer.</li> <li>Development of specific fish species management plans for Nile perch, tilapia and omena Protection of fish breeding grounds</li> <li>Undertake monitoring, control and surveillance of the lake fisheries.</li> <li>construction of modern fish bandas in all major fish landing beaches</li> <li>Acquisition of additional patrol boats to ensure compliance with fishing regulations and security in the lake.</li> <li>Acquisition of life saving gears to ensure safety of fisher folks while operating on the lake.</li> <li>Enhance Fisheries Extension Delivery Services Employ Additional Fisheries extension delivery personnel</li> <li>Improved facilitation to extension service</li> </ul> |
| Lakefront development  | <ul> <li>Establishment of Lakefront Development Corporation</li> <li>Undertake marine and Lakefront spatial planning</li> <li>Promote trade and investment in lakefront resources</li> <li>Adopt climate smart lakefront activities/practices</li> </ul>   |
| Position Homa Bay as a premier Blue<br>Economy Destination     | <ul> <li>Formulation of responsive policy and legal framework</li> <li>Development of a Blue Economy Master Plan</li> <li>Build capacity of Blue Economy resource users</li> <li>Enhance research on sustainable use of wetland resource</li> <li>Promote alternative livelihood approaches to exploitation of wetland resources</li> <li>Promote sustainable use of freshwater and wetland resources</li> <li>Stage Blue Economy Investment Conferences/Fares/Exhibitions</li> <li>Development of Piers and Dry Docks</li> <li>Promotion of trade and investment in Blue Economy resources</li> </ul>   |

# 4.1.1 Sector Programmes

۲

This section provide ARUD sector programmes and flagship projects to be implemented within the 2023-2027 planning period as presented in tables 1 and 2 respectively.

#### **Sector Programmes**

### Table 18: Sector Programmes ARUD

|                        |                                       | ncy and Effectiveness in Extension<br>inistrative and extension suppor |                             | ery    |       |        |       |        |      |        |      |        |      |                           |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|---------------------------|
| Sub<br>Programme       | Key Output                            | Key Performance Indicators   | Linkages to<br>SDG Targets* | Ye     | ear 1 | Ye     | ear 2 | Ye     | ar 3 | Year 4 |      | Year 5 |      | Total Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
|                        |                                       |  |                             | Target | Cost  | Target | Cost  | Target | Cost | Target | Cost | Target | Cost |                           |
| Policy and<br>Planning | Policies and frameworks developed     | No. of policies formulated and implemented                             | 1&2                         | 5      | 30M   | 1      | 4M    | 1      | 4M   | 1      | 4M   | -      | -    | 42M                       |
|                        | Administrative services               | Assorted office furniture and cold chain system acquisition            | 8                           | 2 Sets | 0.5M  | 2 Sets | 0.5M  | 2 Sets | 0.5M | 2 Sets | 0.5M | 2 Sets | 0.5M | 2.5M                      |
|                        | Blue Economy Master Plan<br>developed | No. of Blue Economy Master<br>Plans Developed                          | 1                           | 1      | 150M  | -      | -     | -      | -    | -      | -    | -      | -    | 150M                      |
|                        | Fisheries Management<br>plans         | No. Of fisheries management<br>plans developed                         | 3                           | 3      | 10M   | -      | -     | -      | -    | -      | -    | -      | -    | 10M                       |
|                        | Blue Economy Policy<br>developed      | No. of Blue Economy Policy<br>Documents Developed                      | 1,2,3                       | 1      | 10M   | 1      | 10M   | 1      | 10M  | -      | -    | -      | -    | 30M                       |
|                        | Strategic plans developed             | No. of Strategic plans/Municipal<br>plans developed                    | 1,2,3                       | 8      | 40M   | -      | -     | -      | -    | -      | -    | -      | -    | 40M                       |
|                        | Sectoral plan developed               | Sectoral Plan  | 1,2,3                       | -      | -     | 1      | 10M   | -      | -    | -      | -    | -      | -    | 10M                       |







| Objective: To i                         | ncrease agricultural produc   |  |              |            |           |            |           |            |           |            |           |            |           |              |
|---|---|--|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Sub                                     | anced Food Security and Im<br>Key Output                            | proved Livelihoods for county re<br>Key Performance Indicators   | Linkages to  | Ye         | ear 1     | Y          | 'ear 2    | Ye         | ar 3      | ۱          | /ear 4    | Ye         | ar 5      | Total Budget |
| Programme                               |   |  | SDG Targets* | Target     | Cost      | (KSh. M)*    |
| Crop<br>development<br>Services         | Improved crop productivity<br>and output                            | No. of beneficiaries of the<br>county annual farm Input<br>subsidy programme                               | 1&2          | 8,000      | 60M       | 300M         |
|   |   | No. of staffs trained on the input<br>subsidy programme  | 1&2          | 42         | 2.2M      | 11M          |
|   |   | No. of agro-dealers trained on the input subsidy programme   | 1&2          | 24         | 2M        | 8          | 1M        | 8          | 1M        | 8          | 1M        | 8          | 1M        | 6M           |
|   |   | No. of Small Holder Irrigation<br>Schemes constructed and<br>operationalized                               | 1&2          | 1          | 700M      | 1          | 500M      | 1          | 500M      | 1          | 350M      | 1          | 350M      | 2.4B         |
|   |   | Cum. % of works completed on<br>Mechanization Service Centre   | 1&2          | 60%        | 35M       | 100%       | 15M       | -          | -         | -          | -         | -          | -         | 50M          |
|   |   | Cum. % of works completed on<br>planned FTC at Wangapala in<br>Homa Bay East                               | 1&2          | 20%        | 40M       | 40%        | 40M       | 60%        | 40M       | 80%        | 40M       | 100%       | 40M       | 200M         |
|   |   | No. of agricultural machines procured  | 1&2          | 20         | 10M       | 50M          |
|   |   | No. of technologies developed<br>and disseminated to farmers   | 1&2          | 5          | 1M        | 5M           |
|   |   | No. of agricultural equipment designed and fabricated  | 1&2          | 10         | 5M        | 25M          |
|   |   | No. of agricultural export<br>products promoted per ward   | 1&2          | -          | -         | 2          | 1M        | 2          | 1M        | 2          | 1M        | 2          | 1M        | 4M           |
|   |   | No. of agricultural products standardised, certified and approved  | 1&2          | -          | -         | 8          | 2M        | 8          | 2M        | 8          | 2M        | 8          | 2M        | 8M           |
|   |   | No. of organic products certified<br>for consumption   | 1&2          | -          | -         | 8          | 2M        | 8          | 2M        | 8          | 2M        | 8          | 2M        | 8M           |
|   |   | No. of farmers trained on<br>Traditional High value Crops  | 1&2          | 8000       | 2M        | 10M          |
|   | Crops insured   | No. of linkages initiated in<br>agricultural insurance   | 1, 2 &16     | 1          | 2M        | 10M          |
|   | Enhanced market access  | No. of linkages initiated in market access   | 1, 2 &16     | 1          | 2M        | 10M          |
|   | New innovations created   | No. of linkages initiated in research and extension  | 1,2 &16      | 1          | 2M        | 10M          |
|   | Increased area under<br>irrigation                                  | No. of farmers trained on<br>irrigated agriculture   | 1&2          | 8000       | 2M        | 10M          |
|   |   | No. of solar powered irrigation<br>systems/kits procured and<br>installed                                  | 1&2          | 40         | 8M        | 45M          |
|   |   | Proportion of construction and<br>rehabilitation works at Kimira<br>and Oluch Schemes                      | 1&2          | 20%        | 200M      | 40%        | 200M      | 60%        | 200M      | 80%        | 200M      | 100%       | 100M      | 900M         |
|   |   | Proportion of automation<br>of Kimira Oluch scheme<br>(Developing Disruptive<br>agricultural technologies) | 1&2          | 100%       | 10M       | -          | -         | -          | -         | -          | -         | -          | -         | 10M          |
| Land<br>Development<br>Services         | Sustainable land use<br>and environmental<br>conservation practices | No. of farmers trained on<br>climate smart agricultural<br>technologies                                    | 1&2          | 8000       | 2M        | 10M          |
|   |   | No of agricultural machinery<br>acquired and being used by<br>farmers (tractors)                           | 1&2          | -          | -         | 4          | 20M       | 4          | 20M       | -          | -         | -          | -         | 40M          |
|   |   | No. of data collection gadgets<br>in soil testing and mapping<br>programme procured                        | 1&2          | -          | -         | 16         | 5M        | -          | -         | -          | -         | -          | -         | 5M           |
|   |   | No. of staff trained on soil testing   |              | 50         | 2M        | 50         | 2M        | -          | -         | -          | -         | -          | -         | 4M           |
|   |   |  | 1&2<br>1&2   | 8000<br>40 | 1M<br>12M | 5M<br>60M    |
|   |   | structures established (Pans)<br>No. of Community water<br>harvesting structures                           | 1&2          | 40         | 200M      | -          | -         | -          | -         | -          | -         | -          | -         | 200M         |
| Agribusiness<br>Development<br>Services | Skilled agricultural<br>practitioners                               | established (Pans)<br>No. of staff trained and<br>equipped on data collection<br>tools for market surveys  | 1&2          | 50         | 4M        | 50         | 4M        | -          | -         | -          | -         | -          | -         | 8M           |
|   |   | No. of farmers trained<br>on marketing producer<br>organisations   | 1&2          | 8000       | 1M        | 5M           |

۲



۲

|  |  | No. of farmers trained on value addition to increase value,  | 1&2                    | 8000         | 1 <b>M</b> | 8000         | 1M       | 8000       | 1 <b>M</b> | 8000       | 1M       | 8000       | 1M       | 5M          |
|--|--|--|------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|-------------|
|  |  | shelf-life and marketability of agricultural.  |                        |              |            |              |          |            |            |            |          |            |          |             |
|  | Agricultural export<br>products accessing<br>markets                     | No. of agricultural export products accessing markets  | 1&2                    | 10           | -          | -            | -        | -          | -          | -          | -        | -          | -        | -           |
|  | Organic products certified<br>and linked to markets                      | No. of organic products certified<br>and linked to markets   | 1&2                    | 10           | -          | -            | -        | -          | -          | -          | -        | -          | -        | -           |
|  | E-market system<br>and agribusiness hub                                  | Proportion of E-market system<br>and agribusiness hub developed  | 1&2                    | 100%         | 10M        | -            | -        | -          | -          | -          | -        | -          | -        | 10M         |
|  | developed<br>Demonstration done on<br>value addition                     | No. of demonstration done on<br>value addition to increase value,<br>shelf life and marketability of<br>agricultural | 1&2                    | 8000         | 1M         | 8000         | 1M       | 8000       | 1M         | 8000       | 1M       | 8000       | 1M       | 5M          |
|  | nme: FOOD SECURITY ENHAN   | ICEMENT SERVICES   |                        |              |            |              |          |            |            |            |          |            |          |             |
|  | nsure food security in the c<br>anced Food Security and Im<br>Key Output | ounty<br>proved Livelihoods for county re<br>Key Performance Indicators  | sidents<br>Linkages to | Vo           | ar 1       | v            | ear 2    | Vo         | ar 3       | V          | ear 4    | Va         | ar 5     | Total Budge |
| Programme  | Key Output   |  | SDG Targets*           | Target       | Cost       | Target       | Cost     | Target     | Cost       | Target     | Cost     | Target     |          | (KSh. M)*   |
| Post-harvest<br>handling<br>services                   | Reduce post-harvest<br>losses in agricultural<br>produce                 | No. of cereal produce storage facilities constructed   | 1&2                    | 6            | 10M        | -            | -        | -          | -          | -          | -        | -          | -        | 10M         |
| 301 11003  | produce  | No. of hermetic bags and metal silos promoted  | 1&2                    | 4,000        | 3M         | 4,000        | 3M       | -          | -          | -          | -        | -          | -        | 6M          |
|  |  | No. of farmers trained on post-<br>harvest handling  | 1&2                    | 8000         | 1M         | 8000         | 1M       | 8000       | 1M         | 8000       | 1M       | 8000       | 1M       | 5M          |
|  |  | No. of satellite NCPB Stores established   | 1&2                    | 1            | 1M         | 1            | 1M       | 1          | 1M         | 1          | 1M       | 1          | 1M       | 5M          |
|  |  | No. of metal silos fabricated by<br>ATDCs and sold to farmers at<br>subsidised prices                                | 1&2                    | 20           | 20M        | 20           | 20M      | -          | -          | -          | -        | -          | -        | 40M         |
|  |  | No. of horticultural, roots and<br>tuber produce aggregation<br>centres constructed                                  | 1&2                    | 8            | 16M        | 8            | 16M      | 8          | 16M        | -          | -        | -          | -        | 48M         |
|  |  | No. of cooling equipment procured  | 1&2                    | 8            | 10M        | 8            | 10M      | 8          | 10M        | -          | -        | -          | -        | 30M         |
|  | Cotton ginnery established   | Proportion of Cotton ginnery established   | 1&2                    | 30%          | 70M        | 50%          | 50M      | 70%        | 50M        | 100%       | 30M      | -          | -        | 200M        |
| Crop Protection<br>Services                            | Reduced in pest and disease prevalence                                   | No. of staff trained on IPDM   | 1&2                    | 50           | 2M         | -            | -        | -          | -          | -          | -        | -          | -        | 2M          |
|  |  | No. of farmers trained on<br>Integrated Pest and Disease<br>Management (IPDM) promoted                               | 1&2                    | 8000         | 1M         | 8000         | 1M       | 8000       | 1M         | 8000       | 1M       | 8000       | 1M       | 5M          |
|  |  | No. of Demos conducted on IPDM   | 1&2                    | 8000         | 1M         | 8000         | 1M       | 8000       | 1M         | 8000       | 1M       | 8000       | 1M       | 5M          |
| Objective: To p  |  | ate livestock production for soci  | o-economic dev         | elopment     | and indus  | trializatior | 1        |            |            |            |          |            |          |             |
| Sub  | eased Livestock production<br>Key Output                                 | and productivity<br>Key Performance Indicators   | Linkages to            | Ye           | ar 1       | Ye           | ear 2    | Ye         | ar 3       | Ye         | ear 4    | Ye         | ar 5     | Total Budge |
| Programme  |  |  | SDG Targets*           | Target       | Cost       | Target       | Cost     | Target     | Cost       | Target     | Cost     | Target     | Cost     | (KSh. M)*   |
|  | Commercialisation of dairy value chains                                  | No. of dairy cows distributed  | 1&2                    | 80           | 12M        | 80           | 12M      | 80         | 12M        | 80         | 12M      | 80         | 12M      | 60M         |
|  | Livestock supported with fodder  | Number of goats distributed<br>No. of acres under pasture and<br>fodder production                                   | 1&2<br>1&2             | 230<br>200   | 6M<br>8M   | 230<br>200   | 6M<br>8M | 230<br>200 | 6M<br>8M   | 230<br>200 | 6M<br>8M | 230<br>200 | 6M<br>8M | 30M<br>40M  |
|  |  | No. of fodder production and conservation demonstration sites  | 1,2,13                 | 1            | 5M         | 1            | 5M       | 1          | М          | 1          | 5M       | 1          | 5M       | 25M         |
|  | Chicken Breeding farm<br>established                                     | No of breeding farms<br>established  | 1&2                    | 2            | 2M         | 2            | 2M       | 2          | 2M         | 2          | 2M       | 2          | 2M       | 10M         |
|  | Bee keeping equipment<br>distributed                                     | No. of bee keeping inputs<br>distributed   | 1&2                    | 200<br>hives | 1.6M       | 200          | 1.6M     | 200        | 1.6M       | 200        | 1.6M     | 200        | 1.6M     | 8M          |
|  | Honey processing plant<br>established                                    | No of honey processing plants established  | 1&2                    | 1            | 30M        | -            | -        | -          | -          | -          | -        | -          | -        | 30M         |
|  | Livestock insured  | No. of linkages initiated in Livestock insurance   | 1, 2 &16               | 1            | 5M         | 1            | 5M       | 1          | 5M         | 1          | 5M       | 1          | 5M       | 25M         |
|  | Enhanced market access   | No. of linkages initiated in<br>market access  | 1, 2 &16               | 1            | 2M         | 1            | 2M       | 1          | 2M         | 1          | 2M       | 1          | 2M       | 10M         |
|  | New innovations created  | No. of linkages initiated in research and extension  | 1, 2 &16               | 1            | 2M         | 1            | 2M       | 1          | 2M         | 1          | 2M       | 1          | 2M       | 10M         |
|  | Enhanced mobility of extension service providers                         | No. of motorbikes acquired for extension services  | 1&2                    | 2            | 0.7M       | 4            | 1.4M     | 4          | 1.4M       | 4          | 1.4M     | 4          | 1.4M     | 6.3M        |
|  | Income of the state of   | No. of motor vehicles acquired<br>for extension services   | 1&2                    | 1            | 5.5M       | 1            | 5.5M     | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0        | 0          | 0        | 11M         |
| Livestock<br>Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services | Improved slaughter houses  | No. of slaughter houses<br>constructed or improved   | 1&2                    | 1            | 20M        | 1            | 20M      | 1          | 20M        | 1          | 20M      | 1          | 20M      | 100M        |



۲



| ivestock                                | Enhanced Disease and  | No. of animals vaccinated  | 1&2   | 25,000                         | 15M                         | 25,000                              | 15M                               | 25,000                              | 15M                                     | 25,000                              | 15M                               | 25,000                              | 15M                                     | 75M  |
|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| iealth<br>Ind Pest<br>nanagement        | pest management   |  |   |                                |                             |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |  |
| nanayement                              |   | No. of animals sprayed   | 1&2   | 192,000                        | 5M                          | 192,000                             | 5M                                | 192,000                             | 5M                                      | 192,000                             | 5M                                | 192,000                             | 5M                                      | 25M  |
|   |   | No. of crush pens constructed  | 1&2   | 9                              | 0.5M                        | 9                                   | 0.5M                              | 9                                   | 0.5M                                    | 9                                   | 0.5M                              | 9                                   | 0.5M                                    | 2.5M   |
|   |   | No. of cattle dips constructed/<br>improved  | 1&2   | 19                             | 19M                         | 11                                  | 11M                               | 6                                   | 6M                                      | 6                                   | 6M                                | 9                                   | 6M                                      | 48M  |
|   | Skilled Farmers<br>ame: BLUE ECONOMY DEVEL  | No. of farmers trained   | 1&2   | 48000                          | 24M                         | 48000                               | 24M                               | 48000                               | 24M                                     | 48000                               | 24M                               | 48000                               | 24 M                                    | 120M   |
|   |   | ation of the wetlands and the ca   | tchment areas f   | or wealth o                    | creation                    |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |  |
|   |   | mployment opportunities derived  |   |                                |                             | 1 areas                             |                                   |                                     |   |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |  |
| Sub<br>Programme                        | Key Output  | Key Performance Indicators   | Linkages to<br>SDG Targets*   | Yea                            | ar 1                        | Ye                                  | ar 2                              | Yea                                 | ar 3                                    | Ye                                  | ar 4                              | Yea                                 | ar 5                                    | Total Budg<br>(KSh. M)*                          |
|   |   |  |   | Target                         | Cost                        | Target                              | Cost                              | Target                              | Cost                                    | Target                              | Cost                              | Target                              | Cost                                    |  |
| Blue Economy<br>Development<br>Services | Lake front development  | Lakefront Development<br>Corporation established   | 1,2,3,4   | 1                              | 10 M                        | -                                   | -                                 | -                                   | -                                       | -                                   | -                                 | -                                   | -                                       | 10 M   |
|   |   | No. of lakefront development<br>projects implemented   | 1,2,3,4   | -                              | -                           | 1                                   | 500 M                             | 1                                   | 500 M                                   | 1                                   | 500 M                             | 1                                   | 500 M                                   | 2 B  |
|   | Sustainable wetlands development and  | No. of wetlands developed  | 1,2,3,4   | 2                              | 50 M                        | 2                                   | 50 M                              | 2                                   | 50 M                                    | 2                                   | 50 M                              | 2                                   | 50 M                                    | 250 M  |
|   | management<br>Improved vessels docking  | No. of piers and jetties   | 1,2,3,4   | 2                              | 600M                        | 2                                   | 100M                              | 2                                   | 100M                                    | 2                                   | 100M                              | 2                                   | 100M                                    | 1 B  |
|   | facilities<br>Enhanced mineral<br>exploration   | constructed<br>No. of riparian mineral sites   | 1,2,3,4   | 1                              | 10M                         | -                                   | -                                 | -                                   | -                                       | -                                   | -                                 | -                                   | -                                       | 10 M   |
|   | Improved efficiency of blue economy activities  | mapped<br>No. of vessels acquired  | 1,2,3,4   | 1                              | 150M                        | -                                   | -                                 | -                                   | -                                       | -                                   | -                                 | -                                   | -                                       | 150M   |
|   | Enhanced publicity of<br>investment opportunities   | No. of Blue Economy<br>conferences held  | 2&4   | 1                              | 10M                         | 1                                   | 10M                               | 1                                   | 10M                                     | 1                                   | 10M                               | 1                                   | 10 M                                    | 50M  |
|   | Lakefront & Marine spatial<br>plan developed  | Proportion of the spatial plan<br>developed  | 2&4   | 50%                            | 50M                         | 50%                                 | 50M                               | -                                   | -                                       | -                                   | -                                 | -                                   | -                                       | 100M   |
|   | PPPs created  | No. of active PPPs investments   | 2&4   | 2                              | 1M                          | 2                                   | 1M                                | 2                                   | 1M                                      | 2                                   | 1M                                | 2                                   | 1M                                      | 5M   |
|   | ame: FISHERIES DEVELOPME  |  |   |                                |                             |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |  |
|   | rease fisheries productivity a<br>anced food security and imp   |  |   |                                |                             |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |  |
| Sub<br>Programme                        | Key Output  | Key Performance Indicators   | Linkages to<br>SDG Targets*   | Ye                             | ar 1                        | Ye                                  | ar 2                              | Yea                                 | ar 3                                    | Ye                                  | ar 4                              | Yea                                 | ar 5                                    | Total Budge<br>(KSh. M)*                         |
| rrogramme                               |   |  | SDU largets   | Target                         | Cost                        | Target                              | Cost                              | Target                              | Cost                                    | Target                              | Cost                              | Target                              | Cost                                    | (Kon. W)   |
| Capture<br>ïsheries<br>development      | Improved hygiene at fish<br>landing sites   | No. of fish landing bandas with cold storage facility constructed  | 1,2&14  | 10                             | 40M                         | 10                                  | 40M                               | 10                                  | 40M                                     | 10                                  | 40M                               | 10                                  | 40M                                     | 200M   |
| · · ·                                   |   | No. of pit latrines constructed  | 1,2&14  | 10                             | 4.5M                        | 10                                  | 4.5M                              | 10                                  | 5M                                      | 10                                  | 5M                                | 10                                  | 5M                                      | 24M  |
|   | Reduced post-harvest loss of fish and fishery products  | No. of food grade fish cool<br>boxes   | 1,2&14  | 20                             | 4M                          | 20                                  | 4M                                | 20                                  | 4M                                      | 20                                  | 4M                                | 20                                  | 4M                                      | 20M  |
|   | Enhanced fisheries<br>information management  | Number of fish weighing scales procured  | 1,2,3&4   | 30                             | 0.75M                       | 30                                  | 0.75M                             | 30                                  | 0.75M                                   | 30                                  | 0.75M                             | 30                                  | 0.75M                                   | 3.75 M   |
|   | Improved fish quality fish  |  |   |                                |                             |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |                                     |                                   | -                                   |   |  |
|   | quality, value addition and<br>marketing  | % of works done on fish<br>processing plants established   | 1,2,3,4   | -                              | -                           | 25%                                 | 300M                              | 25%                                 | 300 M                                   | 25%                                 | 300M                              | 25%                                 | 300M                                    | 1.2 B  |
|   | quality, value addition and   |  | 1,2,3,4<br>1,2,3,4  | 2                              | -<br>10M                    | 25%                                 | 300M<br>10M                       | 25%                                 | 300 M<br>10M                            | 25%                                 | 300M<br>10M                       | 25%                                 | 300M<br>10M                             | 1.2 B  |
|   | quality, value addition and   | processing plants established<br>Number of Mini-ice processing   |   | -<br>2<br>1                    | -<br>10M<br>20 M            |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |  |
|   | quality, value addition and marketing         Enhanced management and development of fisheries resources         Improved sustainability of fisheries resource  | processing plants established<br>Number of Mini-ice processing<br>plants established<br>No. of fisheries Management<br>Plans Developed<br>No. of fishermen trained on<br>sustainable fisheries resource  | 1,2,3,4   |                                |                             |                                     |                                   |                                     |   |                                     |                                   |                                     | 10M                                     | 50 M   |
|   | quality, value addition and<br>marketing<br>Enhanced management<br>and development of<br>fisheries resources<br>Improved sustainability   | processing plants established<br>Number of Mini-ice processing<br>plants established<br>No. of fisheries Management<br>Plans Developed<br>No. of fishermen trained on  | 1,2,3,4<br>1,2&14   | 1                              | 20 M                        | 2                                   | 10M<br>-                          | 2                                   | 10M<br>-                                | 2                                   | 10M<br>-                          | 2                                   | 10M<br>-                                | 50 M<br>20M                                      |
|   | quality, value addition and marketing         Enhanced management and development of fisheries resources         Improved sustainability of fisheries resource utilisation         Improved conservation of fisheries resources   | processing plants established<br>Number of Mini-ice processing<br>plants established<br>No. of fisheries Management<br>Plans Developed<br>No. of fishermen trained on<br>sustainable fisheries resource<br>utilisation<br>No. of Monitoring, Control and<br>Surveillance activities conducted<br>No. of fish breeding sites<br>demarcated and protected  | 1.2.3.4<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14                       | 1<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 20 M<br>5M                  | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M        | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M              | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M        | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M              | 50 M<br>20M<br>50M<br>40M<br>20M                 |
|   | quality, value addition and marketing         Enhanced management and development of fisheries resources         Improved sustainability of fisheries resource utilisation         Improved conservation of   | processing plants established<br>Number of Mini-ice processing<br>plants established<br>No. of fisheries Management<br>Plans Developed<br>No. of fishermen trained on<br>sustainable fisheries resource<br>utilisation<br>No. of Monitoring, Control and<br>Surveillance activities conducted<br>No. of fish breeding sites  | 1,2,3,4<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14   | 1<br>430<br>120                | 20 M<br>5M<br>8M            | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120                | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M              | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120                | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M                    | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120                | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M              | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120                | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M                    | 50 M<br>20M<br>50M<br>40M                        |
|   | quality, value addition and marketing         Enhanced management and development of fisheries resources         Improved sustainability of fisheries resource utilisation         Improved conservation of fisheries resources         Improved conservation of fisheries resources         Improved omena quality and reduced post-harvest  | processing plants established<br>Number of Mini-ice processing<br>plants established<br>No. of fisheries Management<br>Plans Developed<br>No. of fishermen trained on<br>sustainable fisheries resource<br>utilisation<br>No. of Monitoring, Control and<br>Surveillance activities conducted<br>No. of fish breeding sites<br>demarcated and protected<br>No. of Omena fish dryers  | 1.2.3.4<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14                       | 1<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 20 M<br>5M<br>8M            | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M        | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M              | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M        | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2           | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M              | 50 M<br>20M<br>50M<br>40M<br>20M                 |
| Aquaculture<br>Development<br>Services  | quality, value addition and marketing         Enhanced management and development of fisheries resources         Improved sustainability of fisheries resource utilisation         Improved conservation of fisheries resources         Improved conservation of fisheries resources         Improved omena quality and reduced post-harvest loss         Enhance mobility of   | processing plants established<br>Number of Mini-ice processing<br>plants established<br>No. of fisheries Management<br>Plans Developed<br>No. of fishermen trained on<br>sustainable fisheries resource<br>utilisation<br>No. of Monitoring, Control and<br>Surveillance activities conducted<br>No. of fish breeding sites<br>demarcated and protected<br>No. of Omena fish dryers<br>established   | 1,2,3,4<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2&14<br>1,2,3,4            | 1<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>-      | 20 M<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M      | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>3      | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M<br>30M | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>3      | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M<br>30M       | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>3      | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M<br>30M | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>3      | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M<br>30M       | 50 M<br>20M<br>50M<br>40M<br>20M<br>120M         |
| Development                             | quality, value addition and marketing         Enhanced management and development of fisheries resources         Improved sustainability of fisheries resource utilisation         Improved conservation of fisheries resources         Improved omena quality and reduced post-harvest loss         Enhance mobility of extension service providers         Increased farmed fish | processing plants established<br>Number of Mini-ice processing<br>plants established<br>No. of fisheries Management<br>Plans Developed<br>No. of fishermen trained on<br>sustainable fisheries resource<br>utilisation<br>No. of Monitoring, Control and<br>Surveillance activities conducted<br>No. of fish breeding sites<br>demarcated and protected<br>No. of Omena fish dryers<br>established<br>No. of motor vehicles purchased<br>No. of fish ponds constructed | 1.2.3.4<br>1.2&14<br>1.2&14<br>1.2&14<br>1.2&14<br>1.2&14<br>1.2.3.4<br>1.2.3.4 | 1<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>-<br>1 | 20 M<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M<br>- | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>3<br>1 | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M<br>30M | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>3<br>1 | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M<br>30M<br>8M | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>3<br>1 | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M<br>30M | 2<br>-<br>430<br>120<br>2<br>3<br>1 | 10M<br>-<br>5M<br>8M<br>4M<br>30M<br>8M | 50 M<br>20M<br>50M<br>40M<br>20M<br>120M<br>40 M |

Page 59

۲



۲

۲

|                                      | Enhanced technology<br>transfer in cage fish<br>farming                               | No. of model fish cage farms<br>(aqua park) established                  | 1,2&14                      | -            | -            | -            | -    | 1      | 20M  | -      | -     | -      | -    | 20M                       |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|--------|------|--------|-------|--------|------|---------------------------|
|                                      | Increased aquaculture productivity  | Number of Smallholder Fish<br>Farmers trained                            | 1,2&14                      | 300          | 3M           | 300          | 3M   | 400    | 4M   | 400    | 4M    | 300    | 3M   | 17M                       |
|                                      |   | No. of predator kits distributed to farmers                              | 1,2&14                      | 800          | 36M          | 800          | 36M  | 800    | 36M  | 800    | 36M   | 800    | 36M  | 180M                      |
| ogramme Nai                          | <br>me: LANDS AND PHYSICAL PL   | ANNING   |                             |              |              |              |      |        |      |        |       |        |      |                           |
|                                      | ovide a spatial framework tha<br>ed physical developments                             | t would guide, develop, administer                                       | and manage Lar              | id and its a | ctivities wi | thin the cou | nty  |        |      |        |       |        |      |                           |
| ub                                   | Key Output  | Key Performance Indicators   | Linkages to                 | Ye           | ar 1         | Ye           | ar 2 | Ye     | ar 3 | Ye     | ear 4 | Ye     | ar 5 | Total Budget              |
| rogramme                             |   |  | SDG Targets*                | Target       | Cost         | Target       | Cost | Target | Cost | Target | Cost  | Target | Cost | (KSh. M)*                 |
| ounty<br>nysical and<br>and Use      | County spatial plan   | County spatial plan developed  | SDG 11                      | Plan         | 150M         | -            | -    | -      | -    | -      | -     | -      | -    | 150M                      |
|                                      | Increased awareness on physical planning matters                                      | Number of sensitisation meetings conducted                               | SDG 11                      | 4            | 3.4M         | 8            | 6.4M | 8      | 6.4M | 8      | 6.4M  | 8      | 6.4M | 29M                       |
|                                      | Efficient and balanced land use   | Number of Local Physical and<br>Land Use Development Plans               | SDG 11                      | 2            | 20M          | 2            | 20M  | 2      | 20M  | 1      | 10M   | 0      | 0    | 70M                       |
|                                      | Well-coordinated,<br>developed land use and   | prepared and approved<br>Number of quarterly<br>development applications | SDG 11                      | 4            | 2M           | 4            | 2M   | 4      | 2M   | 4      | 2M    | 4      | 2M   | 10M                       |
| and<br>urvey and                     | zoning<br>Reduced public/private<br>land disputes                                     | reports<br>Number of sub county public<br>lands mapped                   | SDG 11                      | 15           | 3M           | 15           | 3M   | 15     | 3M   | 15     | 3M    | 15     | 3M   | 15M                       |
| emarcation                           | Markets/trading centres surveyed and demarcated                                       | No. of markets/trading centres surveyed and demarcated                   | SDG 11                      | 5            | 3.5M         | 5            | 3.5M | 5      | 3.5M | 5      | 3.5M  | 5      | 3.5M | 17.5M                     |
|                                      | Office completed and operational  | % of office works completed  | SDG 11                      | 12.50%       | 15M          | 37.50%       | 26M  |        | 26M  | 87.50% | 26M   | 100%   | 15M  | 108M                      |
|                                      | Land tenure regularized   | No. of title deeds issued  | SDG 11                      | 15           | 3M           | 15           | 3M   | 15     | 3M   | 15     | 3M    | 15     | 3M   | 15M                       |
| eospatial<br>evelopment              | Enhanced effective<br>and efficient resource<br>management                            | Number of GIS Labs established<br>and operational                        | SDG 11                      | 1            | 30M          | -            | -    | 1      | 30M  | -      | -     | -      | -    | 40M                       |
| and<br>formation<br>anagement        | Secured and accessible land services  | No of sub county land records<br>digitised                               | SDG 11                      | 2            | 3M           | 2            | 3M   | 2      | 3M   | 2      | 3M    | -      | -    | 8M                        |
| j.                                   | Enhanced revenue collection   | Number of sub county valuation roll prepared                             | SDG 11                      | 2            | 25M          | 2            | 15M  | 2      | 20M  | 1      | 15M   | 1      | 15M  | 110M                      |
| ounty Land<br>anking                 | Land bank for future development  | Parcels of public land acquired  | SDG 11                      | 3            | 20M          | 3            | 20M  | 3      | 20M  | 3      | 20M   | 3      | 20M  | 100M                      |
| rogramme Naj                         | Plots repossessed<br>me: HOUSING AND URBAN DEV  | No. of plots repossessed   | SDG 11                      | 10           | 2M           | 20           | 4M   | 40     | 6M   | 60     | 6M    | 100    | 6M   | 24M                       |
| bjective: To im                      | prove suitable, conducive and   | I affordable housing conditions in t                                     | he county                   |              |              |              |      |        |      |        |       |        |      |                           |
| utcome: Secu<br>ub<br>rogramme       | re, well governed, competitive<br>Key Output  | and sustainable urban areas<br>Key Performance Indicators                | Linkages to<br>SDG Targets* | Ye           | ar 1         | Ye           | ar 2 | Ye     | ar 3 | Ye     | ear 4 | Ye     | ar 5 | Total Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
|                                      |   |  |                             | Target       | Cost         | Target       | Cost | Target | Cost | Target | Cost  | Target | Cost |                           |
| ousing<br>frastructure<br>evelopment | Increased housing units   | No of affordable housing units<br>constructed                            | SDG 11                      | 1000         | 200m         | 1000         | 200m | 1000   | 200m | 1000   | 200m  | 1000   | 200m | 1B                        |
| ettlement<br>pgrading<br>ervices     | Enhanced collaborative<br>research and use of locally<br>available building materials | Number ABMT centres<br>established                                       | SDG 11                      | -            | -            | 1            | 15M  | 1      | 15M  | -      | -     | -      | -    | 30M                       |
|                                      | avanuoro punung materials   | No. of persons trained and using the use ABMT                            | SDG 11                      | 1000         | 0.5M         | 1000         | 0.5M | 1000   | 0.5M | 1000   | 0.5M  | 1000   | 0.5M | 2.5M                      |
|                                      | Improved human<br>settlement environment  | No. of informal settlements<br>upgraded (KISIP)                          | SDG 11                      | 8            | 500m         | 8            | 500m | 8      | 500m | -      | -     | -      | -    | 1.5B                      |
|                                      | Improved decent and safe housing for staff  | Number of houses renovated   | SDG 11                      | -            | -            | -            | -    | 20     | 30M  | 15     | 22.5M | 10     | 15M  | 67.5M                     |
| rban<br>anning and<br>evelopment     | Policy formulated and<br>implemented  | Number of Policy Developed   | SDG 11                      | -            | -            | 1            | 10M  | -      | -    | -      | -     | -      | -    | 10M                       |
| - stop none                          | Legal documentations<br>formulated and<br>implemented                                 | Number of legal documentations   | SDG 11                      | 1            | 5M           | 1            | 5M   | -      | -    | -      | -     | -      | -    | 10M                       |
|                                      | Improved water front  | Number of kilometres waterfront<br>planned and developed                 | SDG 11                      | 10           | 200M         | 10           | 200M | 1      | 200M | 10     | -     | -      | -    | 600M                      |



۲



۲



| Programme: MU                             | INICIPAL DEVELOPMENT SERV                                | /ICES   |                             |         |       |        |       |        |        |        |        |        |      |                           |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------|---------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|---------------------------|
|   | ,  | management and maintenance of   | all municipal fac           | ilities |       |        |       |        |        |        |        |        |      |                           |
|   | ,  | for residents of the municipality   |                             |         |       |        |       |        |        |        |        |        | _    |                           |
| Sub<br>Programme                          | Key Output   | Key Performance Indicators  | Linkages to<br>SDG Targets* | Ye      | ear 1 | Y      | ear 2 | Ye     | ar 3   | Y      | ear 4  | Ye     | ar 5 | Total Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
|   |  |   |                             | Target  | Cost  | Target | Cost  | Target | Cost   | Target | Cost   | Target | Cost |                           |
| Environmental<br>Management<br>Services   | Clean Municipality                                       | No of designated and developed<br>dump sites acquired   | SDG 15                      | 5       | 50M   | -      | -     | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -    | 50M                       |
|   | Clean recreational facilities<br>and improved aesthetics | Kms of Homa bay lake front developed  | SDG 15                      | 10%     | 10M   | 20%    | 20M   | 30%    | 30M    | 40%    | 40M    | -      | -    | 100M                      |
|   |  | Kms of Kendu bay lake front developed   | SDG 15                      | 10%     | 10M   | 20%    | 20M   | 30%    | 30M    | 40%    | 40M    | -      | -    | 100M                      |
|   |  | Kms of Mbita lake front<br>developed  | SDG 15                      | 10%     | 10M   | 20%    | 20M   | 30%    | 30M    | 40%    | 40M    | -      | -    | 100M                      |
| Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services | Improved clean trading area for traders                  | % of works completed on the<br>Homa bay Pier market   | SDG11                       | 50%     | 90M   | 50%    | 80M   | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -    | 170M                      |
|   |  | % of Work completed on Sofia & JNC Kodoyo Mini Markets  | SDG11                       | -       | -     | -      | -     | 50%    | 50M    | 50%    | 50M    | -      | -    | 100M                      |
|   |  | No. of Modern Municipal<br>Markets constructed  | SDG11                       | 1       | 350M  | 1      | 80M   | 1      | 80M    | 1      | 90M    | 1      | 90M  | 630M                      |
|   |  | Cum. No of Municipalities with<br>Animal Holding Sites  | SDG11                       | 1       | 5M    | 2      | 5M    | 3      | 5M     | 4      | 5M     | 5      | 5M   | 25m                       |
|   | Improving transport safety<br>and order                  | % of works completed on<br>planned sidewalks and other<br>walk ways                           | SDG11                       | 20%     | 70M   | 40%    | 50M   | 60%    | 50M    | 80%    | 50M    | 100%   | 50M  | 320M                      |
|   |  | Kms of municipal roads<br>bituminized   | SDG11                       | 50      | 100M  | 50     | 100M  | 50     | 100M   | 50     | 100M   | 50     | 100M | 500M                      |
|   |  | No. of Km of municipal roads maintained   | SDG11                       | 5       | 6M    | 5      | 6M    | 5      | 6M     | 5      | 6M     | 5      | 6M   | 30M                       |
|   | Improved scenic beauty                                   | % of works completed on Got<br>Asego view point   | SDG11                       | -       | -     | 30%    | 25M   | 60%    | 25M    | -      | -      | -      | -    | 50M                       |
|   |  | % of works completed on<br>Governor's Park  | SDG11                       | 20%     | 10M   | 40%    | 10M   | 60%    | 10M    | 80%    | 10M    | 100%   | 10M  | 50M                       |
|   | Improve safety and security<br>for road users            | -   | SDG11                       | 125     | 35M   | 70     | 20M   | 70     | 20M    | 70     | 20M    | 70     | 20M  | 115M                      |
|   | Improved sanitation                                      | No of toilets Constructed   | SDG11                       | 4       | 10M   | 4      | 10M   | 4      | 10M    | 4      | 10M    | 4      | 10M  | 50M                       |
|   | Sewerage treatment plant relocated                       | Proportion of the existing<br>sewage treatment plant<br>in Homa bay Municipality<br>relocated | SDG11                       | 50%     | 200M  | 50%    | 200M  | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -    | 400M                      |
|   | Land for sewerage plant availed                          | No of acres acquired for sewerage treatment plant   | SDG11                       | 5       | 10M   | 5      | 10M   |        | -      | -      | -      | -      | -    | 20M                       |
|   | Households connected to sewer                            | % completion of sewerage<br>works at Homa Bay town  | SDG 6                       | 10%     | 105M  | 30%    | 315M  | 50%    | 367.5M |        | 472.5M | 100%   | 105M | 1365M                     |
|   | Land for cemetery  | Acres of land under cemeteries  | SDG11                       | 2       | 4M    | 2      | 4M    | 2      | 4M     | 2      | 4M     | 2      | 4M   | 20M                       |
| Lake front<br>Development<br>and planning | Improved scenic beauty<br>along the lakeshore            | Number of kilometres waterfront<br>planned and developed                                      | SDG11                       | 10      | 200M  | -      | -     | 1      | 200M   | -      | -      | 10     | 200M | 600M                      |





۲

۲



#### 4.1.2 Sector Name: General Economic and Commercial Affairs (GECA)

The sector comprises of two subsectors namely; Trade and Tourism Sub-sectors that are contributing towards accelerating economic development.

۲

The sector role is to Promote Coordinate and Implement integrated socio-economic policies and programmes for a rapidly industrializing economy. The focus of the department is improving the business environment, promoting growth of entrepreneurs and improving governors marketing and investment; stimulating sustainable industrial development through value addition, tourism, industrial research, technology and innovation and creating an enabling environment for investment; and ensuring efficient service delivery through prudent management of public resources.

#### Sub-Sectors mandates:

Trade and industry: To promote and develop domestic and international trade as well as enforcing fair trade practices and consumer protection

**Cooperatives Development and Marketing:** To promote growth and development of co-operatives through capacity building and provision of appropriate legal and institutional frameworks.

Sector Vision: A leading tourism destination, industrialized county and economically viable hub in the country.

Sector Mission: To create wealth, reduce poverty and generate employment opportunities through industrialization, Tourism development and value addition'.

#### Sector Goals:

۲

- To make the county a leading tourism destination western circuit
- To develop, promote and market tourism and the hospitality sector
- To conserve the tourist attraction sites.
- To generate revenue to the county government.
- To improve livelihoods through employment creation.
- To develop businesses through access to credit facilities.
- To stimulate sustainable industrial development through value addition
- To develop legal and policy framework for strengthening the cooperative movement in the county.

**Sector Priorities and Strategies:** The sector priorities are derived from the sector development issues documented in the section above. Information in this section should be presented in Table 19.

#### Table 19: Sector Priorities and Strategies GECA

| PRIORITIES.  | STRATEGIES   |
|--|--|
| Promoting industrial develop-<br>ment                                  | <ul> <li>Provide infrastructure such as electricity, water, transportation, and telecommunications services through Public-Private-Partnership (PPPs);</li> <li>Create a business-friendly environment by streamlining regulations, offering incentives, and providing a supportive ecosystem for businesses including through flagship programmes in priority value chains.</li> <li>Build a skilled workforce that can support industrial development.</li> <li>Partner with National Government to support innovation by providing funding, resources, and support for research and development including through establishment of Economic Processing Zones and Industrial Development Centres.</li> <li>Partner with municipalities to develop industrial parks that can provide land, infrastructure, and other services to businesses at affordable rates.</li> <li>Foster collaboration between businesses, educational institutions, and government agencies to support industrial development</li> </ul> |
| Promoting and strengthening<br>the cooperative movement in<br>Homa Bay | <ul> <li>Promote awareness and educate people about the benefits and principles of cooperatives;</li> <li>Create policies and laws that provide legal recognition, protection, and incentives for cooperatives;</li> <li>Provide financial support in the form of grants, loans, and subsidies to help cooperatives establish themselves and develop their businesses;</li> <li>Provide technical assistance and training programs to help cooperatives develop their capacity;</li> <li>Provide platforms for cooperatives to connect and collaborate with other cooperatives and stakeholders;</li> <li>Facilitate market access by providing information on market opportunities, creating market linkages, and providing support for product promotion and marketing.</li> <li>Undertake comprehensive audits and routine inspection and investigations of cooperative societies;</li> <li>Organize events that recognize the achievements of cooperatives and promote the cooperative movement</li> </ul>     |





| Supporting development of<br>Micro, Small and Medium<br>Enterprises     | <ul> <li>Provide financial support through targeted loan programs, grants, and subsidies;</li> <li>Provide business development services that support MSMEs through training, mentoring and counselling;</li> <li>Create a conducive regulatory environment complete with simplified business registration procedures, tax incentives, and reduced regulatory burden;</li> <li>Support MSMEs to access quality technology and innovation through research and development programs, technology transfer, and incubation centers;</li> <li>Invest in development of appropriate infrastructure such as such as electricity, water, and transportation;</li> <li>Support trade and export promotion through trade fairs, market information, and export development programs.</li> </ul>  |
|---|---|
| Facilitating access to financial services for MSMEs                     | <ul> <li>Support establishment and operationalization of local MFIs to provide financial services such as microcredit, micro-insurance, and savings;</li> <li>Establish credit guarantee schemes to reduce the risk of lending to MSMEs and increase access to finance;</li> <li>Collaborate with existing financial institutions to create financial products and services that meet the needs of local MSMEs;</li> <li>Institute capacity building programmes that improve the financial literacy and management skills of local MSMEs;</li> <li>Develop policies that promote financial inclusion, such as requiring financial institutions to provide services to low-income individuals and small businesses</li> <li>Provide direct financing to MSMEs through programs such as revolving funds, subsidies, and grants</li> </ul>   |
| Creating a conducive envi-<br>ronment for doing business in<br>Homa Bay | <ul> <li>Simplify business regulations and procedures to make it easier for businesses to start and operate</li> <li>Facilitate access to finance by promoting microfinance institutions, providing credit guarantees, establishing revolving funds, and supporting investment in infrastructure</li> <li>Invest in basic infrastructure such as roads, electricity, water, and telecommunications are essential for businesses to operate effectively</li> <li>Support innovation by investing in research and development, providing incentives for innovation and technology adoption, and supporting technology transfer and incubation</li> <li>Simplify taxation systems and reduce tax rates to create a more attractive business environment</li> <li>Embrace PPPs by partnering with businesses to provide infrastructure and services, developing investment promotion programs, and collaborating on research and development</li> <li>Provide capacity building programs for local officials and entrepreneurs to enhance their skills and knowledge in areas such as business planning, marketing, and management.</li> </ul>  |
| Position Homa Bay County as<br>top tourist destination                  | <ul> <li>Develop a unique brand identity for Homa Bay that includes a distinctive logo, slogan, and marketing message that communicates the unique selling proposition for the county</li> <li>Create memorable experiences for visitors through developing unique attractions, cultural events, festivals, and outdoor activities that showcase the local culture, heritage, and natural beauty of the destination.</li> <li>Invest in infrastructure such as transportation, accommodation, and sanitation to improve accessibility, safety, and convenience for tourists</li> <li>Leverage digital marketing by developing a user-friendly website, creating engaging social media content, and partnering with online travel agencies and review sites to increase visibility and attract visitors.</li> <li>Promote sustainable tourism practices to attract environmentally-conscious tourists and support the local communities in tourism planning and decision-making.</li> <li>Monitor effectiveness of tourism strategies and adjust them from time to time by tracking visitor numbers, satisfaction levels, and economic impacts, and using data to inform decision-making and improve performance.</li> </ul> |
| Enhancing investor mobilization   | <ul> <li>Identify potential investors and target them with relevant information such as about the investment climate, regulatory framework, market opportunities and, available incentives such as tax breaks, subsidies, and investment guarantees</li> <li>Build trust and credibility by creating a transparent regulatory environment, providing reliable information, and establishing a favorable business climate that promotes stability and predictability</li> <li>Foster collaboration by developing public-private partnerships, establishing investment promotion agencies, and involving local communities in investment planning and decision-making</li> <li>Invest in infrastructure development to improve accessibility, reliability, and efficiency for investors.</li> <li>Monitor effectiveness of investment strategies and adjust them continually by tracking investment flows, evaluating the impact of incentives and other measures, and using data to inform decision-making and improve performance.</li> </ul>   |

# 4.1.2 Sector Programmes

| Table 20: S  | Sector Program                             | nme GECA  |                                |         |                 |         |          |                |         |         |          |        |      |                              |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|----------|----------------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------|------------------------------|
| PROGRAMM   | <b>NE 1: TRADE AND</b>                     | ) INDUSTRIAL DEVEL  | OPMENT                         | SERVICE | S.              |         |          |                |         |         |          |        |      |                              |
| OBJECTIVE  | : TO IMPROVE TH                            | IE BUSINESS ENVIRO  | NMENT A                        | ND STIM | ULATE IN        | DUSTRIA | L DEVELO | <b>PMENT</b> 1 | THROUGH | VALUE A | DDITION. |        |      |                              |
| OUTCOME:   | INCREASED VOL                              | ume of Business Fo  | )r impro                       | VED COL | <b>JNTY REV</b> | ENUE CO | LLECTION |                |         |         |          |        |      |                              |
| Sub<br>Programme                                       |  | Key Performance<br>Indicators                                     | Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets* | Ye      | ar 1            | Ye      | ar 2     | Ye             | ar 3    | Yea     | ar 4     | Ye     | ar 5 | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
|  |  |   |                                | Target  | Cost            | Target  | Cost     | Target         | Cost    | Target  | Cost     | Target | Cost |                              |
| Enterprise<br>Development<br>and Promotion<br>Services | Business Incubation<br>Centers established | No. of Business Incubation<br>Centers Constructed and<br>Equipped | SDG 8                          | 1       | 10m             | 1       | 10 m     | 2              | 20m     | 2       | 20m      | 2      | 20m  | 80m                          |
|  | SMEs facilitated to<br>access markets      | No. of Trade fairs/<br>exhibition conducted                       | SDG 8                          | 1       | 5M              | 1       | 5M       | 1              | 5M      | 1       | 5M       | 1      | 5M   | 25M                          |
|  |  | No. of SMEs capacity build  | SDG 4                          | 1000    | 2M              | 1000    | 2M       | 1000           | 2M      | 1000    | 2M       | 1000   | 2M   | 10M                          |

Page 63

۲

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



۲

|   |  | No. of market campaigns  | SDG 8                          | 2             | 5M               | 2             | 5M               | 2             | 5M          | 2             | 5M          | 2             | 5M          | 25M                          |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------|
|   | SMEs facilitated to  | conducted on value chains<br>No. of SMEs accessing                     | SDG 4                          | 100           | 10M              | 1000          | 50M              | 1000          | 50M         | 1000          | 50M         | 1000          | 50M         | 210M                         |
| Trade                                       | access finance   | credit   | SDG 9                          | 1             | 30m              | 1             | 30m              | 1             | 30m         | 1             | 30m         | 1             | 30m         | 150m                         |
| Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services   | Improved market<br>infrastructure  | No. of modern markets<br>constructed                                   | 500.9                          | 1             | 3011             | 1             | 3011             | 1             | 5011        |               | 3011        | 1             | 5011        | 15011                        |
|   |  | No. of ward markets upgraded   | SDG 9                          | 36            | 180m             | 24            | 1200m            | 23            | 115m        | 20            | 100m        | 20            | 100m        | 300m                         |
|   |  | No. of new ward markets constructed                                    | SDG 9                          | -             | -                | 3             | 15m              | 3             | 15m         | 3             | 15m         | 3             | 15m         | 60m                          |
| Industrial<br>Development<br>Services       | Construction of EPZ/<br>County Industrial<br>Park at Riwa  | Cum. % of planned works completed                                      | SDG 9                          | 20%           | 200m             | 40%           | 200m             | 60%           | 200m        | 80%           | 200m        | 100%          | 50m         | 1b                           |
|   | Completion of<br>Climate-Smart<br>Aggregation Centre<br>for the Animal Feeds<br>Processing Plant<br>at Arujo | % of planned works<br>completed  | SDG 9                          | 100%          | 50m              | -             | -                | -             | -           | -             | -           | -             | -           | 50m                          |
|   | Construction of<br>Climate-Smart<br>Aggregation Centre<br>for the Multi-Fruit<br>Processing Plant            | % of works done  | SDG 9                          | -             | -                | 100%          | 50m              | -             | -           | -             | -           | -             | -           | 50m                          |
|   | Construction of<br>Climate-Smart<br>Aggregation Centre<br>for the Potato<br>Processing Plant                 | % of works done  | SDG 9                          | -             | -                | -             | -                | 100%          | 60m         | -             | -           | -             | -           | 60m                          |
|   | Construction of<br>Climate-Smart<br>Aggregation Centre<br>for the Integrated<br>Fish processing<br>Plant     | % of works done  | SDG 9                          | -             | -                | -             | -                | -             | -           | 100%          | 100m        | -             | -           | 100m                         |
|   | Construction of<br>Climate-Smart<br>Aggregation Centre<br>for the Cotton<br>Processing Plant                 | % of works done  | SDG 9                          | -             | -                | -             | -                | -             | -           | -             | -           | 100%          | 100m        | 100m                         |
|   | Construction<br>and Equipping<br>of Industrial<br>Development<br>Centres                                     | No. of centres constructed   | SDG 9                          | 1             | 50m              | 1             | 50m              | 1             | 50m         | 1             | 50m         | 1             | 50m         | 250m                         |
|   |  | NT PROMOTION SERV  |                                |               |                  |               |                  |               |             |               |             |               |             |                              |
|   |  | ENABLING ENVIRONN<br>STMENT IN THE COUN                                |                                | INVEST        | MENTS            |               |                  |               |             |               |             |               |             |                              |
| Sub<br>Programme                            | Key Output   | Key Performance<br>Indicators  | Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets* | Yea           | ar 1             | Ye            | ar 2             | Ye            | ar 3        | Yea           | ar 4        | Ye            | ar 5        | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
| Investment<br>promotion and<br>facilitation | Improved<br>stakeholder<br>engagement  | No. of investment<br>conferences successfully<br>held                  | SDG 17                         | Target<br>1   | Cost<br>50M      | Target<br>1   | Cost<br>10M      | Target<br>1   | Cost<br>10M | Target<br>1   | Cost<br>10M | Target<br>1   | Cost<br>10M | 140M                         |
| Tacintation                                 | Investment booklet<br>and materials<br>developed   | No. of Investment<br>opportunities profiled,<br>packaged and marketed. | SDG 17                         | 1             | 6M               | -             | -                | 1             | 1M          | -             | -           | -             | -           | 7M                           |
|   | Increased partnerships   | No. of investment<br>campaigns conducted                               | SDG 17                         | 1             | 2M               | 1             | 2M               | 1             | 2M          | 1             | 2M          | 1             | 2M          | 10M                          |
|   | Investor after care<br>clinic  | Proportion of investor follow ups done.                                | SDG 17                         | 100%          | 2M               | 100%          | 2M               | 100%          | 2M          | 100%          | 2M          | 100%          | 2M          | 10M                          |
| PROGRAM                                     |  | IVES DEVELOPMENT   | AND MAR                        |               | SERVICES         |               |                  |               |             |               |             |               |             |                              |
|   |  | COOPERATIVE DEVEL  |                                |               |                  |               |                  |               | IVES AND    | ) REVIVAI     | OF DOR      | MANT CO       | OPERATI     | VES                          |
| OUTCOME:<br>Sub                             | IMPROVED BUSI<br>Key Output  | NESS ENVIRONMENT<br>Key Performance                                    | Linkages                       |               | E OF COO<br>ar 1 |               | E SOCIET<br>ar 2 |               | ar 3        | Yes           | ar 4        | Ye            | ar 5        | Total                        |
| Programme                                   |  | Indicators   | to SDG<br>Targets*             |               |                  |               |                  | 10            |             |               |             |               |             | Budget<br>(KSh. M)*          |
| Co-operative                                | Capacities of  | No of co-operative leaders   |                                | Target<br>800 | Cost<br>5m       | Target<br>800 | Cost<br>5m       | Target<br>800 | Cost<br>5m  | Target<br>800 | Cost<br>5m  | Target<br>800 | Cost<br>5m  | 25m                          |
| development<br>services                     | co-operatives<br>strengthened  | trained  |                                |               |                  |               |                  |               |             |               |             |               |             |                              |
|   |  | No. of collaborative<br>platforms facilitated                          | SDG 17                         | 4             | 2m               | 4             | 2m               | 4             | 2m          | 4             | 2m          | 4             | 2m          | 10m                          |
|   |  | No. of promotional<br>campaigns held                                   | SDG 17                         | 4             | 6m               | 4             | 6m               | 4             | 6m          | 4             | 6m          | 4             | 6m          | 24m                          |
|   |  | No. of dormant co-<br>operatives revived                               |                                | 2             | 2m               | 2             | 2m               | 2             | 2m          | 2             | 2m          | 2             | 2m          | 10m                          |
|   |  | No. of co-operative<br>policies and programs<br>developed              |                                | 1             | 10m              | 1             | 5m               | 1             | 5m          | 1             | 5m          | 1             | 5m          | 30m                          |
|   | Co-operatives<br>financed  | No. of boda boda<br>cooperatives receiving<br>financial support        | SDG 17                         | 10            | 100m             | 20            | 100m             | 30            | 100m        | 40            | 100m        | 40            | 100m        | 500m                         |
|   | Boda Boda<br>cooperative societies   |  |                                |               |                  |               |                  |               |             |               |             |               |             |                              |



۲

۲

|  |   |   |                                |          |             |                                       |        |           |        |          |         | -                                     |          |                             |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| ïnanced  | No of other<br>cooperative societies<br>receiving funding | SDG 17  | 8                              | 40m      | 8           | 40m                                   | 8      | 40m       | 8      | 40m      | 8       | 40m                                   | 200m     |                             |
| PROGRAMN   | /IE 4: TOURISM D  | EVELOPMENT AND N  | IARKETIN                       | IG SERV  | ICES        |                                       |        |           |        |          |         |                                       |          |                             |
|  |   | RVE, DEVELOP, BRAN  | ΙΠ ΔΝΠ ΡΙ                      | ROMOTE   |             | RODUCTS                               |        |           | IMPROV | ED FARNI | NGS ANI |                                       | IIC EMPO | WERMEN                      |
|  | INCREASED TOU   |   |                                |          |             |                                       |        |           |        |          |         | Loonom                                |          |                             |
|  | Key Output  | Key Performance   | Linkages                       | V        | 'ear 1      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | /ear 2 |           | fear 3 |          | /ear 4  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Vear 5   | Total                       |
| Programme  | lioj calpat   | Indicators  | to SDG<br>Targets*             |          |             |                                       |        |           | Year 3 |          | Year 4  |                                       | Year 5   |                             |
|  |   |   | laigoto                        | Target   | Cost        | Target                                | Cost   | Target    | Cost   | Target   | Cost    | Target                                | Cost     | (KSh. M)                    |
| ourism<br>nfrastructure<br>levelopment<br>services               | Tourism products<br>and sites developed                   | Cum % of planned works<br>completed on Odino Falls<br>Tourism Attraction Site     | SDG 8,12<br>,14                | 50%      | 5m          | 100%                                  | 5m     | -         | -      | -        | -       | -                                     | -        | 10m                         |
|  |   | Cum % of planned works<br>completed on Simbi<br>Nyaima Tourist Attraction<br>Site |                                | 40%      | 5m          | 70%                                   | 10m    | 100%      | 15m    | -        | -       | -                                     | -        | 30m                         |
| Fourism<br>promotion and<br>narketing                            | Awareness on<br>tourism products<br>created               | No. of niche products developed   |                                | 2        | 5m          | 2                                     | 5m     | 2         | 5m     | 2        | 5m      | 2                                     | 5m       | 25m                         |
|  |   | No. of exhibitions and<br>promotional fairs held                                  |                                | 4        | 60m         | 4                                     | 60m    | 4         | 60m    | 4        | 60m     | 4                                     | 60m      | 300m                        |
| PROGRAMI   |   | ANNING AND GENERA   |                                | IISTRATI | ON SERV     | /ICES                                 |        |           |        |          |         |                                       |          |                             |
|  |   |   |                                |          |             |                                       |        |           |        |          |         |                                       |          |                             |
|  |   | ICIENT SERVICE DEL  | IVERY IN                       | ROUGH    | PRUDEN      | I MANAG                               | EMENIC | IF PUBLIC | RESOUR | CES      |         |                                       |          |                             |
|  | IMPROVED SERV   |   |                                |          |             |                                       |        |           |        |          |         |                                       |          |                             |
| Sub<br>Programme   | Key Output  | Key Performance<br>Indicators   | Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets* | Y        | 'ear 1      |                                       | /ear 2 |           | 'ear 3 | ,        | 'ear 4  |                                       | Year 5   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M) |
| olicy and plan   | Relevant policies   | No. of policies formulated  | SDG 6                          | Target   | Cost<br>20M | Target                                | Cost   | Target    | Cost   | Target   | Cost    | Target                                | Cost     | 20M                         |
| oncy and plan<br>ormulation,<br>evelopment<br>nd<br>issemination | and plans developed                                       | and implemented   | 300 0                          | 4        | 20141       |                                       |        |           |        | -        |         |                                       |          | ZUW                         |
|  |   | No. of plans formulated   | SDG 6                          | 2        | 10M         |                                       |        |           |        |          |         | -                                     |          | 10M                         |

### 4.3 Sector Name: Energy, Infrastructure and ICT (EIICT)

#### Sector composition:

۲

Energy, Infrastructure and ICT sector is one of the enablers and transformative sectors expected to contribute towards driving realization of economic growth for the county in the next 5 years. The sector comprises of 3 subsectors namely; Energy, Infrastructure and ICT subsectors. Sub-Sectors and their roles:

**Energy**: To promote access to sufficient and reliable power supply and efficient energy in Homa Bay County; generation and uptake of alternative sources of energy, reticulation of energy suppliers and; exploitation of mineral resources including the regulation and control of the construction minerals industry.

**Infrastructure:** To provide efficient, safe, affordable and reliable infrastructure for sustainable economic growth and development through construction, modernization, rehabilitation and effective management of all infrastructure facilities while

**ICT:** To promote integration of information, communication, technology and innovation in a sustainable socio-economic development process.

#### Sector Vision:

A world class provider of cost-effective physical and ICT infrastructure facilities and services.

#### Sector Mission:

To provide efficient, affordable and reliable infrastructure for sustainable economic growth and development through construction, modernization, rehabilitation and effective management of all infrastructure facilities

#### **Sector Goals**

- To increase access to power connectivity and use of renewable source of energy within the county.
- To improve access, mobility and connectivity in the county.
- To develop and improve transport infrastructure in the county.
- To ensure compliance, quality and standard control of all infrastructural development projects
- To improve service delivery and coordination of programmes and activities by the unit.
- To enhance ICT connectivity and service delivery in the county

#### Sector Priorities and Strategies:

The sector priorities are derived from the sector development issues documented in Section above Information in this section should be presented in Table 21.





# Table 21: Sector Priorities and Strategies EIICT

| SUBSECTOR: ENERGY   |  |
|---|--|
| PRIORITIES  | STRATEGIES   |
| Reduce vandalism of already installed market solar lights                                     | Establishment and strengthening of PMCs<br>Implement community watch programmes<br>Increase public awareness<br>Collaboration with the enforcement team<br>Routine field visits and repairs of broken lights<br>Install security feature on the solar lights to curb theft e.g. m-chip or tracker  |
| Increase Access to electricity  | Installation of transformers.<br>Leverage on Partnership and MOUs.<br>Connecting HHs through last mile power connection programme<br>Connect business ventures   |
| Increase Access to renewable energy services  | Installation of solar to public facilities.<br>Installation of solar lights in markets and health facilities<br>Provision of incentives for the private sector for ease of access to solar products<br>Creation of incentives to attract investors in the renewable energy sector<br>Intergrade solar engineering courses into the curriculum of VTCs<br>Routine maintenance of solar lights<br>Inclusion of Youths, Women and PWDs in trainings in matter renewable energy<br>Promote use of clean cooking best practices<br>Establish Energy Information Centres<br>Promote exhibitions for actors in this sector.<br>Campaign events towards promotion of the uptake of renewable services.   |
| SUBSECTOR; INFRASTRUCTURE   |  |
| PRIORITIES  | STRATEGIES   |
| Increase accessibility and quality of road network<br>in the county                           | <ul> <li>Establish and strengthen projects Implementation committees to support in the oversight.</li> <li>Opening of new road network in the county</li> <li>Ensure coordination between agencies working on Road programmes.</li> <li>Routine maintenance of already constructed county roads.</li> <li>Conduct technical assessments in all the prioritized roads.</li> <li>Conduct road surveys prior to commencement of actual works.</li> <li>Acquisition of projects Supervisory vehicles</li> <li>Ensure timely award of projects</li> <li>Promote collaboration and partnerships initiatives in delivering road projects through PPP and Intergovernmental working arrangements.</li> <li>Design road projects with green considerations</li> <li>Promote Affirmative Action targeting the Youth, Women and PWDs in road construction</li> <li>Promote use of local labour based approaches in most of the road works.</li> <li>Routine project site visits to assess and report on road construction works.</li> </ul> |
| Paving of municipal roads to better standards with proper pathways                            | <ul> <li>Develop Municipal Road Pavement designs with Green Considerations.</li> <li>Establish municipal dialogue forums as avenues for engagement and addressing grievance associated with road pavement plans</li> <li>Develop drainage systems.</li> <li>Clearance of structures in areas earmarked for road pavement</li> <li>Routine maintenance</li> </ul>   |
| Enhance safety, convenience and reliability in transport system                               | <ul> <li>Construction and Rehabilitation of boda boda shades.</li> <li>Construction of jetties.</li> <li>Promote collaboration and partnerships initiatives in delivering projects such acquisition of public ferries through PPP models, county Government and other Intergovernmental working arrangements.</li> <li>Capacity building of road user on road safety.</li> <li>Improvement and development of Kabunde Air strip; its runway, apron, taxi ways, fencing, passenger terminal building, car park, aircraft hangers, administrative office and water waste disposal system.</li> <li>Improvement of Sena, Rusinga, Otange and Otaro and Ruma airstrips.</li> <li>Develop and implement policy and legal framework</li> </ul>   |
| Enhance efficiency in the implementation of Infra-<br>structure projects                      | <ul> <li>Develop maintenance procedures for plant and machineries.</li> <li>Acquire more plant, machineries and equipment.</li> <li>Stocking of essential spare parts.</li> <li>Routine maintenance of plant, machineries and equipment</li> <li>Engagement of private licensed mechanics in provision of maintenance services</li> <li>Collaboration with other department in maintenance services.</li> <li>Promote maintenance support services to other departments</li> <li>Apportion maintenance budgets from other departments to a central pool with administration for the same from the department of roads.</li> </ul>  |
| Enhancing compliance, quality and efficiency in the infrastructure management and development | <ul> <li>Mainstream ICT in data management of all infrastructure projects</li> <li>Develop policies, plans and regulations for street infrastructure projects</li> <li>Monitoring compliance of all infrastructure projects.</li> <li>Streamline designing, plan approvals, management and standard control of all cross sector Infrastructural Development programs/projects to Public Works Directorate</li> </ul>   |

۲



۲



۲



| SUBSECTOR; ICT                                     |   |
|--|---|
| PRIORITIES   | STRATEGIES  |
| Digital and ICT Infrastructure in the County       | <ul> <li>Secure ICT Equipment and infrastructure</li> <li>Enter into partnerships with relevant stakeholders</li> <li>Work with ICT Authority in the Last Mile Connectivity Project</li> <li>Provide fibre coverage through the National Optic Fibre Backbone Initiative (NOFBI)</li> <li>Provide information and system security</li> <li>Provide regular maintenance of ICT equipment and infrastructure</li> <li>Integrate ERP into Government operations</li> </ul> |
| Digital skills, values and knowledge in the County | <ul> <li>Provide digital Hubs across the County</li> <li>Provide ICT training to targeted County citizens</li> <li>Support Vocational Training Centres ICT programmes</li> <li>Provision of internship and mentorship programmes to targeted groups</li> <li>Develop and implement ICT regulatory framework</li> </ul>  |
| Digital Business (e-commerce) in the County        | <ul> <li>Provide internet hotspots across the County</li> <li>Promote access to online employment opportunities</li> <li>Promote business innovations</li> <li>Digitize government services</li> </ul>  |

# **4.3.1 Sector Programmes**

۲

# Table 22: Sector Programmes EIICT

|                                 | NAME :ENERGY SEF   |  |                                |                  |             |                  |           |                  |         |                  |         |                  |         |                            |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|----------------------------|
|                                 |  | IS TO POWER CONNECTIVITY AND USE OF  |                                |                  |             |                  |           | Y                |         |                  |         |                  |         |                            |
|                                 |  | O POWER CONNECTIVITY AND USE OF RE   |                                |                  | ENERGY IN T |                  | ſŶ        |                  |         |                  |         |                  |         |                            |
| Sub<br>Programme                | Key Output   | Key Performance Indicators   | Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets* | Year 1<br>Target |             | Year 2<br>Target |           | Year 3<br>Target |         | Year 4<br>Target |         | Year 5<br>Target |         | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M |
| Electrical<br>Power<br>Services | Increased access<br>to electricity<br>services   | No of transformers installed in sub county HQs   | SDG 7                          | 8                | 20M         | 8                | 20M       | 8                | 20M     | 8                | 20M     | 8                | 20M     | 100M                       |
|                                 |  | No of transformers installed in all the 40 wards   |                                | 80               | 80M         | 80               | 80M       | 80               | 80M     | 80               | 80M     | 80               | 80M     | 400M                       |
|                                 |  | % increase in HH covered by last mile<br>connectivity                                    |                                | 20%              |             | 20%              |           | 20%              |         | 20%              |         | 20%              |         | 100%                       |
| Solar lighting<br>services      | Increased access<br>to renewable<br>energy services                                      | No of market solar light installed   | SDG 7.                         | 240              | 60M         | 240              | 60M       | 240              | 60M     | 240              | 60M     | 240              | 60M     | 300M                       |
|                                 |  | No of health facilities equipped with<br>solar lightings in collaboration with<br>REREC. |                                | 20               | 5M          | 20               | 5M        | 20               | 5M      | 20               | 5M      | 20               | 5M      | 25M                        |
|                                 |  | No of Islands out of power grid installed with solar lights                              | SDG 7.                         | 1                | 100M        | 1                | 100M      | 1                | 100M    | 1                | 100M    |                  |         | 400M                       |
| Renewable<br>Energy<br>Services | Increased<br>access to Energy<br>Information<br>and Renewable<br>Energy,<br>Technologies | -NO of energy information canters established  | SDG 7.                         | 1                | 35M         | 1                | 35M       | 1                | 35M     | -                | -       | -                | -       | 105M                       |
|                                 |  | - No youths, women, PWDs and SMEs<br>enrolled and trained on renewable<br>technologies   |                                | 1000             | 10M         | 1000             | 10M       | 1000             | 10M     | 2000             | 20M     | -                | -       | 50M                        |
|                                 |  | No of Briquette Manufacturing plant constructed  | SDG 7                          | 1                | 2M          | 1                | 2M        | 1                | 2M      | -                | -       | -                | -       | 6M                         |
|                                 |  | No of Bio-Digesters Installed at ward level  |                                | 80               | 200,000     | 80               | 200,000   | 80               | 200,000 | 80               | 200,000 | 80               | 200,000 | 1M                         |
|                                 |  | No of HHs benefitting from the Clean Cooking stoves                                      |                                | 1000             | 2M          | 1000             | 2M        | 1000             | 2M      | 1000             | 2M      | 1000             | 2M      | 10M                        |
| PROGRAMME                       | NAME; GENERAL AI   | DMINISTRATION, PLANNING AND SUPPOR   | T SERVICES                     |                  |             |                  |           |                  |         |                  |         |                  |         |                            |
| OBJECTIVE. T                    | O IMPROVE SERVICE  | E DELIVERY AND COORDINATION OF DEPA  | RTMENT-WI                      | DE FUNCTI        | ONS, PROGR  | AMMES A          | ND ACTIVI | TIES             |         |                  |         |                  |         |                            |
| оитсоме; ім                     | PROVED COORDINA  | TION AND SERVICE DELIVERY BY THE DEF   | PARTMENT.                      |                  |             |                  |           |                  |         |                  |         |                  |         |                            |
| Policy<br>Planning              | Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed                                 | No of policies developed and<br>operationalized  |                                | 2                | 3M          | -                |           | -                | -       | -                | -       | -                | -       | 3M                         |
|                                 |  | No of Plans Developed ( Strategic Plan and County Energy Plan)                           |                                | 2                | 3M          | -                | -         | -                | -       | -                | -       | -                | -       | 3M                         |
|                                 |  |  |                                |                  |             |                  |           |                  |         |                  |         |                  |         | 903M                       |

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



۲

(

|  |  | MENT AND REHABILITAT   |                    |            |       |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         |                   |
|--|--|--|--------------------|------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------------|---------|-------|---------|-------------------|
|  |  | CILITIES AND SERVICES  |                    |            |       |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         |                   |
| Sub  | Key Output   | Key Performance  | Linkages           | Yea        | ar 1  | Ye    | ar 2    | Ye    | ar 3    | Year 4        | 1       | Ye    | ar 5    | Total             |
|  |  |  | to SDG<br>Targets* |            |       |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         | Budget<br>(KSh. N |
| Road<br>Development<br>Services                            | Increase<br>connectivity   |  |                    |            |       |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         |                   |
| and access in<br>the county by<br>opening new<br>roads.    | Km of roads opened<br>and equipped<br>with adequate<br>structures.                             | SDG 9  | 460km              | 720M       | 550km | 849M  | 600km   | 926M  | 650km   | 1,003,470,000 | 540km   | 833M  | 4.3B    |                   |
|  | Enhance Road<br>Safety through<br>Non –Motorised<br>Transport Initiatives                      | Km of roads expanded<br>on carriage way and<br>walk ways                   |                    | 3km        | 61M   | 3km   | 61M     | 3km   | 61M     | 3km           | 61M     | 0     | 0       | 244M              |
| Road<br>maintenance<br>Services                            | Sustainable and<br>Reliable Road<br>Network across the<br>County                               | Km of roads maintained.  |                    | 640Km      | 640M  | 750Km | 750M    | 750Km | 750M    | 700Km         | 700M    | 360Km | 360M    | 2.6B              |
|  |  | Kms of Roads maintained by KURA  |                    | 20Kms      | 60.8M | 20Kms | 60.8M   | 20Kms | 60.8M   | 20Kms         | 60.8M   | 20Kms | 60.8M   | 233.6M            |
|  |  | -km of roads maintained by KeNHA   |                    |            |       |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         |                   |
|  |  |  |                    | 0          | 0     | 147km |         | 0     | 0       | 147km         |         | 0     | 0       |                   |
|  |  | -Km of Roads improved by KeNHA   |                    |            |       |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         |                   |
|  |  |  |                    | 30km       | 1.8b  | 30km  | 1.8B    | 30km  | 1.8B    | 30km          | 1.8B    | 30km  | 1.8B    | 9B                |
|  |  | -KM of roads improved by KeRRA   |                    | 63km       | 3.78b | 63km  | 3.78b   | 63km  | 3.78    | 63km          | 3.78b   | 63km  | 3.78    | 18.9B             |
|  |  | Kms of urban Roads<br>upgraded to Bitumen<br>standards                     |                    | 5Kms       | 63.4M | 5Kms  | 63.4M   | 5Kms  | 63.4M   | 5Kms          | 63.4M   | 5Kms  | 63.4M   | 317.2M            |
|  |  | Kms of Non-Motorised<br>Roads developed in the<br>all the 5 municipalities |                    |            |       |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         |                   |
| PROGRAMME I  | NAME: TRANSPORT DE   | VELOPMENT AND REHAI  | BILITATION S       | ERVICES    |       |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         |                   |
| OBJECTIVE: TO  | DEVELOP AND IMPRO  | OVE TRANSPORT INFRAS   | IRUCTURE II        | N THE COUN | TY.   |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         |                   |
| OUTCOME: EFF   | ICIENT AND SAFE TRA  | NSPORT SYSTEM.   |                    |            |       |       |         |       |         |               |         |       |         |                   |
| Water<br>Transport<br>Services                             | Rehabilitation and<br>Protection of piers  | -No. of jetties<br>constructed and<br>maintained                           | SDG 9              | 1          | 1M    | 1     | 1M      | 2     | 4M      | 2             | 4M      | 0     | 0       | 10M               |
|  | Promotion of water transport services  | No. of public ferries acquired   | SDG 9              | 0          | 0     | 0     | 0       | 0     | 0       | 1             | 100m    | 0     | 0       | 100m              |
| Boda-Boda<br>Transport<br>Infrastructure<br>Services       | Construction of<br>Bodaboda shades   | -No. of bodaboda shades constructed  | SDG 9              | 40         | 18.4m | 10    | 3M      | 10    | 3M      | 10            | 3M      | 10    | 3M      | 30.4M             |
|  | Reduced incidences of accidents  | No. of bodaboda riders<br>and fisher folk trained<br>on transport Safety   | SDG 3.6            |            |       | 150   | 400,000 | 150   | 400,000 | 150           | 400,000 | 150   | 400,000 | 1.6M              |
|  | Improved access<br>and efficiency of<br>boda boda services                                     | No. of bodaboda<br>booths with Uber App<br>services                        |                    | 0          | 0     | 0     | 0       | 100   | 2M      | 0             | 0       | 0     | 0       | 2M                |
| Air Transport<br>Infrastructure<br>Improvement<br>Services | Improved safety<br>and efficiency of<br>passenger flights<br>operations at<br>Kabunde airstrip | -(M2). of runway<br>developed  | SDG 9              | 6,250Ms    | 25M   | 0     | 0       | 0     | 0       | 0             | 0       | 0     | 0       | 25M               |
|  |  | -No. of taxi ways developed  |                    | 2          | 25.5m | 0     | 0       | 0     | 0       | 0             | 0       | 0     | 0       | 25.5m             |
|  |  | -No. of administrative offices constructed                                 |                    | 1          | 30m   | 0     | 0       | 0     | 0       | 0             | 0       | 0     | 0       | 30m               |
|  |  | -No. of car parks developed  |                    | 1          | 10m   | 0     | 0       | 0     | 0       | 0             | 0       | 0     | 0       | 10m               |
|  |  | -No. of aircraft hangers developed   |                    | 1          | 100m  | 0     | 0       | 0     | 0       | 0             | 0       | 0     | 0       | 100m              |
|  |  | -(M3) of waste water<br>disposal system<br>developed                       |                    | 1          | 1.2m  | 0     | 0       | 0     | 0       | 0             | 0       | 0     | 0       | 1.2m              |



۲

- No. of aprons developed

1

109m 0

109m

0 0

0

۲

۲

0 0

0

|  |  | - No. of Passenger<br>terminals developed  |  | 1  | 40m  | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 40m  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|  |  | -Linear metre fenced   |  | 8000   | 16m  | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 16m  |
|  | Well-functioning<br>Airstrips.   | -No. of airstrips<br>improved and<br>maintained  |  | 1  | 10m  | 1  | 10m   | 1   | 10m   | 1  |  | 10m   | 0  | 0  | 40m  |
| ROGRAMME   | NAME: PUBLIC WORKS   | AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEV   | VELOPM   | ENT SERVIO   | CES  |  |   |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |  | E, QUALITY AND STANDARD  |  |  |  | TURAL D  | EVELOPMEN   | IT PROJE  | TS IN THE   | COUNTY B   | OTH PUBLIC   | AND PRIV  | ATE  |  |  |
| DUTCOME; ENI   | HANCED QUALITY STAI  | NDARD CONTROL AND DEVE   | LOPMEN   | IT OF INFRA  | STRUCTUR   | E PROJEC   | TS.   |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| nfrastructure<br>Development<br>Services   | Bus parks<br>constructed in new<br>municipalities  | No. of bus parks SI constructed and remodelled   | DG 9.  | 1  | 25.2m  | 1  | 25.2M   | 1   | 25.2r   | 1  |  |   |  |  | 75.6M  |
|  | Street furniture's constructed and maintained  | -No. of street<br>furniture's constructed<br>and maintained  |  | 32   | 6.4M   | 32   | 6.4M  | 32  | 6.4M  | 32   |  | 6.4M  | 32   | 6.4M   | 32M  |
|  | Drainage works<br>services done  | No of drainage<br>channels and<br>culverts cleaned and<br>maintained   |  | 8  | 5M   | 8  | 5M  | 8   | 5M  | 8  |  | 5M  | 8  | 5M   | 25M  |
|  | Public assets<br>audited and<br>reported on for ease<br>of management and<br>utilization   | No of audits carried<br>to establish status of<br>public assets.   |  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 1  | 1M   | 1M   |
| Purchase and<br>Maintenance<br>of Plants,<br>Machines and<br>rehicles  | Acquisition and<br>Maintenance of<br>equipment, plants<br>and vehicles   | No. of equipment,<br>plants and vehicles<br>maintained   |  | 10   | 5.25M  | 10   | 5.25M   | 10  | 5.25  | 1 10   |  | 5.25M   | 10   | 5.25M  | 26.25  |
|  |  | No. of machines and equipment acquired   |  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   | 2   | 70m   | 2  |  | 70m   | 0  | 0  | 140m   |
|  | Acquisition of<br>supervisory vehicles   | -No. of Pick-ups<br>3,000cc  |  | 1  | 7.2m   | 1  | 7.2m  | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 14.4m  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | _  |   |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |  | -No. of motor cycles<br>acquired 175cc   |  | 4  | 1.2m   | 4  | 1.2m  | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 2.4m   |
| PROGRAMME  | NAME: GENERAL ADM  | acquired 175cc   | IN SUPPO   |  |  | 4  | 1.2m  | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 2.4m   |
|  |  | acquired 175cc<br>NISTRATION, PLANNING AN  |  | ORT SERVIC   | ES   |  |   | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 2.4m   |
| OBJECTIVE. TO  | ) IMPROVE SERVICE D  | acquired 175cc   | N OF PR  | DRT SERVIC   | ES<br>S AND ACTI   |  |   | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 2.4m   |
| OBJECTIVE. TO<br>OUTCOME; IMF  | ) IMPROVE SERVICE D  | ACQUIRED 175CC<br>INISTRATION, PLANNING AN<br>ELIVERY AND COORDINATION   | N OF PR  | DRT SERVIC   | ES<br>S AND ACTI   |  |   | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 2.4m<br>3.m  |
| OBJECTIVE. TO<br>OUTCOME; IMF  | D IMPROVE SERVICE D<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and  | acquired 175cć<br>NISTRATION, PLANNING AN<br>ELIVERY AND COORDINATIOI<br>N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B<br>No. of policies   | N OF PR  | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>DEPARTMEN  | ES<br>S AND ACTI<br>T.   | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| OBJECTIVE. TO<br>OUTCOME; IMF  | D IMPROVE SERVICE D<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and  | acquired 175cć<br>NISTRATION, PLANNING AN<br>ELIVERY AND COORDINATION<br>N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B<br>No. of policies<br>developed  | N OF PR  | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>DEPARTMEN<br>2   | ES<br>S AND ACTIV<br>T.<br>3M  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 3.m  |
| OBJECTIVE. TO<br>OUTCOME; IMF  | D IMPROVE SERVICE D<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and  | acquired 175cć<br>NISTRATION, PLANNING AN<br>ELIVERY AND COORDINATION<br>N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B<br>No. of policies<br>developed  | N OF PR  | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>DEPARTMEN<br>2   | ES<br>S AND ACTIV<br>T.<br>3M  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 3.m<br>3M  |
| OBJECTIVE. TO<br>OUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning   | DIMPROVE SERVICE DI<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational   | acquired 175cc NISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed  | N OF PRI   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>EPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2  | ES<br>S AND ACTIV<br>T.<br>3M  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0   | 0   | 0  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 3.m<br>3M  |
| OBJECTIVE. TO<br>OUTCOME; IMP<br>Policy Planning<br>Policy Planning  | PROVED COORDINATIO<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational  | acquired 175cć<br>NISTRATION, PLANNING AN<br>ELIVERY AND COORDINATION<br>N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B<br>No. of policies<br>developed  | N OF PRI<br>BY THE D   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2   | ES AND ACTIV<br>T. 3M<br>3M<br>3M  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0   | 0   |  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 3.m<br>3M  |
| OBJECTIVE. TO<br>OUTCOME; IMP<br>Policy Planning<br>Policy Planning<br>PROGRAMME N<br>DBJECTIVE: TO  | PROVED COORDINATIO   | acquired 175cc INISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT   | N OF PRI<br>BY THE D<br>SY THE D   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2   | ES AND ACTIV<br>T. 3M<br>3M<br>3M  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0   | 0   |  |  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 3.m<br>3M  |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>PROGRAMME N<br>DBJECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF<br>Sub  | PROVED COORDINATIO   | acquired 175cć NISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATIOI N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI  | N OF PRI<br>3Y THE D<br>SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>8                | ES AND ACTIV<br>T. 3M<br>3M<br>3M<br>ENT SERVIO<br>Year  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0   | 0<br>0<br>MPOWERM   | ENT  |  | 0<br>0  | 0<br>0<br>0  | 0<br>0<br>36,18  | 3.m<br>3M<br>3,100,000   |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>Policy Planning<br>PROGRAMME N<br>DBJECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF  | PROVED COORDINATIO   | acquired 175cć NISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI SERVICES AND WEALTH CRE  | N OF PRO<br>3Y THE D<br>SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>8                | ES AND ACTIV<br>T. 3M<br>3M<br>3M<br>ENT SERVIO<br>Year  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0   | 0<br>0<br>MPOWERM   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0   | Ye<br>Target   | 0   | 0  | 0  | 3.m<br>3M<br>3,100,000   |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>Policy Planning<br>IND<br>PBJECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF<br>Sub<br>programme  | AMPROVE SERVICE DI<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational<br>AMME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVIDE A VIBRANT<br>ICIENT GOVERNMENT<br>Key Output  | acquired 175cć NISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI SERVICES AND WEALTH CRE  | N OF PRA<br>BY THE D<br>SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2   | ES AND ACTI<br>T.<br>3M<br>3M<br>3M<br>3M<br>ENT SERVIO  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0   | 0<br>0<br>MPOWERM   | ENT  |  | 0<br>0  | 0<br>0<br>0  | 0<br>0<br>36,18  | 3.m<br>3M<br>3,100,000   |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>PROGRAMME N<br>DBJECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF<br>Sub<br>frogramme<br>CT<br>nfrastructure<br>Development                   | AMPROVE SERVICE DI<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational<br>VAME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVIDE A VIBRANT<br>ICIENT GOVERNMENT<br>Key Output<br>Enhanced Fibre Optic<br>coverage<br>Increased internet  | acquired 175cć NISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI SERVICES AND WEALTH CRR Key Performance Indicators No. of Km covered No of county departments  | SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>1<br>5<br>0<br>6<br>9<br>4<br>2<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | ES AND ACTIV<br>AND ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>AMM<br>AMM<br>AMM<br>AMM<br>AMM<br>AMM<br>AMM<br>AM  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | MPOWERM<br>Target   | ENT<br>Cost  |  | 0<br>0<br>0<br>ar 4<br>Cost   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | 0<br>0<br>36,18<br>ar 5<br>Cost  | 3.m<br>3M<br>3M<br>3,100,000   |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>PROGRAMME N<br>DBJECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF   | DIMPROVE SERVICE DI<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational<br>VAME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVIDE A VIBRANT<br>ICIENT GOVERNMENT<br>Key Output<br>Enhanced Fibre Optic<br>coverage<br>Increased internet<br>connectivity<br>Increased awareness<br>of government   | acquired 175cć INISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI SERVICES AND WEALTH CRE Key Performance Indicators No. of Km covered  | SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>5<br>1<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>8<br>7<br>8                | ES AND ACTIV<br>T. 3M<br>3M<br>3M<br>AND<br>ACTIV<br>AND<br>ACTIV<br>AND<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | MPOWERM<br>Target   | ENT<br>Cost<br>1.5M  | Target<br>400 Km   | 0<br>0<br>ar 4<br>Cost<br>2M  | 0<br>0<br>0<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | 0<br>0<br>36,18<br>ar 5<br>Cost<br>2.5M  | 3.m<br>3M<br>3,100,000<br>Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. N<br>7.5M   |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>PROGRAMME N<br>DBJECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF<br>Sub<br>frogramme<br>CT<br>nfrastructure<br>Development                   | AMPROVE SERVICE DI<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational<br>AMME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVIDE A VIBRANT<br>ICIENT GOVERNMENT<br>Key Output<br>Enhanced Fibre Optic<br>coverage<br>Increased internet<br>connectivity<br>Increased awareness<br>of government<br>programmes and<br>activities  | acquired 175cć NISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI SERVICES AND WEALTH CRE Key Performance Indicators No. of Km covered No of county departments connected to internet No of LED Display screens installed across the County  | SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5   | ES AND ACTIV<br>T.<br>3 3M<br>3 3M<br>3 3M<br>4 3M<br>4 3M<br>4 4<br>5 Year<br>4 4<br>4 4<br>4 4<br>4 4<br>4 4<br>4 4<br>4 4<br>4  | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.       0   <   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | MPOWERM<br>Target<br>400 Km<br>3  |  | Target           400 Km           1           5                                      | 0<br>0<br>0<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>M   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0      | Cost<br>2.5M   | 3.m<br>3M<br>3M<br>3,100,000<br>7.5M<br>25M<br>27.5M   |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>Policy Planning<br>PROGRAMME N<br>BJECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF<br>NUD<br>rogramme<br>CT<br>frfrastructure<br>Development | AMPROVE SERVICE D<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational<br>AMME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVIDE A VIBRANT<br>ICIENT GOVERNMENT<br>Key Output<br>Enhanced Fibre Optic<br>coverage<br>Increased internet<br>connectivity<br>Increased awareness<br>of government<br>programmes and<br>activities<br>Digitized<br>government services<br>Enhanced security<br>for county staff and  | acquired 175cc INISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed EL ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI SERVICES AND WEALTH CRE Key Performance Indicators No. of Km covered No of county departments connected to internet No of LED Display screens  | N OF PRO<br>BY THE D<br>SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION<br>LLI<br>to<br>Ta<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>d<br>SI                                     | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5   | ES AND ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>AC | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | MPOWERM<br>Target<br>400 Km<br>3  |  | Target400 Km1  | 0<br>0<br>0<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>M   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0      | 0<br>0<br>36,18<br>ar 5<br>Cost<br>2.5M<br>-   | 3.m<br>3M<br>3M<br>3,100,000   |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>Policy Planning<br>PROGRAMME N<br>BJECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF<br>NUD<br>rogramme<br>CT<br>frfrastructure<br>Development | AMPROVE SERVICE DI<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational<br>AMME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVIDE A VIBRANT<br>ICIENT GOVERNMENT<br>Key Output<br>Enhanced Fibre Optic<br>coverage<br>Increased internet<br>connectivity<br>Increased awareness<br>of government services<br>of government services<br>Enhanced security  | acquired 175cć NISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI SERVICES AND WEALTH CRR Key Performance Indicators No. of Km covered No of county departments connected to internet No of LED Display screens installed across the County No. of ERP systems acquiret and installed No of county departments   | N OF PRO<br>BY THE D<br>SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION<br>Lito<br>Ta<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI     | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5   | ES AND ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>AC | VITIES BY  | THE UNIT.       0 </td <td>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0</td> <td>Image: Constraint of the sector of the se</td> <td>ENT<br/>1.5M<br/>5.5M<br/>-</td> <td>Target           400 Km           1           5           -</td> <td>0<br/>0<br/>2<br/>2<br/>2<br/>2<br/>3<br/>5<br/>5<br/>5<br/>5<br/>4<br/>2<br/>2<br/>3<br/>2<br/>3<br/>5<br/>5<br/>5<br/>5<br/>3<br/>2<br/>3<br/>2<br/>3<br/>3<br/>3<br/>3<br/>3<br/>3<br/>3</td> <td>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0</td> <td>0<br/>0<br/>36,18<br/>2.5M<br/>5.5M</td> <td>3.m         3.m         3M         3.m         3</td> | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | Image: Constraint of the sector of the se | ENT<br>1.5M<br>5.5M<br>-   | Target           400 Km           1           5           -                          | 0<br>0<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>4<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>2<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>3<br>2<br>3<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3  | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0      | 0<br>0<br>36,18<br>2.5M<br>5.5M  | 3.m         3.m         3M         3.m         3 |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>Policy Planning<br>BJECTIVE: TO<br>UTCOME: EFF<br>ub<br>rogramme<br>CT<br>nfrastructure<br>levelopment<br>ervices        | AMPROVE SERVICE D<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational<br>AMME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVIDE A VIBRANT<br>ICIENT GOVERNMENT<br>Key Output<br>Enhanced Fibre Optic<br>coverage<br>Increased internet<br>connectivity<br>Increased awareness<br>of government<br>programmes and<br>activities<br>Digitized<br>government services<br>Enhanced security<br>for county staff and  | acquired 175cc INISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed INO. of Plans Developed INO. of County Developed INO. of Km covered INO. of County departments connected to internet INO. of ERP systems acquired and installed No. of county departments covered with CCTV No. of officers trained on da  | N OF PRO<br>BY THE D<br>SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION<br>Li<br>to<br>Ta<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5   | ES AND ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>ACTIV<br>AC | VITIES BY<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | Image: angle of the second  | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | 0       0       0       0       0       0       0       1       1       1       2   |  | Target           400 Km           1           5           -           2              | 0<br>0<br>0<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1  | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0      | 0<br>0<br>36,18<br>2.5M<br>2.5M<br>5.5M  | 3.m          |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>Policy Planning<br>INDECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF<br>Pub<br>Programme<br>CT<br>Infrastructure<br>Pevelopment<br>ervices   | AME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVED COORDINATIO<br>Legal, policy<br>and planning<br>frameworks<br>developed and<br>operational<br>AMME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVIDE A VIBRANT<br>ICLENT GOVERNMENT<br>Key Output<br>Enhanced Fibre Optic<br>coverage<br>Increased internet<br>connectivity<br>Increased awareness<br>of government<br>programmes and<br>activities<br>Digitized<br>government services<br>Enhanced security<br>for county staff and<br>property<br>Operational Digital   | acquired 175cc NISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI SERVICES AND WEALTH CRE Key Performance Indicators No. of Km covered No of county departments connected to internet No of LED Display screens installed across the County No. of ERP systems acquired and installed No of county departments covered with CCTV No. of officers trained on da protection and Cyber Securi No of Digital Hubs construc               | IN OF PRO<br>BY THE D<br>SERVICE<br>UCTURE<br>EATION<br>Lito<br>TSI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>S   | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5   | ES AND ACTIV T  AND ACTIV ACTI   | VITIES BY<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | Image: Constraint of the second se   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | Image: Constraint of the sector of the se | Cost     Cost | Target           400 Km           1           5           -           2           50 | 0<br>0<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>1<br>5<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>1<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1   | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0      | 0<br>0<br>36,18<br>2.5M<br>2.5M<br>5.5M<br>10M<br>1.5M   | 3.m          |
| DBJECTIVE. TO<br>DUTCOME; IMF<br>Policy Planning<br>PROGRAMME N<br>DBJECTIVE: TO<br>DUTCOME: EFF<br>Sub<br>frogramme<br>CT<br>nfrastructure<br>Development                   | AME: ICT AND DIGITA<br>PROVED EVENTS     ACTION     ACTION | acquired 175cc INISTRATION, PLANNING AN ELIVERY AND COORDINATION N AND SERVICE DELIVERY B No. of policies developed No. of Plans Developed L ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT ICT AND DIGITAL INFRASTRI SERVICES AND WEALTH CRE Key Performance Indicators No. of Km covered No of county departments connected to internet No of LED Display screens installed across the County No. of FRP systems acquired and installed No of county departments covered with CCTV No. of Officers trained on da protection and Cyber Securi No of Digital Hubs construc and equipped | N OF PRO<br>BY THE D<br>SERVIC<br>UCTURE<br>EATION<br>Li<br>to<br>Ta<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI<br>SI | DRT SERVIC<br>OGRAMMES<br>IEPARTMEN<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5   | ES AND ACTIV T ACTIV ACT   | VITIES BY<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | Image: angle of a boot and angle of a boot and angle of a boot  | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 | 0       0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Target           400 Km           1           5          </td><td>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>2<br/>2<br/>2<br/>2<br/>3<br/>5<br/>5<br/>5<br/>4<br/>2<br/>2<br/>3<br/>2<br/>3<br/>5<br/>5<br/>5<br/>4<br/>2<br/>0<br/>0<br/>2<br/>0<br/>1<br/>0<br/>0<br/>1<br/>0<br/>1<br/>0<br/>1<br/>0<br/>1<br/>0<br/>1<br/>1<br/>1<br/>1</td><td>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0<br/>0</td><td>0         0         36,18         36,18         2.5M         2.5M         5.5M         10M         1.5M         200M</td><td>3.m<br/>3.m<br/>3.m<br/>3.100,000<br/>7.5M<br/>25M<br/>27.5M<br/>60M<br/>7.5M<br/>1B</td></t<>  |  | Target           400 Km           1           5                                      | 0<br>0<br>0<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>4<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>2<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>4<br>2<br>0<br>0<br>2<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>0<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | 0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0      | 0         0         36,18         36,18         2.5M         2.5M         5.5M         10M         1.5M         200M | 3.m<br>3.m<br>3.m<br>3.100,000<br>7.5M<br>25M<br>27.5M<br>60M<br>7.5M<br>1B  |

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP

۲

۲

۲

| Digital<br>Business<br>Services<br>(e-commerce) | Enhanced access to<br>internet            | No of WI-FI Hotspots created                                 | SDG 9      | 5          | 10M       | 5        | 10M       | 5       | 10M | 5  | 10M | 5  | 10M | 50M    |
|---|---|--|------------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|--------|
|   | Improved service delivery                 | No of digital innovation platforms (DPs) developed           | SDG 9      | -          | -         | 2        | 5M        | -       | -   | -  | -   | -  | -   | 5M     |
|   | Enhanced inclusion<br>in digital business | No. of online/digital businesses created                     | SDG 9      | -          | -         | 50       | 1M        | 50      | 1M  | 50 | 1M  | 50 | 1M  | 4M     |
| PROGRAMME N                                     | IAME: GENERAL ADMI                        | NISTRATION, PLANNING AND SUF                                 | PORT SERV  | ICES       |           |          |           |         |     |    |     |    |     |        |
| OBJECTIVE: TO                                   | IMPROVE SERVICE DI                        | ELIVERY AND COORDINATION OF                                  | DEPARTMEN  | T-WIDE FUN | CTIONS, P | ROGRAMME | S AND ACT | IVITIES |     |    |     |    |     |        |
| OUTCOME: IMP                                    | ROVED COORDINATIO                         | N AND SERVICE DELIVERY BY TH                                 | E DEPARTME | NT         |           |          |           |         |     |    |     |    |     |        |
| Policy Planning                                 | Digital economy and<br>ICT policy         | Digital economy and ICT policy<br>formulated and implemented |            | 1          | 7M        | -        | -         | -       | -   | -  | -   | -  | -   | 7M     |
|   | Digital Master plan                       | Digital Master plan formulated<br>and implemented            |            | 1          | 15M       | -        | -         | -       | -   | -  | -   | -  | -   | 15M    |
|   |   |  |            |            |           |          |           |         |     |    |     |    |     | 1,463M |

( )

# 4.4 Sector Name: Public Administration and Intergovernmental Relations (PAIR)

#### **Sector Composition**

The Public Administration and Inter-Government Relations Sector comprises of 5 Sub-sectors namely: The Executive Office of the Governor; County Department for Governance, Administration, Communication and Public Participation; County Department for Finance and Economic Planning; County Public Service Board; and The County Assembly Service Board.

#### Sub-sector Mandates

۲

**Finance and Economic Planning:** To manage the county economy and resources therein through better planning and placement of resources in the best possible uses and ensuring prudent utilization.

**Executive Office of the Governor:** To provide leadership and coordination for effective service delivery in the county. County Public Service Board: To strengthen the county policy framework for managing the county public service, enhancing staff productivity and morale and attracting and retaining the best talent in the county public service.

Governance, Administration, Communication and Public Participation: To improve on service delivery within the county government devolved unit and departments by empowering its workforce, increasing stakeholder involvement, increasing access to information and encouraging feedback within all levels of government

County Assembly Service Board: To represent, legislate and

provide oversight of the county executive.

#### Sector Vision:

Excellence in Governance and Administration for Accelerated, Inclusive and Sustainable Development.

#### **Sector Mission:**

To provide overall leadership, policy direction and effective oversight in economic management, resource mobilization and public service delivery.

#### **Sector Goal**

- Provide overall policy direction, leadership, oversight and coordination of government towards realisation of the county's development agenda;
- ii. Coordinate and manage decentralised services, stakeholder relations and capacity building of communities;
- iii. Formulate and implement financial and economic policies to promote economic transformation for shared growth; coordinate of the formulate other County policies, statistics generation as well as tracking and reporting implementation of county development programmes;
- iv. Coordinate, manage and develop human resource in the county public service;
- Promote good governance, efficiency and effectiveness in public service delivery;
- vi. Appropriate funds for and oversight the implementation of county plans and budgets; and
- vii. Promote equitable access to public benefits, information and the participation of communities in development.

#### **Sector Priorities and Objectives**

| Table 23: Sector Priorities and Str            | rategies   |
|--|--|
| SUB-SECTOR                                     | FINANCE AND ECONOMIC PLANNING  |
| SECTOR PRIORITIES                              | STRATEGIES   |
| Strengthening planning and budgeting functions | <ul> <li>Enhance data collection and analysis</li> <li>Strengthen coordination among all actors especially between national and county governments</li> <li>Actively involve local stakeholders in all planning and budgeting processes</li> <li>Provide training and technical assistance to officers involved in planning and budgeting</li> <li>Publish plans and budget documents and involve stakeholders in monitoring their implementation</li> <li>Prioritize sectors that are essential to the well-being of local communities</li> <li>Promote evidence-based decision making</li> </ul> |



Page 70

| Ensuring value for County<br>Government monies    | <ul> <li>Define roles and responsibilities clearly for each section and officer</li> <li>Implement proper financial controls, including segregation of duties, dual-sign off procedures and regular audits</li> <li>Reduce manual processes and streamline workflows through automation including use of softwares, electronic payment systems and digitized reporting</li> <li>Develop and implement financial policies and procedures around expense reimbursement, procurement and reporting</li> <li>Provide for regular training and ongoing professional development of treasury staff</li> <li>Collaborating with other departments on budgeting, procurement and financial reporting</li> </ul>  |
|---|--|
| Optimizing resource mobilisation and utilisation  | <ul> <li>Establish an Internal Revenue Board and a vibrant Resource Mobilization Unit</li> <li>Map all potential revenue streams and development partners</li> <li>Develop a resource mobilization strategy</li> <li>Establish a central data centre for assessing needs and monitoring utilization of resources</li> <li>Designate and train revenue generation and resource mobilisation champion in each department.,</li> <li>Accelerate fund requisition processes to improve fund flow.</li> <li>Improve on planning, tracking and cash flow management system.</li> <li>Operationalize all Financial Governance Structures (e.g. Audit and Pending Bill Committees)</li> <li>Strengthen capacity of offices and officers on resource mobilization and revenue generation</li> </ul>   |
| Improving contract management                     | <ul> <li>Centralize contract management to ensure consistency and standardization across the county government</li> <li>Develop a standard process for contract creation, review, approval, execution, monitoring, closure and renewal</li> <li>Use a contract management software to automate and streamline processes</li> <li>Ensure contract compliance through ongoing monitoring of contract performance, tracking key terms and milestones, and conducting regular audits</li> <li>Implement metrics for monitoring and evaluating effectiveness of contract management practices</li> <li>Provide ongoing training and development opportunities for staff involved in contract management</li> </ul>  |
| Improving supply chain management                 | <ul> <li>Develop a Supply Chain Management Strategy</li> <li>Foster collaboration with suppliers to be able to negotiate better deals, gain access to new technologies and reduce procurement risks</li> <li>Use technology to streamline procurement processes</li> <li>Establish KPIs to monitor and evaluate effectiveness of procurement processes</li> <li>Invest in staff traiing and motivation</li> </ul>  |
| Improving project management                      | <ul> <li>Develop and regularly review a plan for each project that clearly defines goals, objectives, timelines and achievement milestones.</li> <li>Define roles and responsibilities clearly for each project team member</li> <li>Provide regular updates to stakeholders, clearly defining project requirements and expectations and, progress being made and issues arising.</li> <li>Provide and use appropriate project management tools</li> <li>Track and analyse project metrics on an ongoing basis to ensure the project is on time and within budget</li> <li>Provide ongoing training and development opportunities for staff and community members involved in project management</li> <li>Involve county administrators in all project management processes</li> </ul>   |
| SUB-SECTOR  | GOVERNANCE, ADMINISTRATION, COMMUNICATION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.  |
| Decentralisation of county<br>government services | <ul> <li>Operationalize all devolved units including establishing all relevant offices</li> <li>Conduct an assessment of government services and determine which ones to decentralize</li> <li>Establish clear roles for sub-counties, wards and villages and determine what resources to devolve</li> <li>Build capacity at sub-counties, wards and villages to manage decentralized services</li> <li>Establish mechanisms for transparency and accountability at all levels including setting of performance targets and monitoring of progress</li> <li>Foster collaboration and coordination between devolved units, including establishing regular communication channels and mechanisms for sharing information and resources</li> <li>Evaluate effectiveness of devolved services on an ongoing basis and make the necessary adjustments</li> </ul>  |
| Enhancing citizen engagement                      | <ul> <li>Develop and implement a robust framework for public participation</li> <li>Develop a stakeholder map and a road map for engaging them</li> <li>Revamp the public communication unit and establish communication channels such as regular meeting, communication networks and feedback mechanisms</li> <li>Provide multiple opportunities for citizen input and feedback such as through public consultations, citizen surveys and focus group discussions</li> <li>Use online platforms, social media and mobile applications to make it easy for citizens to access information, provide feedback and participate in decision making</li> <li>Provide training and support to citizens on communication, advocacy and leadership</li> <li>Recognize individuals and organizations that demonstrate commitment to effective citizen engagement</li> <li>Provide equal opportunities for marginalized and vulnerable groups to participate in decision-making processes</li> <li>Take into account local customs, culture, needs and language while interacting with citizens</li> </ul> |
| Strengthening partner coordination                | <ul> <li>Aligning partnerships and external linkages with County Development strategy</li> <li>Establish regular communication channels to facilitate sharing of information, updates and progress reports including regular partner round tables</li> <li>Develop joint plans and strategies that outline clear roles and responsibilities for each partner</li> <li>Encourage use of common tools and systems for reporting, monitoring and evaluation</li> <li>Engage in joint learning, knowledge sharing and capacity building</li> <li>Develop a mechanism for dealing with disagreements and conflicts that may arise</li> <li>Strengthen inter-governmental structures and operational linkage</li> <li>Establish vibrant diaspora desks</li> </ul>  |









| Adherence to National Values and<br>Code of Conduct for public servants | <ul> <li>Establish clear and consistent values that are exemplified right from the top</li> <li>Educate the public about those values through public campaigns, educational programmes and media messages</li> <li>Enforce all laws and regulations that relate to ethics, corruption and accountability in a non-discriminatory manner</li> <li>Provide training and support to employees to ensure they understand and appreciate the need to adhere to those values and codes</li> <li>Generate procedure manuals that will steer the county towards the ISO certification</li> <li>Celebrate positive behaviours through public recognition awards and other forms of positive reinforcement</li> <li>Enforce mandatory signing of oath of secrecy by all senior staff.</li> <li>Re-engineer the county public service culture and value system</li> </ul>                                |
|---|---|
| SUB-SECTOR  | EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR  |
| Improving public service delivery                                       | <ul> <li>Operationalisation of the Governor's Service Delivery Unit</li> <li>Establish Project Management Committees for each project</li> <li>Empower the M&amp;E unit and decentralised units (Sub-county and Ward Admins) with adequate reporting tools and equipment</li> <li>Conduct thorough assessment of citizen needs from time to time</li> <li>Develop service delivery charters and service standards for each county government entity including targets for service delivery, response time and quality of services</li> <li>Leverage digital platforms and mobile applications to provide services at the convenience of citizens</li> <li>Provide ongoing training and support to public servants including career development opportunities</li> <li>Publish information on service delivery and involve citizens in monitoring effectiveness of service delivery</li> </ul> |
| Strengthening Coordination  | <ul> <li>Develop and implement the Public Service Coordination Policy</li> <li>Develop and operationalize the general administration procedure manual</li> <li>Foster collaboration among government entities</li> </ul>  |
| SUB-SECTOR  | COUNTY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD.  |
| Acquiring and retaining staff with right skill sets                     | <ul> <li>Develop proper job descriptions, person specifications and career progression guidelines for each cadre</li> <li>Use competence-based recruitment approaches and offer competitive compensation and benefits</li> <li>Provide appropriate opportunities for training and development to retained staff</li> <li>Create positive work environment with a positive culture of respect, recognition and work-life balance</li> <li>Provide for flexible working arrangements including remote working and foster a team working culture</li> <li>Provide for regular assessment of performance to identify areas of improvement and staff development</li> </ul>  |
| Encouraging continuous professional development of county staff         | <ul> <li>Develop a culture of continuous learning among staff</li> <li>Provide training and development programmes that align with employee roles and career aspirations</li> <li>Provide access to resources such as books, journals and online learning platforms that support CPD</li> <li>Encourage each employee to set learning goals and have a CPD plan</li> <li>Encourage peer-to-peer learning and reward positive learning outcomes</li> <li>Provide county employees with opportunities to apply what they learn</li> </ul>   |
| Embracing climate change at the work places                             | <ul> <li>Conduct climate-risk assessment in each workplace</li> <li>Develop climate plans for each office</li> <li>Reduce energy consumption through use of LED lighting, energy-efficient appliances and building insulation</li> <li>Use renewable energy sources</li> <li>Reduce waste generation through use of paperless communication, recycling and reusable effects</li> <li>Encourage sustainable transport options such as walking and cycling</li> <li>Support remote working and virtual meetings</li> </ul>  |

# **Sector Programmes**

۲

Table 25: PAIR Sector Programmes

#### **Finance and Economic Planning**

| PROGRAMME 1: PLANNING, BUDGET   | TING AND DEVELOPMEN                             | T COORDINATION SERVICES                                       |                                |           |           |           |         |        |      |        |      |        |      |                     |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|---------------------|
| OBJECTIVE: TO PROVIDE LEADERSH  | IP AND COORDINATION I                           | N PLANNING, RESOURCE ALLOCATION                               | I AND COM                      | Munity P. | ARTICIPAT | ion in de | VELOPME |        |      |        |      |        |      |                     |
| OUTCOME: IMPROVED PLANNING, AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION FOR INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT |   |   |                                |           |           |           |         |        |      |        |      |        |      |                     |
| Sub Programme   | Key Output                                      | Key Performance Indicators                                    | Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets* | Year 1    |           | Year 2    |         | Year 3 |      | Year 4 |      | Year 5 |      | Total               |
|   |   |   |                                | Target    | Cost      | Target    | Cost    | Target | Cost | Target | Cost | Target | Cost | Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
| Economic Planning and Develop-<br>ment Monitoring Services                                    | Properly formulated<br>and implemented<br>plans | No of sub-county planning offices constructed                 | SDG 8                          | -         | -         | 1         | 2m      | 1      | 2.5m | 1      | 3m   | 1      | 3.5m | 11m                 |
|   |   | Timely development /review of Sectoral plans.                 |                                | 8         | 20m       | -         | -       | 8      | 12m  | -      | -    | -      | -    | 32m                 |
|   |   | No. of Medium-Term County Plans<br>(CIDP) formulated/reviewed |                                | 1         | 20m       | -         | -       | 1      | 10m  | -      | -    | -      | -    | 30m                 |
|   |   | No. of Annual County Plans developed                          |                                | 4         | 10m       | 4         | 11m     | 4      | 12m  | 4      | 13m  | 4      | 14m  | 60m                 |
|   |   | No. of Strategic Plans formulated                             |                                | 19        | 76m       | -         | -       | -      | -    | -      | -    | -      | -    | 76m                 |





۲

|   |  | % of projects appraised and cap-<br>tured in NIMES and e-ProMIS              |           | 50%      | 10m      | 100%    | 2m      | 100       | 2m     | 100  | 2m  | 100 | 2m  | 18m  |
|---|--|--|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|
|   |  | Cum. % of completed works on the county data repository.                     |           | 50%      | 20m      | 70%     | 10m     | 90%       | 10m    | 100% | 10m |     |     | 50m  |
| Resource Allocation and Budget<br>Implementation Monitoring<br>Services | Credible budget<br>documents   | No. of Annual Budget Documents<br>formulated and approved by HBCA            |           | 3        | 5m       | 3       | 5m      | 3         | 6m     | 3    | 6m  | 3   | 7m  | 29m  |
|   |  | No. of Budget Implementation<br>Review Reports generated                     |           | 4        | 1m       | 4       | 1m      | 4         | 1m     | 4    | 1m  | 4   | 1m  | 5m   |
|   |  | Cum. % of completed works<br>on the budget implementation<br>tracking system |           | -        | -        | 100%    | 3m      | -         | 1m     | -    | 1m  | -   | 1m  | 6m   |
|   |  | No of officers trained on imple-<br>mentation tracking system                |           | 90       | 10m      | 90      | 2m      | 90        | 2m     | 90   | 2m  | 90  | 2m  | 18m  |
| PROGRAMME 2. FINANCIAL MANAGE   | MENT SERVICES  |  |           |          |          |         |         |           |        |      |     |     |     |      |
| OBJECTIVE: TO MOBILIZE DEVELOPN   | IENT ASSISTANCE AND  | ENSURE OPTIMUM AND EQUITABLE CO  | OLLECTION | OF INTER | NAL REVE | NUE FOR | SUSTAIN | ABLE DEVE | LOPMEN |      |     |     |     |      |
| OUTCOME: ENHANCED FISCAL DISC   | IPLINE AND PRUDENT U   | ITILIZATION OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES   |           |          |          |         |         |           |        |      |     |     |     |      |
| Accounting and Financial Reporting Services                             | Adequate records<br>kept and quality<br>and timely reports<br>prepared | Relevant and adequate records<br>and documents kept.                         |           | 50       | 5m       | 100     | 2m      | 100       | 2m     | 100  | 2m  | 100 | 2m  | 13m  |
|   |  | Accurate, timely and responsive reporting.                                   |           | 15       | 1m       | 15      | 0.5m    |           | 0.5m   | 15   | 0.5 | 15  | 0.5 | 3m   |
| Supply Chain Management<br>Services                                     | Optimized process-<br>es for acquiring<br>and delivering<br>products   | % of sourced products captured<br>in the tracking system                     |           | 50       | 5m       | 100     | 2m      | 100       | 2m     | 100  | 2m  | 100 | 2m  | 13m  |
| Audit and Assurance Services  | Integrity and value<br>for money in public<br>service delivery         | % of county entities complying<br>with the audit rules and regu-<br>lations. |           | 50       | 5m       | 100     | 5m      | 100       | 5m     | 100  | 5m  | 100 | 5m  | 25m  |
|   |  | Procurement of Analytical tool<br>-IDEA                                      |           | 1        | 2m       | -       | 2m      | -         | 2m     | -    | 2m  | -   | 2m  | 10M  |
|   |  | Unqualified audit report.  |           | 1        |          | 1       |         | 1         |        | 1    | -   | 1   | -   | -    |
| PROGRAMME: PROGRAMME 3: RESC  | DURCE MOBILIZATION SI  | ERVICES  |           |          |          |         |         |           |        |      |     |     |     |      |
| OBJECTIVE: TO MOBILIZE DEVELOPM   | IENT ASSISTANCE AND  | ENSURE OPTIMUM COLLECTION OF IN  | ITERNAL R | EVENUE.  |          |         |         |           |        |      |     |     |     |      |
| OUTCOME: ENHANCED REVENUE AN  | D OTHER RESOURCES F  | OR COUNTY DEVELOPMENT  |           |          |          |         |         |           |        |      |     |     |     |      |
| External Resources Mobilization   | Enhanced mobili-<br>zation of external resources                       | Value of external resource mobilized   |           | 3b       | 20M      | 3b      | 20M     | 3b        | 20M    | 3b   | 20M | 3b  | 20m | 100M |
| Internal Revenue Mobilization<br>Services                               | Enhanced OSR<br>generation and<br>collection                           | %increase in OSR generated.  |           | 1b       | 10M      | 1b      | 10M     | 1b        | 10M    | 1b   | 10M | 1b  | 10M | 50M  |
|   |  | No of new revenue streams created.   |           | 5        | 5M       | 5       | 5M      | 5         | 5M     | 5    | 5M  | 5   | 5M  | 25M  |

۲

# Department of Governance, Administration, Communication and Stakeholder Management

PROGRAMME 1: GOVERNANCE, ADMINISTRATION AND DEVOLUTION SERVICES OBJECTIVE: TO OPERATIONALIZE, STRENGTHEN AND INCREASE THE QUALITY-OF-SERVICE DELIVERY OFFERED BY THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT WITHIN ITS DEVOLVED UNITS AND DEPARTMENTS OUTCOME: IMPROVED ACCESS TO SERVICE DELIVERY BY THE PUBLIC THROUGH EMPOWERED AND DECENTRALIZED DEVOLVED UNITS Total KSh. M) Field Administration Services Better work No. of returns/registers 624 624 624 624 624 performance and generated/updated service delivery in devolved units No. of sub-county offices renovated -----2 2m 2 2m 4m -No. of Sub-county offices 2 18m 18m constructed 4 20.8 4 41.6 20.8 No of ward offices completed Ward administrators' offices 8 96m 6 72m 8 72m 6 72m 6 72m 384m constructed Ward administrators' offices ----8 2m 8 2m 8 2m 6m renovated PROGRAMME 2. STAKEHOLDER MANAGEMENT, COMMUNICATION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SERVICES OBJECTIVE: TO FOSTER RELIABLE STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENTS, CIVIC EDUCATION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION THROUGH DIVERSE MEDIA CHANNELS OF COMMUNICATION AT ALL LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT AND DEVOLVED UNITS. OUTCOME: IMPROVED STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENTS, COMMUNICATION STRATEGIES AND INCREASED COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENTS WITH THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT. Public Coordinated communication Services and citizen 20m 100m County brand Enhancement 20% 20m 20% 20m 20% 20m 20% 20% 20m centre engagement

Page 73

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



|  |   | Departmental service charter                        |            | 1            | 1m          |           |           |          |          |          |        |          |              | 1m       |
|--|---|---|------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|----------|--------------|----------|
|  |   | Strategic Plans                                     |            | 1            | 5m          |           |           | 1        | 5m       |          |        | 1        | 5m           | 15m      |
|  |   | Communication policy                                |            | 1            | 5m          |           |           |          |          |          |        |          |              | 5m       |
|  |   | Operationalization of the county brass band.        |            |              | 10m         |           | 50m       |          | 10m      |          | 10m    |          | 10m          | 90m      |
|  |   | Communication equipment                             |            | 1            | 10m         |           | 10m       |          | 10m      |          |        |          |              | 30m      |
| PROGRAMME: P                                 | ROGRAMME 3: INSP  | ECTORATE, SPECIAL PROJECTS, SE                      | CURITY AND | DISASTER M   | ANAGEMENT   | SERVICES  |           |          |          |          |        |          |              |          |
|  |   | FFECTIVE AND RELIABLE INSPECTO<br>NTS AND AGENCIES. | RATE UNIT  | THAT WILL SE | RVE, PROTEC | r, preven | T AND RES | SPOND TO | DISASTER | S, EMERG | ENCIES | AND INSE | CURITIES WIT | THIN THE |
| OUTCOME: INCR                                | EASED SECURITY SU   | RVEILLANCE, REDUCED RESPONSE                        | TIME AND A | N EFFICIENT  | INSPECTORAT | E         |           |          |          |          |        |          |              |          |
| County<br>Inspectorate<br>Services           | Improved<br>efficiency in<br>enforcement  | No. of officers fully kitted                        |            | 1,000        | 24m         | 300       | 7.2m      | 300      | 7.2m     | -        | -      | -        | -            | 38.4m    |
|  |   | Compliance management system procured and installed |            | 1            | 10m         | -         | -         | -        | -        | -        | -      | -        | -            | 10m      |
| Disaster and<br>special projects<br>services | Organized<br>response<br>and reduced<br>vulnerability<br>to disasters<br>and hazardous<br>incidents | No. of Municipal Fire Stations<br>established       |            | 2            | 120m        | 2         | 120m      | 1        | 60m      |          |        |          |              | 300m     |
|  |   | No. of Paramedic Unit established                   |            | 2            | 10m         | 2         | 10m       | 1        | 5m       |          |        |          |              | 25m      |
|  |   | No. of water ambulances                             |            | 2            | 20m         | 2         | 20m       | 1        | 10m      |          |        |          |              | 50m      |
|  |   | No. of lightning arresters                          |            | 10           | 5m          | 10        | 5m        | 10       | 5m       | 10       | 5m     | 10       | 5m           | 25m      |
|  |   | Early-Warning System installed                      |            | 4            | 15m         |           |           |          |          |          |        |          |              | 15m      |

#### **Executive Office of the Governor**

۲

PROGRAMME 1: STRATEGY AND SERVICE DELIVERY IMPROVEMENT SERVICES OBJECTIVE: TO PROVIDE STRATEGIC SUPPORT THAT WOULD SCALE UP IMPACT AND FACILITATE ACHIEVEMENT OF THE BEST POSSIBLE OUTCOMES OUTCOME: ENHANCED LEADERSHIP AND COORDINATION FOR IMPROVED SERVICE DELIVERY Budget (KSh. M Efficiency Monitor-Strengthened M\$E systems installed and SDG 8 1 10m -2m 2m -2m -2m 18m monitoring and evaluation ing Services. operational. No of M&E officers trained 90 15M 90 15M 90 15M 90 15M 90 15M 75M M&E policy in place. SDG 8 1 10M 10M PROGRAMME 2. PUBLIC SERVICE SUPPORT SERVICES OBJECTIVE: TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT AND COORDINATE MAN POWER PLANNING AND LEGISLATION TO SUPPORT COUNTY ADMINISTRATION Improved Efficiency in public Service Human Resource Management SDG 8 5m 25M No of policy frameworks 1 1 5m 1 5m 1 5m 1 5m 10M Functional integrated Human SDG 8 10m --------Resources Information System acquired and Installed. % of works Homa-Bay County Human Resource Training Center. 50 30m 50 60m 30m Proportion of Staff under com-prehensive insurance cover 50 100m 100 200m 100 200m 100 200m 100 200m 900m

# **County Public Service Board**

PROGRAMME: PERSONNEL SOURCING, MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

OBJECTIVE: TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT IN SERVICE DELIVERY AND COORDINATE MANPOWER PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND LOGISTIC SUPPORT TO THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION

OUTCOME: COMPETENT HUMAN RESOURCES ARE ATTRACTED, RETAINED AND DEVELOPED FOR EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE SERVICE DELIVERY

| Sub Programme   | Key Output                      | Key Performance            | Linkages           | Ye     | ar 1 | Ye     | ar 2 | Yea    | ir 3 | Yea    | ar 4 | Yea    | r 5  | Total                  |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|------------------------|
|   |                                 | Indicators                 | to SDG<br>Targets* | Target | Cost | Budget<br>(KSh.<br>M)* |
| Recruitment and Selection   | Competent staff recruited       | No. of staff<br>recruited  | SDG 8              | 100    | 20M  | 100                    |
|   |                                 | No. of interns<br>engaged  | SDG 8              | 50     | 0.6M | 3                      |
| Staff Establishment and abo-<br>lition of offices in the county<br>public service | Appropriate offices established | No. of offices established | SDG 8              | 2      | 0.2M | 1                      |





۲

|   |  | No. of offices abolished   | SDG 8         | 1    | 0          | 1    | 0          | 1    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 1    | 0          | 0   |
|---|--|--|---------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------------|-----|
|   |  | No. of new offices filled  | SDG 8         | 2    | 2M         | 2    | 2M         | 2    | 2M   | 2    | 2M   | 2    | 2M         | 10  |
|   |  | No. of officers<br>removed from<br>abolished offices   | SDG 8         | 1    | 1M         | 1    | 1M         | 1    | 1M   | 1    | 1M   | 1    | 1M         | 5   |
| Infrastructure Development  | Office block con-<br>structed  | % of works done  | SDG 8         | 50%  | 25m        | 50%  | 25m        | -    |      |      |      |      |            | 50  |
| Human Resource Advisory.  | Appropriate advisories<br>issued about recruit-<br>ment, workplace safe-<br>ty, employee relations,<br>remuneration, training<br>and compliance with<br>laws | No. of workforce<br>cadres with<br>schemes of service  | SDG 8         | 100% | 2M         | 100% | 2M         | 100% | 2M   | 100% | 2M   | 100% | 2M         | 10  |
|   |  | No. of HR adviso-<br>ries issued   | SDG 8         | 5    | 0          | 5    | 0          | 5    | 0    | 5    | 0    | 5    | 0          | 0   |
|   |  | No. of inter/<br>intragovernmental<br>transfers effected   | SDG 16        | 5    | 0          | 5    | 0          | 5    | 0    | 5    | 0    | 5    | 0          | 0   |
|   |  | No. of re-designa-<br>tions effected   | SDG 8<br>& 16 | 100  | 1M         | 100  | 1M         | 100  | 1M   | 100  | 1M   | 100  | 1M         | 5   |
|   |  | No. of promotions effected   | SDG 8<br>& 16 | 500  | 0          | 500  | 0          | 500  | 0    | 500  | 0    | 500  | 0          | 0   |
|   |  | No. of confirma-<br>tions effected   | SDG 8<br>& 16 | 1000 | 0          | 1000 | 0          | 1000 | 0    | 1000 | 0    | 1000 | 0          | 0   |
|   |  | No. of SRC<br>advisories on<br>remuneration, pen-<br>sion and gratuities<br>sought(based on<br>need) | SDG 8         | -    | 0.1M       | -    | 0.1M       | -    | 0.1M | -    | 0.1M | -    | 0.1M       | 0.5 |
|   |  | No. of SRC<br>advisories on<br>remuneration, pen-<br>sion and gratuities<br>implemented              | SDG 8         |      | 0.1M       |      | 0.1M       |      | 0.1M |      | 0.1M |      | 0.1M       | 0.5 |
|   |  | No. of disci-<br>plinary cases<br>handled(based on<br>need)  | SDG 8<br>& 16 |      | 1M         |      | 1M         |      | 1M   |      | 1M   |      | 1 <b>M</b> | 5   |
| Capacity Development<br>Services  | Staff with appropriate skills  | No. of staff trained   | SDG 8         | 30   | 9M         | 30   | 9M         | 30   | 9M   | 30   | 9M   | 30   | 9M         | 45  |
|   |  | No. of induction<br>programs con-<br>ducted  | SDG 8         | 5    | 0          | 5    | 0          | 5    | 0    | 5    | 0    | 5    | 0          | 0   |
|   |  | Refresher courses attended   | SDG 8         | 10   | 2M         | 10   | 2M         | 10   | 2M   | 10   | 2M   | 10   | 2M         | 10  |
|   |  | No. of opportunities benchmarked   | SDG 8         | 5    | 2M         | 5    | 2M         | 5    | 2M   | 5    | 2M   | 5    | 2M         | 10  |
|   |  | No. of on-job train-<br>ings conducted   | SDG 8         | 5    | 1M         | 5    | 1M         | 5    | 1M   | 5    | 1M   | 5    | 1M         | 5   |
| Implementation and<br>Monitoring of the National<br>Performance Management<br>Systems in the County | Appropriate national performance manage-<br>ment system adopted  | No. of performance<br>implementation<br>reports prepared<br>and disseminated                         | SDG 8         | 1    | 1 <b>M</b> | 1    | 1 <b>M</b> | 1    | 1M   | 1    | 1M   | 1    | 1 <b>M</b> | 5   |

# **County Assembly Service Board**

HB CIDP 2023 two.indd 75

۲

PROGRAMME: OVERSIGHT AND CONTROL SERVICES OBJECTIVE: ENSURE PROPER DETERMINATION, MOBILIZATION AND UTILIZATION OF COUNTY RESOURCES AS WELL AS MANAGEMENT OF RISKS AND PREPARATION OF APPROPRIATE CAPITAL STRUCTURE FOR SUSTAINABLE OPERATIONS OF THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT 
 OUTCOME: COST-EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION, OVERSIGHT AND LEGISLATION THAT LEADS TO IMPROVED SERVICE DELIVERY

 Sub
 Key Output
 Key Performance Indicators
 Linkages
 Year 1
 Year
 Total Budget (KSh. M)<sup>\*</sup> No. of members trained on legislative procedures To equip members with appropriate skills on legislative procedures 54 Capacity Building Services SDG 8 80M 54 80M 54 80M 54 80M 54 80M 400M To equip members and staff with appropriate skills on oversight and control No. of members and staff trained on oversight and control SDG 8 100 25M 150 30M 150 30M 120 20M 100 15M 150M To provide technical staff with con-tinuous professional development SDG 8 8 1.6M 8 1.6M 8 1.6M 8 1.6M 8 1.6M 8M No. of CPDs attended by staff



|   | To equip staff with appropriate skills  | No. of staff trained on<br>indexing, transcription and<br>editing        | SDG 8                   | 22      | 2.7M       | 22       | 2.7M     | 22      | 2.7M      | 22       | 2.7M     | 22       | 2.7M       | 13.5M     |
|---|---|--|-------------------------|---------|------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|
|   | To equip staff with appropriate skills  | No. of trainings attended on<br>IPSAS Accrual                            | SDG 8                   | 8       | 0.6M       | 8        | 0.6M     | 8       | 0.6M      | 8        | 0.6M     | 8        | 0.6M       | 3M        |
|   | To equip staff with appropriate skills  | No. of IFMIS trainings attended  | SDG 8                   | 3       | 0.7M       | 3        | 0.7M     | 3       | 0.7M      | 3        | 0.7M     | 3        | 0.7M       | 3.5M      |
|   | To equip staff with appropriate skills  | No. of ICPAK trainings and workshops attended                            | SDG 8                   | 4       | 3M         | 4        | 3M       | 4       | 3M        | 4        | 3M       | 4        | 3M         | 15M       |
|   | To improve performance of employ-<br>ees and MCAs   | No. of members and staff trained on HR issues                            | SDG 8                   | 36      | 25M        | 36       | 25M      | 36      | 25M       | 36       | 25M      | 36       | 25M        | 125M      |
|   | To equip Audit Committee members with the appropriate skills                                  | No. of audit committee<br>members trained and<br>inducted                | SDG 8                   | 5       | 2M         | 5        | 2M       | 5       | 2M        | 5        | 2M       | 5        | 2M         | 10M       |
| Report Writing<br>Services                            | To enhance oversight and service delivery   | No. of reports generated, adopted, and implemented                       | SDG 16                  | 100     | 50M        | 100      | 50M      | 100     | 50M       | 100      | 50M      | 100      | 50M        | 250M      |
| Public<br>Participation<br>and Education<br>Services  | To enhance citizen engagement and promote transparency  | No. of public participation undertaken                                   | SDG 11                  | 50      | 15M        | 50       | 15M      | 50      | 15M       | 50       | 15M      | 50       | 15M        | 75M       |
|   | To enhance sharing of useful<br>information for legislation, oversight<br>and representation  | No. of research materials produced and disseminated                      | SDG 4                   | 4       | 1M         | 4        | 1M       | 4       | 1M        | 4        | 1M       | 4        | 1 <b>M</b> | 5M        |
|   | LEGISLATIVE SERVICES  |  |                         |         |            |          |          |         |           |          |          |          |            |           |
| WITHIN/BETWE  | PPORT EFFECTIVE DEVELOPMENT OF<br>EN GOVERNMENT ENTITIES                                      |  |                         |         |            |          |          | FRAMEWO | RK FOR PI | JBLIC AD | OMINISTE | ation an | ID RELAT   | IONSHIPS  |
| OUTCOME: COS<br>Legislative                           | T-EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION, OVEF<br>To strengthen the legislative frame-                      | SIGHT AND LEGISLATION THAT<br>No. of bills passed and                    | T LEADS TO II<br>SDG 16 | MPROVED | SERVICE I  | DELIVERY | 10M      |         | 10M       |          | 10M      |          | 10M        | 50M       |
| Development<br>and Approval<br>Services               | work for service delivery   | policies   | 500 10                  |         | TUW        |          | TOW      |         | TUIVI     |          | TOW      |          | TOW        | JUIM      |
|   | To strengthen the legislative frame-<br>work for service delivery                             | No. of Standing Order and<br>Committee Manual reviews<br>undertaken      | SDG 16                  | 1       | 4M         | 1        | 4M       | 1       | 4M        | 1        | 4M       | 1        | 4M         | 20M       |
|   | To strengthen the legislative frame-<br>work for service delivery                             | No. of Bills and Policies<br>formulated and reviewed                     | SDG 16                  | All     | 4M         | All      | 4M       | All     | 4M        | All      | 4M       | All      | 4M         | 20M       |
|   | To strengthen the legislative frame-<br>work for service delivery                             | No. of cases successfully<br>prosecuted or defended<br>in court          | SDG 16                  | All     | 80M        | All      | 25M      | All     | 30M       | All      | 35M      | All      | 40M        | 210M      |
|   | To enhance service delivery in the<br>County Assembly   | No. of Mace procured and<br>in outfit of the national<br>standard design | SDG 16                  | 1       | 4.5M       | -        | -        | -       | -         | -        | -        | -        | -          | 4.5M      |
|   | POLICY, PLANNING AND ADMINISTRAT  |  |                         |         |            |          |          |         |           |          |          |          |            |           |
|   | COORDINATE INSTITUTION-WIDE SER<br>Sultation, prioritization and dev                          |  |                         |         |            |          |          |         |           | N/IMPLEI | MENTATI  | ON OF NE | N IDEAS    | AND       |
|   | T-EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION, OVEF  |  |                         |         |            | DELIVERY |          |         |           |          |          |          |            | 514       |
| Administra-<br>tive Support<br>Services               | To enhance service delivery in the<br>County Assembly   | No. of audit software<br>procured (Teammate)                             | SDG 9                   | 1       | 5M         | -        | -        | -       | -         | -        | -        | -        | -          | 5M        |
|   | To enhance service delivery in the<br>County Assembly   | No. of Asset Management<br>software procured                             | SDG 9                   | 1       | 1M         | -        | -        | -       | -         | -        | -        | -        | -          | 1M        |
|   | Development of the Assembly<br>Strategic Plan to guide planning                               | Strategic Plan for the County<br>Assembly Developed                      | SDG 16                  | 1       | 5M         | -        | -        | -       | -         | -        | -        | -        | -          | 5M        |
|   | Acquisition of Motor Vehicles for<br>Assembly Officials<br>To enhance service delivery in the | No. of Motor Vehicles<br>purchased<br>No. of audit committee             | SDG 8<br>SDG 16         | 1       | 12M<br>2M  | 1        | 12M      | 1       | 12M       | -        | 12M      | 1        | 12M        | 60M<br>2M |
| Assembly  | Upgrading of ICT Infrastructure in  | strategic plans developed  | SDG 16                  | 50%     | 2WI<br>30M | - 100%   | -<br>25M | -       | -         | -        | -        | -        | -          | 2W        |
| Assembly<br>Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services | the Plenary and Committee Rooms<br>and Renovation of ICT Server Room                          | works completed  | 0000                    | 50 /0   | GOW        | 10070    | 2.011    |         |           |          |          |          |            | USIWI     |
|   | Renovation of Drainage Works  | Cumulative % of planned works completed                                  | SDG 6                   | 20%     | 5M         | 40%      | 5M       | 60%     | 5M        | 80%      | 5M       | 100%     | 5M         | 25M       |
|   | Construction of County Assembly<br>Store House  | Cumulative % of planned works completed                                  | SDG 8                   | 100%    | 8M         | -        | -        | -       | -         | -        | -        | -        | -          | 8M        |
|   | Drilling of Borehole to enhance<br>constant water supply for the<br>County Assembly           | No. of Boreholes drilled and in use                                      | SDG 6                   | 1       | 2M         | -        | -        | -       | -         | -        | -        | -        | -          | 2M        |
|   | Residential accommodation for the speaker   | Cumulative % of planned works completed                                  | SDG 9                   | 100%    | 8.75M      | -        | -        | -       | -         | -        | -        | -        | -          | 8.75M     |
|   | Office space for improved represen-<br>tation by the 40 elected MCAs                          | Cumulative % of planned<br>works completed                               | SDG 9                   | 30%     | 100M       | 60%      | 100M     | 100%    | 100M      | -        | -        | -        | -          | 300M      |
|   |   |  |                         |         |            |          |          |         |           |          |          |          |            |           |



۲

۲

۲

| Refurbishment of County Assembly Offices         | Cumulative % of planned works completed                | SDG 9 | 100% | 5M  | -    | -   | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5M         |
|--|--|-------|------|-----|------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| Digitization of the Assembly Library             | No. of desktops purchased and installed in the library | SDG 9 | 6    | 1M  | -    | -   | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 <b>M</b> |
| Automation of Stock Control                      | Acquisition of a stock control system                  | SDG 9 | 1    | 5M  | -    | -   | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5M         |
| Installation of CCTV systems within the Assembly | Cumulative % of planned<br>works completed             | SDG 9 | 50%  | 20M | 100% | 30M | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50M        |

 $( \blacklozenge$ 



# 4.5 Sector Name: SOCIAL PROTECTION, CULTURE AND RECREATION (SPCR)

## 4.5.1 Sector Background

۲

Social Protection, Culture and Recreation Sector comprises of all sections under the County Department of Gender Equality and Inclusivity, Youth, Sports, Talent Development, Cultural Heritage and Social Services. The sector plays a strategic role in empowerment of communities and vulnerable groups, safeguarding rights of children and the elderly, nurturance of diverse cultures, arts and sports to enhance county cohesiveness and competitiveness.

**Sector Vision:** A sustainable, equitable and socio-culturally developed county with a vibrant and globally competitive sports, culture and creative industry.

**Sector Mission:** To formulate, mainstream and implement responsive policies towards the realisation of resilient, equitable and informed communities enriched with vibrant and

globally competitive sports, culture, heritage and the arts.

#### Sector Goals:

- i. To enhance socio-economic development and sustainable livelihoods of disadvantage populations;
- ii. To harness, manage, develop, regulate and build adequate capacity in the sports industry;
- iii. To harness, develop, preserve and promote the county's diverse culture and heritage, creative arts and access to information;
- iv. To promote decent work, skills development, sustainable employment and productivity of the youth, women and PWDs;
- v. To promote empowerment, participation and protection of children, PWDs, the elderly and other vulnerable groups;
- vi. To promote gender equality and empowerment of women and the youth; and
- vii. To provide enabling policies, legal and institutional frameworks.







# **Sector Priorities and Strategies**

Table 25: Sector Priorities and Strategy SPCR

| PRIORITIES.   | STRATEGIES   |
|---|--|
| Promotion and development of sports<br>infrastructure and sports facilities                 | <ul> <li>Complete Phase I of Construction of Homa Bay County Stadium to be christened "Raila Amolo Odinga" Stadium including completion of perimeter wall fencing and 3No. gates; installation of high-mast floodlights; fixing of PVC chairs on VIP terraces and laying of Cabro at the parking lot.</li> <li>Implement to completion phase II Construction of Homa Bay County Stadium including construction of 3No. temporary terraces; laying of tartan material on the running track; construction of swimming pool and minor playfields (e.g. volleyball, netball, handball, basketball on the northern side impression section).</li> <li>Manage, market, rehabilitate and maintain additional sports stadia to provide avenues for sports development</li> <li>Construct 3No. Sub-county regional sports academies i.e. greater Suba (north and South), Greater Rachuonyo (north, south and east) and Ndhiwa.</li> <li>Construct a paralympic sporting facility at Nyaburi in Kendu Bay Town Ward</li> <li>Construct sub-county sports grounds in seven other sub-counties</li> <li>Construct recreational indoor halls</li> <li>Rehabilitate and improve playgrounds identified at the wards</li> </ul> |
| Identification and nurturing of sports talents  | <ul> <li>Develop and implement a county sports policy</li> <li>Establish a scheme to identify and equitably award talented youth in sports and</li> <li>Strengthen linkages between schools and sports clubs</li> <li>Provide support to county leagues and clubs including training of technical sports personnel e.g. referees, umpires, and coaches</li> <li>Facilitate county participation in inter-county and international sports events</li> <li>Establish functional sports talent centres for children and youths</li> <li>Organize competitions including ward tournaments and county leagues</li> <li>Establish county teams in various sporting disciplines</li> <li>Facilitate representation of the county at inter county, National and international sports events</li> <li>Encourage PWDs to embrace Paralympic</li> <li>Facilitate procurement and distribution of sports uniforms/kits and equipment</li> <li>Establish a county sport scholarship fund through lottery and county contributions</li> </ul>  |
| Mainstreaming of gender issues in<br>planning, budgeting and projects<br>implementation     | <ul> <li>Develop a gender mainstreaming policy</li> <li>Disseminate policies on gender and affirmative action</li> <li>Strengthen gender technical working groups and women councils</li> <li>Provide funds for women empowerment programmes</li> <li>Roll out implementation of the SGBV control policy</li> <li>Roll out advocacy/mentorship activities for girls in/out of school, teenage mothers and young women especially key populations on SRH rights</li> <li>Support affirmative action – providing women, youth and PWD with opportunities to be better represented in decision making processes at all levels.</li> <li>Ensure uptake of 30% of tenders by youth, women and PWD under AGPO</li> <li>Establish a one-stop shop for AGPO services</li> <li>Map, develop and maintain data base for different cohorts and women groups</li> <li>Roll out women in climate change programs</li> <li>Facilitate celebration of National and International Days</li> </ul>  |
| Mainstreaming of disability issues<br>in planning, budgeting and projects<br>implementation | <ul> <li>Formulate and disseminate a disability policy</li> <li>Map, develop and maintain a data base of PWDs in the county</li> <li>Establish/strengthen disability technical working groups</li> <li>Mobilize and empower PWDs for Socioeconomic activities</li> <li>Distribute assorted assistive devices and tools of trade appropriate for PWDs</li> <li>Ensure physical accessibility to public offices for PWDs</li> <li>Capacity build county staffs on disability issues</li> <li>Establish empowerment funds for PWDs</li> <li>Include PWDs in climate change programs</li> <li>Facilitate Celebration of National and International Days</li> </ul>   |
| Mainstreaming of<br>Youth issues in planning, budgeting<br>and projects implementation      | <ul> <li>Formulate and disseminate a youth policy</li> <li>Map, develop and maintain a data base for youth cohorts and groups</li> <li>Strengthen youth technical working groups and youth linkages to the global market</li> <li>Establish appropriate youth empowerment funds</li> <li>Support affirmative action – providing youth with opportunities to be better represented in tenders, employment and decision making processes at all levels.</li> <li>Establish integrated youth empowerment centres</li> <li>Roll out youth in climate change programs</li> <li>Facilitate celebration of National and International Days</li> </ul>   |
| Enhancement of childcare and child protection services                                      | <ul> <li>Formulate and disseminate child welfare and protection policy</li> <li>Establish appropriate child care units</li> <li>Strengthen children assemblies</li> <li>Roll out children in climate change programs</li> <li>Establish recreational spaces for children</li> </ul>  |

۲



۲



| Development and promotion of cultural<br>and the creative arts industry | <ul> <li>Construct a County Library and establish community libraries in Integrated Youth Empowerment Centres</li> <li>Construct a multiplex cultural centre at Ndiru Kagan to be christened "Homa Bay County Cultural Centre"</li> <li>Partner with Abasuba Peace Museum towards preservation of minority suba community heritage.</li> <li>Design unique county branding including county anthem and attire</li> <li>Establish cultural industries to market youth talents especially in performing arts and story writing and telling</li> <li>Create partnerships with the Kenya Film Commission, private sector and the National Museums of Kenya, UNESCO, Kenya tourism Board, UNEP and other strategic agencies</li> <li>Participate in cultural exchange programs with other county, national and international agencies</li> <li>Organize county music and cultural festivals</li> <li>Identify, profile and market both tangible and intangible cultural heritage sites</li> <li>Establish cultural and heritage promotion centres especially for theatre artists and traditional musicians.</li> <li>Establish a county museum and gallery for displaying cultural artefacts</li> <li>Preserve the Gor Mahia shrine</li> </ul> |
|---|---|
| Provision of safe spaces for vulnerable persons and victims of abuse    | <ul> <li>Construct a complex referral safe space centre with social amenities for recreation and rehabilitation of survivors of drugs and substance abuse, GBV and other forms of abuse</li> <li>Establish a teen mentorship programs through "siwindhes" sessions.</li> </ul>  |
| Livelihoods enhancement and diversifi-<br>cation for key populations    | <ul> <li>Enhance financial inclusion through financial literacy training and availability of diverse financial products</li> <li>Offer linkages to marginalised community members with SMEPS for access to affordable credit products for entrepreneurship.</li> </ul>  |
| Integrated community empowerment  | <ul> <li>Capacity build youth, women and PWDs on entrepreneurship.</li> <li>Ensure implementation of 30% of AGPO to vulnerable community members</li> <li>Facilitate opportunities for youth and women to participate in all processes of national development</li> <li>Establish public recreational parks, children's parks and other recreational spaces</li> <li>Establish rescue and rehabilitation/correction centres</li> </ul>  |
| Promotion of cross-cohort male<br>empowerment                           | <ul> <li>Establish a trust fund for boy child empowerment</li> <li>Support entrepreneurial skills training for the boys and young men</li> <li>Establish SACCOs for young male entrepreneurs</li> <li>Establish male peer to peer psychosocial groups and reflection circle safe spaces for men and boys</li> <li>Establish sub-county elderly persons' recreation centres (Duol)</li> <li>Hold the County Annual Men Conferences (CAMC)</li> </ul>   |

# **Sector Programmes**

# Table 26: Sector Programmes SPCR

| PROGRAMME I   | NAME: SOCIO-CULTL  | IRAL DEVELOPMENT AND EMPOWERMENT SEI  | RVICES                         |              |              |              |              |               |              |               |              |              |              |                              |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| OBJECTIVE: TO                                       | MAINSTREAM VUL   | NERABLE GROUPS AND THEIR SOCIO-CULTUR/  | AL CONCER                      | INS IN TH    |              | DEVELOP      | MENT PRO     | OCESS         |              |               |              |              |              |                              |
| OUTCOME: IM   | PROVED PARTICIPAT  | TION OF DISADVANTAGED GROUPS IN SOCIO-E   | соломіс і                      | DEVELOPI     | <b>NENT</b>  |              |              |               |              |               |              |              |              |                              |
| Sub<br>Programme                                    | Key Output   | Key Performance Indicators  | Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets* | Ye<br>Target | ar 1<br>Cost | Ye<br>Target | ar 2<br>Cost | Ye:<br>Target | ar 3<br>Cost | Ye:<br>Target | ar 4<br>Cost | Ye<br>Target | ar 5<br>Cost | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
| Social<br>Protection and<br>Development<br>Services | Safe spaces for<br>victims of abuse  | No. of Rescue Centres established   | 2&3                            | 0            | 0            | 1            | 20M          | 0             | 0            | 1             | 20M          | 0            | 0            | 40M                          |
|   |  | No of Social Protection Policies formulated   | 2&3                            | 5            | 20M          | 0            | 0            | 0             | 0            | 0             | 0            | 0            | 0            | 20M                          |
|   | Spaces for positive<br>social interaction<br>among community<br>members                      | No. of Integrated Elder Care Centres estab-<br>lished   | 2&3                            | 1            | 10M          | 1            | 20M          | 1             | 20M          | 1             | 20M          | 1            | 10M          | 80M                          |
|   |  | No. of Integrated Community Empowerment<br>Centres constructed  | 2&3                            | 1            | 50M          | 0            | 0            | 1             | 55M          | 0             | 0            | 1            | 60M          | 165M                         |
|   |  | No. of Celebratory Days Observed  | 2&3                            | 12           | 60M          | 12           | 60M          | 12            | 60M          | 12            | 60M          | 12           | 60M          | 300M                         |
| Gender and<br>Women Em-<br>powerment                | Enhanced liveli-<br>hoods for women<br>through integrated<br>women Empower-<br>ment Projects | No. of Baby Care Centres established  | 2&3                            | 1            | 10M          | 1            | 11M          | 1             | 12M          | 1             | 13M          | 1            | 14M          | 60M                          |
|   |  | No, of women accessing financial support  | 5&8                            | 1,000        | 100M         | 1,000        | 100M         | 1,000         | 100M         | 1,000         | 100M         | 1,000        | 100M         | 500M                         |
|   |  | No. of Adolescent girls and boys enrolled on advocacy and mentorship programmes                       | 4&8                            | 10,000       | 20M          | 10,000       | 20M          | 10,000        | 20M          | 10,000        | 20M          | 10,000       | 20M          | 100M                         |
|   |  | No. of vulnerable poor widows support under<br>the BrookBank Project of the Global Fund for<br>Widows | 5&8                            | 200          | 1M           | 200          | 1M           | 200           | 1M           | 200           | 1M           | 200          | 1 <b>M</b>   | 5M                           |
|   |  | % of government positions/tenders allocated to women  | 5&8                            | 30           | 0            | 30           | 0            | 30            | 0            | 30            | 0            | 30           | 0            | 10M                          |



08/08/2023 18:04:15

۲

|   | Implementation of   | to women<br>No. of Gender desks established  | 4&6                | 5            | 1M              | 5      | 1M           | 5             | 1M          | 5             | 1M           | 5            | 1M           | 5M              |
|---|---|--|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
|   | SGBV Policy   | Capacity building of Healthcare workers  | 5&8                | 2            | 10M             | 2      | 10M          | 2             | 10M         | 1             | 5M           | 1            | 5M           | 40M             |
|   |   | volunteers and local administrations   | 500                | 2            | TOW             | 2      | TOW          | 2             | TON         | 1             | 5141         |              | 5111         | -0101           |
|   |   | No. of sensitization and disseminations<br>meetings held   | 4&7                | 8            | 5M              | 8      | 5M           | 8             | 5M          | 8             | 5M           | 8            | 5M           | 25M             |
| Youth Empow-<br>erment                                | Enhanced liveli-<br>hoods for young<br>people through an<br>Integrated Youth<br>Opportunities<br>Projects | % of government positions and tenders going to youth   | 5&8                | 30           | 2M              | 30     | 2M           | 30            | 2M          | 30            | 2M           | 30           | 2М           | 10M             |
|   |   | No. of youth accessing financial support   | 5&8                | 1,000        | 100M            | 1,000  | 100M         | 1,000         | 100M        | 1,000         | 100M         | 1,000        | 100M         | 500M            |
|   |   | Cum. % of identified youth accessing career training and job placement   | 5&8                | 20           | 100M            | 40     | 100M         | 60            | 100M        | 80            | 100M         | 100          | 100M         | 500M            |
| Disability<br>Mainstreaming                           | Enhanced<br>livelihoods for<br>PWDs through<br>an Integrated<br>Disability Support<br>Projects            | % of PWDs mapped and assessed for disability   | 5&8                | 100          | 1M              | 100    | 1M           | 100           | 1M          | 100           | 1M           | 100          | 1M           | 5M              |
|   |   | % of government positions/tenders going to PWDs  | 5&8                | 5            | 1M              | 5      | 1M           | 5             | 1M          | 5             | 1M           | 5            | 21M          | 5M              |
|   |   | No. of PWDs supported with Assistive Devices   | 4&5                | 10000        | 100M            | 10000  | 100M         | 10000         | 100M        | 10000         | 100M         | 10000        | 100M         | 500M            |
|   |   | No. of PWDs supported with Tools of Trade  | 3&4                | 5000         | 50M             | 5000   | 50M          | 5000          | 50M         | 5000          | 50M          | 5000         | 50M          | 250M            |
|   |   | No. PWDs Empowerment trainings   | 4&5                | 10000        | 20M             | 10000  | 20M          | 10000         | 20M         | 10000         | 20M          | 10000        | 20M          | 100M            |
|   |   | No. of PWDs accessing financial support  | 5&8                | 500          | 10M             | 500    | 11M          | 500           | 12M         | 500           | 13M          | 500          | 14M          | 60M             |
| PROGRAMME I   | NAME: CULTURE ANI   | D CREATIVE SECTOR DEVELOPMENT SERVICES   |                    |              |                 |        |              |               |             |               |              |              |              |                 |
| OBJECTIVE: TO   | PROFESSIONALISE   | AND ENHANCE DEVELOPMENT OF LOCAL CULI  | TURE AND           | CREATIVE     | ECONOM          | Y      |              |               |             |               |              |              |              |                 |
|   |   | ROM CULTURE AND THE CREATIVE ECONOMY   |                    |              |                 |        |              |               |             |               |              |              |              |                 |
| Sub<br>Programme                                      |   |  | Linkages<br>to SDG | Ye<br>Target | ar 1<br>Cost in | Target | ar 2<br>Cost | Yea<br>Target | r 3<br>Cost | Yea<br>Target | ar 4<br>Cost | Ye<br>Target | ar 5<br>Cost | Total<br>Budget |
|   |   |  |                    |              | Kshs            |        |              |               |             |               |              |              |              | (KSh. M)*       |
| Cultural<br>Infrastructure<br>Development<br>services | Improved sites<br>and accommoda-<br>tion for cultural<br>heritage and arts<br>activities                  | No. of Cultural Centres established  | 3&10               | 1            | 20M             | 0      | 0            | 1             | 20M         | 0             | 0            | 0            | 0            | 40M             |
|   |   | No. of libraries established   | 3&10               | 0            | 0               | 1      | 50M          | 0             | 0           | 1             | 50M          | 0            | 0            | 100M            |
|   |   | No. of cultural and natural heritage sites<br>preserved  | 3&10               | 0            | 0               | 2      | 10M          | 0             | 0           | 2             | 10M          | 2            | 10M          | 30M             |
| Creative<br>Cultural                                  | Showcase, recognize and   | No, of County music and cultural festivals   | 3&10               | -            | -               | 1      | 20M          | 1             | 20M         | 1             | 20M          | 1            | 20M          | 80M             |
| Heritage<br>Promotion<br>Services                     | appreciate the<br>rich cultural<br>diversity  | No. of representations of the county at<br>Intercounties, National and International<br>events e.g UNESCO, PINY LUO, KICOSA        | 3&10               | -            | -               | 3      | 21M          | 3             | 21M         | 3             | 21M          | 3            | 21M          | 84M             |
|   |   | No, of identified & preserved tangible and<br>intangible cultural heritage products eg Gor<br>Mahia shrine and Traditional troupes | 3&10               | 2            | 20M             | 2      | 20M          | 2             | 20M         | 2             | 20M          | 2            | 20M          | 100M            |
|   |   | No, of established Museums and Heritage promotion centres  | 3&10               | -            | -               | 2      | 50M          | 2             | 50M         | 2             | 50M          | 2            | 50M          | 200M            |
|   |   | No. of unique county brands eg County anthem, songs and atire  | 3&10               | -            | -               | 1      | 8M           | 1             | 8M          | 1             | 8M           | 1            | 8M           | 32M             |
|   |   |  |                    |              |                 |        |              |               |             |               |              |              |              |                 |



۲

No, of Cultural communities partnered with

to preserve cultural events e.g Suba and Luo communities 3&10

-



۲

1M

1

1

1M


1

1M 1

1M

4M

|   |  |   |                                |              |                         |       |              |               | C           |               |              |              |              |                              |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| Programme Na  | ame: DEVELOPMENT   | AND MANAGEMENT OF SPORTS AND SPORTS   | FACILITIES                     | 5            |                         |       |              |               |             |               |              |              |              |                              |
| Objective: To i                                     | dentify, develop and   | market local talents for improved earnings f  | rom sports                     |              |                         |       |              |               |             |               |              |              |              |                              |
| -   | roved returns from   |   |                                |              |                         |       |              |               |             |               |              |              |              |                              |
| Sub<br>Programme                                    |  |   | Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets* | Ye<br>Target | ar 1<br>Cost in<br>Kshs |       | ar 2<br>Cost | Yea<br>Target | r 3<br>Cost | Yea<br>Target | ar 4<br>Cost | Ye<br>Target | ar 5<br>Cost | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
| Sports<br>Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services | Improved facilities<br>for sports devel-<br>opment                     | No. of Stadia Upgraded  | 3                              | 1            | 100M                    | 1     | 120M         | 1             | 80M         | 1             | 60M          | 1            | 50M          | 410M                         |
|   |  | % of planned works completed at Nyaburi<br>Special Sports Centre  | 3                              | 50%          | 35M                     | 50%   | 25M          | 0             | 15M         | 0             | 5M           | 0            | 0            | 80M                          |
|   |  | % of planned works completed at Nyandiwa<br>Aqua Sports Centre  | 3                              | 0            | 0                       | 0     | 0            | 50%           | 15M         | 50%           | 15M          | 0            | 20M          | 50M                          |
|   |  | No. of play grounds improved  | 3                              | 8            | 20M                     | 8     | 20M          | 8             | 20M         | 8             | 20M          | 8            | 20M          | 100M                         |
|   |  | No. of Sub county regional Sportsground<br>completed  | 3                              | 1            | 200M                    |       |              | 1             | 200M        |               |              | 1            | 200M         | 600M                         |
|   |  | 3No of Temporary Terraces completed   | 3                              | 1            | 55M                     |       |              | 1             | 55M         |               |              | 1            | 55M          | 165M                         |
|   |  | 4No. construction of minor playfields   | 3                              |              |                         | 4     | 80M          |               |             |               |              |              |              | 80M                          |
|   |  | 3 No. regional Sports Academies   | 3                              | 1            | 365M                    |       |              | 1             | 365M        |               |              | 1            | 365M         | 1,095M                       |
|   |  | No. of Ward level playgrounds upgraded  | 3                              |              |                         | 10    | 18M          | 10            | 18M         | 10            | 18M          | 10           | 18M          | 72M                          |
|   |  | No. of PVC chairs fixed on VIP terraces   | 3                              |              |                         | 3,000 | 28M          |               |             |               |              |              |              | 28M                          |
| identification<br>and nurturing<br>of talents       | Improved services<br>for identification<br>and nurturing of<br>talents | No of teams supported during county leagues<br>and tournaments scouting   | 3                              | 8            | 64M                     | 10    | 80M          | 12            | 96M         | 14            | 112M         | 16           | 128M         | 480M                         |
|   |  | No. of sports uniforms and equipment procured and distributed   | 3                              | 120          | 48M                     | 130   | 52M          | 140           | 56M         | 160           | 64M          | 180          | 72M          | 292M                         |
|   |  | No. of County sports tournaments organized<br>e.g. Governor's Cup   | 3                              | 1            | 30M                     | 1     | 35M          | 1             | 40M         | 1             | 48M          | 1            | 50M          | 230M                         |
|   |  | No. of Representations of the County at<br>Intercounties, National and international<br>sports events e.g. KICOSCA, KYISA etc | 3                              | 2            | 14M                     | 2     | 14M          | 2             | 14M         | 2             | 15M          | 2            | 15M          | 72M                          |
|   |  | No. of County Sports Hall of Fame established   | 3                              |              |                         | 1     | 4M           |               |             |               |              |              |              | 4M                           |
|   |  | No. of County Sports Lottery established  | 3                              |              |                         | 40    | 32M          | 40            | 32M         | 40            | 32M          | 40           | 32M          | 128M                         |
|   |  | No. of technical sports personnel trained<br>e.g Referees, umpires, coaches e.t.c   | 3                              | 100          | 4.8M                    | 100   | 4.8M         | 100           | 4.8M        | 100           | 4.8M         | 100          | 4.8M         | 24M                          |





HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



۲



#### 4.6 Sector Name: HEALTH

The sector comprises preventive and promotive services, curative and rehabilitative services and general administration policy and planning and research and development. The sector is mandated to build a progressive, responsive and sustainable technology-driven, evidence-based and clientcentred health system for accelerated attainment of the highest standards of health to the people of Homa Bay County.

**Sector Vision:** A county free from preventable diseases and controllable ill health

**Sector Mission:** To provide effective leadership and participate in sustainable, technologically driven, evidence based and client centred health care system to the county population

## **Sector Goal**

۲

The goal of the health sector is to provide equitable, affordable and quality healthcare of the highest standard to all citizens.

The Health Sector will focus on strengthening and scaling up cost effective, preventive and promotive health care system, with special attention to control of communicable diseases, reproductive child health and emergency services, environmental and rural health services.

#### Sector Strategic objectives

- To eliminate communicable conditions.
- To halt and reverse the high burden of non-communicable conditions.
- To reduce injuries.
- To provide Essential Medical Services.
- To minimize exposure to health risk factors.
- To strengthen collaboration with health-related sectors.

### **Health Sector Priorities and Strategies**

The sector comprises four key programmes: preventive and promotive services, curative and rehabilitative services and general administration, policy and planning and finally research and development.

## Table 27: Health Sector Priorities and Strategies

| PRIORITIES                              | STRATEGIES   |
|---|--|
| Eliminating<br>communicable<br>diseases | <ul> <li>Increase community awareness and participation in preventive activities</li> <li>improve screening and linkage efforts for communicable diseases</li> <li>strengthen private sector participation</li> <li>promote sanitation awareness, education &amp; behaviour change in the county</li> <li>improve capacity for disease outbreak investigation and emergency response</li> <li>capacity building of health care workers on communicable disease management</li> </ul> |

| Reducing<br>injuries  | <ul> <li>Health promotion on violence &amp; injury prevention</li> <li>Increase community awareness and participation especially the boda boda group</li> <li>Establishment of accidents and emergency unit</li> <li>Ensure availability of emergency commodities</li> <li>Capacity building of HCWs</li> </ul>   |
|---|---|
| Improving<br>maternal,<br>neonatal, child<br>health and<br>adolescent<br>health | <ul> <li>Ensure access to comprehensive maternal, neonatal, and reproductive health services including FP</li> <li>Accelerate initiatives targeting nutritional interventions</li> <li>Ensure operationalisation of blood bank</li> <li>Capacity building of CHVs &amp; parents on nature &amp; care at all levels</li> <li>Strengthen immunisation services</li> <li>Strengthen collaboration with community opinion leaders to promote utilisation of MNCH services</li> <li>Strengthen community health strategy</li> <li>Promotion of school health programmes</li> <li>Establish mother child Hospital</li> <li>Provision of youth friendly services</li> <li>Strengthen maternal and perinatal death surveillance and response</li> <li>Mainstreaming Kenya Quality model for health in all facilities</li> </ul> |
| Investing<br>on Human<br>resource   | <ul> <li>Implement HR policy including training</li> <li>Review &amp; harmonise scheme of service for all cadres</li> <li>Regularly monitor and institute corrective measures for improving health workers' productivity</li> <li>Implementation of Homabay Community Health Act</li> <li>Strategic recruitment of HCWs</li> </ul>  |
| Promoting<br>Environmental<br>health, water<br>& sanitation                     | <ul> <li>Strengthen community led total sanitation</li> <li>Improve waste management at facility level</li> <li>Capacity build HCWs on waste segregation</li> <li>Promote PPP on waste management</li> </ul>  |
| Strengthening<br>level 4<br>&primary<br>healthcare<br>services                  | <ul> <li>improve level 4 &amp; primary healthcare to KHP norm</li> <li>enhance referral and linkage services</li> <li>strengthen collaboration with faith based and<br/>private facilities for synergy in service delivery</li> <li>promote e-health services</li> <li>Strengthen stakeholder partnership for resource<br/>mobilisation</li> <li>strengthen supply chain management and logistics<br/>for health products</li> <li>promote digitisation of health services</li> </ul>   |
| Expanding<br>access to<br>specialized<br>healthcare                             | <ul> <li>Modernisation of Homa Bay Referral Hospital to<br/>level 5 through:</li> <li>Establishing eye centre, cancer centre, accident &amp;<br/>emergency unit</li> <li>Upgrading of infrastructure &amp; hospital equipment</li> <li>Improve bed capacity</li> <li>Improve laboratory services</li> </ul>   |
| Reducing the<br>burden of non-<br>communicable<br>diseases<br>(NCDs)            | <ul> <li>Increase community awareness creation on NCDs</li> <li>improve screening and linkage efforts for non-<br/>communicable diseases</li> <li>capacity building of health care workers on NCD<br/>communicable disease management</li> </ul>  |
| Accelerating<br>the transition<br>to self<br>sufficiency                        | <ul> <li>Increase overall allocation to the health sector</li> <li>Universalize coverage under medical insurance</li> <li>Accredit all health facilities to NHIF status</li> <li>Improve service delivery in all facilities so that they meet established KEPHI standards</li> <li>Target chargeable and more specialized services where we may have comparative advantage in the region</li> <li>Emphasize cost-sharing</li> </ul>   |





Page 82

۲



# **Sector Programmes**

Table 28: Sector Programmes HEALTH

| Sub-<br>programme                  | Key output   | Performance indicator  | Linkages<br>to SDG | Base-line      |               |           | Indicative    |             |               |             |              |             |              |             |       |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
|                                    |  |  | targets            |                | Yea<br>Target |           |               | ar2<br>cost |               | ar3<br>cost | Ye<br>target | ar4<br>cost | Ye<br>Target | ar5<br>cost | Total |
| PROGRAMME                          |  | VENTIVE SERVICES   |                    |                | laiyei        | CUSI      | laiyei        | CUSI        | laiyei        | CUSL        | laiyei       | CUSL        | laiyet       | CUSI        |       |
|                                    |  | INTERVENTIONS AT ALL LEVELS  |                    | MATERNAL       | ΝΕΟΝΑΤΑΙ      |           |               |             |               | ,           |              |             |              |             |       |
|                                    |  |  | TO NEDUCE          | MAIENNAL       | NEUNATAL      | . AND GHI |               |             | MUNTALIT      | <u> </u>    |              |             |              |             |       |
|                                    |  | EONATAL AND CHILD HEALTH   | 0.7                | 00             | 07            | 0014      | 00            | 0014        | 44            | 10011       | 40           | 10014       | 67           | 10011       | FOOM  |
| Maternal<br>& neonatal<br>health   | Maternity services<br>are provided                                       | #Facilities with maternity wards   | 3./                | 23             | 27            | 82M       | 33            | 82M         | 41            | 122M        | 49           | 122M        | 57           | 122M        | 530M  |
|                                    |  | #Facilities offering long term FP  |                    | 136            | 140           |           | 150           |             | 160           |             | 170          |             | 180          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #Facilities offering CS  |                    | 6              | 8             |           | 9             |             | 10            |             | 11           |             | 13           |             |       |
|                                    |  | #Timely perinatal audits   |                    | 0              | 50            |           | 100           |             | 150           |             | 200          |             | 240          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #Facilities with mother-baby<br>pack                                     |                    | 0              | 50            |           | 60            |             | 70            |             | 80           |             | 100          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #Facilities with MCH diaries   |                    | 0              | 150           |           | 215           |             | 220           |             | 240          |             | 240          |             |       |
|                                    |  | # facilities implementing KQMH   |                    | 3              | 30            |           | 60            |             | 90            |             | 120          |             | 150          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #Facilities providing ANC profile  |                    | 42             | 50            |           | 60            |             | 70            |             | 80           |             | 100          |             |       |
|                                    |  | # outreaches conducted   |                    | 900            | 1200          |           | 1200          |             | 1200          |             | 1200         |             | 1200         |             |       |
|                                    |  | # blood campaigns conducted  |                    | 12             | 12            |           | 12            |             | 12            |             | 12           |             | 12           |             |       |
| Child Health                       | Child health services are available                                      | #Facilities offering nurturing<br>care                                   | 3.2                | 17             | 25            | 40M       | 30            | 40M         | 45            | 40M         | 50           | 40M         | 55           | 40M         | 200M  |
|                                    |  | #CHVs trained on nurturing<br>care                                       |                    | 68             | 100           |           | 120           |             | 180           |             | 200          |             | 220          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #Facilities with baby-friendly<br>unit                                   |                    | 0              | 1             |           | 2             |             | 3             |             | 4            |             | 5            |             |       |
|                                    |  | #Facilities with PMTC  |                    | 219            | 225           |           | 230           |             | 235           |             | 238          |             | 240          |             |       |
|                                    | Establish ICCM   | #Facilities with ICCM  |                    | 100            | 130           |           | 160           |             | 190           |             | 220          |             | 240          |             |       |
| AYSRH                              | Adolescent & youth<br>responsive services<br>provided                    | #Schools with RH program   | 3.7                | 146            | 200           | 15M       | 225           | 15M         | 250           | 15M         | 275          | 15M         | 300          | 15M         | 75M   |
|                                    |  | #Facilities with youth friendly<br>units                                 |                    | 56             | 60            |           | 65            |             | 70            |             | 75           |             | 80           |             |       |
|                                    |  | # HCW trained on AYSRH   |                    | 40             | 40            |           | 80            |             | 120           |             | 160          |             | 200          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #Community units sensitisation<br>on drug abuse                          |                    | 2              | 40            |           | 80            |             | 120           |             | 160          |             | 200          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #CHVs trained on AYSRH   |                    | 900            | 900           |           | 1200          |             | 1400          |             | 1600         |             | 1800         |             |       |
| Immunization                       | Child resilience built   | # Facilities offering immuni-<br>sation                                  | 3.8                | 150            | 160           | 15M       | 180           | 15M         | 200           | 15M         | 205          | 15M         | 215          | 15M         | 75M   |
|                                    |  | #functional fridges<br>% Facilities reporting zero-                      |                    | 150<br>60      | 160<br>70     |           | 180<br>80     |             | 200           |             | 105          |             | 215<br>100   |             |       |
|                                    |  | stock-out of vaccines  |                    | 00             | 10            |           | 00            |             | 100           |             | 100          |             | 100          |             |       |
| Nutrition                          | Workplace breast-<br>feeding promoted                                    | #Workplace with breastfeeding sites                                      | 2.2, 2.1           | 0              | 5             | 10.5M     | 10            | 10.5M       | 15            | 10.5M       | 20           | 10.5        | 25           | 10.5M       | 52.5M |
|                                    | Healthy food con-<br>sumption promoted<br>among adults                   | # Schools with health clubs  |                    | 0              | 40            |           | 80            |             | 120           |             | 160          |             | 200          |             |       |
|                                    |  | # nutritional counselling  |                    | 235,314        | 250000        |           | 275000        |             | 300000        |             | 325000       |             | 350000       |             |       |
|                                    | Food supplements   | # Facilities providing supple-   |                    | 144            | 150           |           | 160           |             | 170           |             | 180          |             | 190          |             |       |
|                                    | provided   | ments  |                    | 10.054         | 00000         |           | 0.4000        |             | 00000         |             | 00000        |             | 20000        |             |       |
| HIV/AIDS                           | HIV/AIDS service<br>available  | # Beneficiaries<br># New HIV/AIDS positive                               | 3.3                | 18,854<br>1598 | 22000<br>1400 | 260M      | 24000<br>1200 | 260M        | 26000<br>1000 | 320M        | 28000<br>800 | 360M        | 30000<br>600 | 433M        | 1,633 |
|                                    |  | % On ART   |                    | 95             | 96            |           | 97            |             | 98            |             | 99           |             | 100          |             |       |
|                                    |  | # Facilities offering ART  |                    | 160            | 165           |           | 170           |             | 175           |             | 180          |             | 185          |             |       |
|                                    |  | # facilities offering PMTCT  |                    | 219            | 215           |           | 220           |             | 225           |             | 230          |             | 235          |             |       |
|                                    |  | # facilities laboratory net-<br>working                                  |                    | 212            | 215           |           | 220           |             | 225           |             | 230          |             | 235          |             |       |
|                                    |  | % viral suppression  |                    | 98             | 99            |           | 100           |             | 100           |             | 100          |             | 100          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #HCW trained on HIV/AIDS<br>guideline                                    |                    | 30             | 150           |           | 150           |             | 150           |             | 150          |             | 150          |             |       |
|                                    |  | # Partner HCW transitioned<br>on CGHB                                    |                    | 0              | 88            |           | 36            |             | 18            |             | 18           |             | 16           |             |       |
| TB & Leprosy                       | TB/Leprosy patients<br>identified & on<br>treatment HIV&TB<br>integrated | # TB identified at facility  | 3.3                | 2685           | 3303          | 30M       | 3921          | 30M         | 4539          | 30M         | 5157         | 30M         | 5775         | 30M         | 150M  |
|                                    |  | #TB patients on treatment<br># facilities offering treatment<br>services |                    | 1485<br>196    | 1827<br>201   |           | 2169<br>206   |             | 2511<br>211   |             | 2853<br>216  |             | 3195<br>221  |             |       |
|                                    |  | # Facilities equipped with TB<br>screening/ Diagnosis machines           |                    | 72             | 77            |           | 82            |             | 87            |             | 92           |             | 100          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #HCWs trained on TB/HIV<br>management                                    |                    | 50             | 50            |           | 100           |             | 150           |             | 200          |             | 250          |             |       |
|                                    |  | # facilities conducting defaulter tracing                                |                    | 196            | 201           |           | 206           |             | 211           |             | 216          |             | 221          |             |       |
|                                    |  | #CHVs sensitized on TB DOTs  |                    | 80             | 200           |           | 400           |             | 600           |             | 800          |             | 1200         |             |       |
| Malaria &<br>neglected<br>diseases | Malaria control<br>&management   | #HHs sprayed yearly  | 3.3                | 238330         | 201102        | 400M      |               | 410M        |               | 25M         |              | 25M         |              | 25M         | 885M  |

۲

Page 83

۲



08/08/2023 18:04:20

| Ō |  |
|---|--|

|  |   | 1  |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
|--|---|--|-------------|------------|------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|--------|------------|
|  |   | #Facilities with commodities                                       |             | 215        | 221        |          | 221    |        | 230    |       | 240   |      | 240    |        |            |
|  |   | #Community units sensitisation                                     |             | 80         |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
|  |   | management   |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
|  |   | #HCWs trained on case  |             | 25         | 50         |          | 100    |        | 150    |       | 200   |      | 250    |        |            |
|  |   | management   |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
|  | Control & prevention<br>of neglected<br>diseases        | # Under 5 Children dewormed  |             | 134810     | 135234     |          | 140234 |        | 145234 |       | 15024 |      | 155234 |        |            |
|  | นเจยสจยจ  | # corooning dono on bilbarzia                                      |             | 1112       | 1312       |          | 1512   |        | 1712   |       | 1912  |      | 2112   |        |            |
| NOD -  | 01-11-0-1-1111  | # screening done on bilharzia                                      | 0.4         |            |            | 0014     |        | 0014   |        | 0.014 |       | 0014 |        | 0014   | 10014      |
| NCDs   | Staffs & facilities<br>capacity on NCDs<br>improved     | #CHV trained on NCDs   | 3.4         | 550        | 1000       | 20M      | 1500   | 20M    | 2000   | 20M   | 2500  | 20M  | 2900   | 20M    | 100M       |
|  |   | #HCW trained on NCDs   |             | 0          | 40         |          | 160    |        | 200    |       | 250   |      | 300    |        |            |
|  |   | #Screened for NCDs (DM,  |             | 30023      | 350000     |          | 40000  |        | 45000  |       | 50000 |      | 55000  |        |            |
|  |   | HT,CA)   |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
|  |   | #Facilities equipped for<br>screening                              |             | 77         | 100        |          | 140    |        | 160    |       | 200   |      | 240    |        |            |
|  |   | Community units sensitized   |             | 55         | 100        |          | 150    |        | 200    |       | 250   |      | 284    |        |            |
| Community<br>health strategy                   | Trained & equipped<br>CHVs                              | #CHVs equipped with kits   | 3.7         | 0          | 600        | 223M     | 1200   | 223M   | 1800   | 223M  | 2400  | 223M | 2900   | 223M   | 1115M      |
|  |   | #CHVs re-trained   |             | 0          | 600        |          | 1200   |        | 1800   |       | 2400  |      | 2900   |        |            |
|  |   | # Dialogue day conducted   |             | 180        | 1136       |          | 1136   |        | 1136   |       | 1136  |      | 1136   |        |            |
|  |   | # action days conducted  |             | 180        | 1136       |          | 1136   |        | 1136   |       | 1136  |      | 1136   |        |            |
|  |   | # CHV paid stipends  |             | 2974       | 2974       |          | 2974   |        | 2974   |       | 2974  |      | 2774   |        |            |
|  |   |  |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
| Disease<br>surveillance                        | Disease outbreak<br>managed                             | #Laboratories equipped   | 3.1         | 0          | 2          | 15M      | 4      | 15M    | 6      | 15M   | 8     | 15M  | 10     | 15M    | 75M        |
|  |   | #Staffs trained on surveillance                                    |             | 13         | 40         |          | 80     |        | 120    |       | 160   |      | 200    |        |            |
|  |   | Case detection /100000   |             | 13         | 11         |          | 11     |        | 11     |       | 11    |      | 11     |        |            |
| Environmental<br>health, water<br>& sanitation | Environmental san-<br>itation coordination<br>committee | #Stakeholder meetings  | 6.2, 6.1    | 1          | 4          | 5M       | 4      | 5M     | 4      | 5M    | 4     | 5M   | 4      | 5M     | 25M        |
|  |   | #Advocacy for political com-<br>mitment                            |             | 0          | 2          |          | 2      |        | 2      |       | 2     |      | 2      |        |            |
|  | CLTS promoted   | #Villages triggered  |             | 1706       | 2100       |          | 2400   |        | 2600   |       | 3000  |      | 3500   |        |            |
|  |   | #Artisans trained  |             | 30         | 30         |          | 60     |        | 90     |       | 120   |      | 150    |        |            |
|  |   | #Sanitation & clean up days  |             | 12         | 12         |          | 12     |        | 12     |       | 12    |      | 12     |        |            |
|  | Hospital waste  | #HCWs trained on waste   |             | 30         | 30         |          | 60     |        | 90     |       | 130   |      | 150    |        |            |
|  | managed   | segregation  |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
| School health                                  | Supervision to<br>institutions                          | #Schools with health clubs   | 6.2         | 146        | 200        | 15M      | 225    | 15M    | 250    | 15M   | 275   | 15M  | 300    | 15M    | 75M        |
|  |   | #schools trained   |             | 146        | 200        |          | 225    |        | 250    |       | 275   |      | 300    |        |            |
|  |   | #Support supervisions  |             | 12         | 12         |          | 12     |        | 12     |       | 12    |      | 12     |        |            |
| Infection<br>Prevention<br>Control             | Awareness on IPC  | # HCW Sensitised on IPC  | 3.9         | 150        | 200        | 10M      | 250    | 10M    | 300    | 10M   | 350   | 10M  | 400    | 10M    | 50M        |
|  |   | #Facilities with IPC guideline                                     |             | 150        | 221        |          | 225    |        | 230    |       | 235   |      | 240    |        |            |
|  |   | #Facilities with IPC supplies                                      |             | 150        | 221        |          | 225    |        | 230    |       | 235   |      | 240    |        |            |
| Quality &                                      | Quality & health  | #Facilities meeting norms and                                      | 3.9         | 0          | 1          | 10M      | 2      | 10M    | 3      | 10M   | 4     | 10M  | 5      | 10M    | 50M        |
| standards                                      | standard maintained                                     | standards<br># Property inspection done                            |             | 117        | 221        |          | 225    |        | 230    |       | 235   |      | 240    |        |            |
|  |   | #Water analysis done   |             | 0          | 10         |          | 20     |        | 50     |       | 70    |      | 90     |        |            |
| Health   | HPT available   | % of HFs with HPTs   | 3.9a        | 60         | 100        | 300M     | 100    | 500M   | 100    | 700M  | 100   | 800M | 100    | 900M   | 3200M      |
| Products &<br>Technologies                     |   |  | J.5d        | 00         | 100        | 500101   | 100    | JU01WI | 100    | 70011 | 100   | OUUN | 100    | 300101 | 5200W      |
| GBV &injuries                                  | GBV &injuries<br>services provided                      | #Facilities with GBV units   | 5.2         | 1          | 2          | 10M      | 4      | 10M    | 6      | 10M   | 7     | 10M  | 8      | 10M    | 50M        |
|  |   | #Health care worker trained<br>on GBV                              |             | 9          | 40         |          | 80     |        | 120    |       | 160   |      | 200    |        |            |
|  |   | #Community units sensitisation                                     |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
|  |   | on GBV & injuries  |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
|  |   | 0  | 50          |            | 100        |          | 150    |        | 200    |       | 284   |      |        |        |            |
| Climate<br>change &<br>nealth                  | Rain water harvested                                    | # Facilities with rain water<br>catchment                          | 6.2         | 50         | 50         | 16M      | 100    | 16M    | 150    | 16M   | 200   | 16M  | 240    | 16M    | 80M        |
| Journ  | Green energy<br>promoted                                | #Facilities with green technolo-<br>gy (Solar system)              |             | 3          | 6          |          | 10     |        | 14     |       | 18    |      | 23     |        |            |
|  | promoted  | gy (Solar system)  |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
| PROGRAMME                                      | CURATIVE AND REHAP                                      | SILITATIVE HEALTH SERVICES   |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
|  |   |  |             | 0011000    |            | TU 050   | 050    |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
| <b>JBJECTIVE: TO</b>                           | PROVIDE A FUNCTION                                      | IAL & SUSTAINABLE INFRASTRU  | CTURE FOR   | COMPREHE   | NSIVE HEAL | TH SERVI | GES    |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
| OUTCOME: MEI                                   | DICAL SERVICES ESTA                                     | BLISHED THAT WILL ENSURE EQ  | UITABLE, AC | CESS TO HI | GH QUALITY | SERVICE  | S      |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
| Health infra-<br>structure                     | Hospital services                                       | # of well-equipped laboratories                                    | 3.8         | 1          | 1          | 20M      | 1      | 20M    |        |       |       |      |        |        | 40M        |
|  |   | # of specialized units operation-<br>alised (ICU, eye unit, renal) |             | 2          | 2          | 40M      | 2      | 40M    | 2      | 40M   |       |      |        |        | 160M       |
|  |   | # of theatres constructed in<br>level 4 facilities                 |             | 2          | 3          | 90M      | 3      | 90M    | 2      | 60M   | 2     | 60M  |        |        | 300M       |
|  |   | # of facilities offering mental services                           |             | 2          | 3          | 0.5M     | 3      | 0.5    | 3      | 0.5   | 3     | 0.5M |        |        | 2.5M       |
|  |   | # of mental wards established                                      |             | 0          | 3          | 21M      | 3      | 21M    | 3      | 21M   | 3     | 21M  | _      |        | 84M        |
|  |   | # of modern radiology equip-<br>ment procured                      |             | 0          | 1          | 45M      | 1      | 10M    | 1      | 10M   | 1     | 10M  | 1      | 10M    | 84M<br>85M |
|  |   | % completion of  |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |
|  |   |  |             |            |            |          |        |        |        |       |       |      |        |        |            |



۲



| County                                   |                                    | 0  | 30   | 7M       | 50         | 7M           | 75                                    | 7.5M        | 100        | 7.5M        |            |             | 29M        |             |              |
|--|------------------------------------|--|------|----------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| commodity<br>storage centre<br>in HBCTRH |                                    |  |      |          |            |              |                                       |             |            |             |            |             |            |             |              |
|  |                                    | % completion of modern<br>emergency casualty /outpatient<br>block in HBCTRH  |      | 0        | 50         | 150M         | 100                                   | 150M        |            |             |            |             |            |             | 300M         |
|  |                                    | % of completion of modern funeral pallor in HBCTRH   | 3.8  | 0        | 50         | 40M          | 100                                   | 40M         |            |             |            |             |            |             | 80M          |
|  |                                    | # of mortuaries constructed  |      | 2        | 1          | 50M          | 1                                     | 50M         | 1          | 50M         |            |             |            |             | 150M         |
|  |                                    | % of construction of new<br>administration block   |      | 60       | 70         | 30M          | 100                                   | 30M         |            |             |            |             |            |             | 60M          |
|  |                                    | % Of completion of modern kitchen in HBCTRH  |      | 0        | 30         | 5M           | 75                                    | 5M          | 100        | 5M          |            |             |            |             | 15M          |
|  |                                    | Fully operationalized blood bank<br># Of orthopaedic workshops   |      | 0        | 70         | 10M<br>20M   | 100                                   | 10M<br>20M  |            |             |            |             |            |             | 20M<br>40M   |
|  |                                    | established  |      |          | · ·        | 2011         | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2011        |            |             |            |             |            |             |              |
|  |                                    | % completion of mother child<br>block in HBCTRH  |      |          |            |              |                                       |             |            |             |            |             |            |             |              |
| 0  |                                    |  |      |          | 50         | 30M          | 100                                   | 30M         |            |             | 60M        |             |            |             |              |
|  |                                    | % completion of modern<br>orthopaedic centre to cater for<br>assistive devices for PWDs {PI,<br>VI,HI and low vision in HBCTRH |      | 0        |            |              |                                       |             |            |             | 50         | 25M         | 100        | 25M         | 50M          |
|  | Primary health services            | % completion of incinerators   |      | 0        |            |              | 2                                     | 2M          | 2          | 2M          | 2          | 2M          | 2          | 2M          | 8M           |
|  | SEIVICES                           | # of immunisation fridges  |      | 40       | 30         | 12M          | 20                                    | 8M          | 20         | 8M          | 20         | 8M          | 20         | 8M          | 44M          |
|  |                                    | procured<br># of Facilities connected to<br>electricity  |      | 15       | 40         | 80M          | 40                                    | 80M         | 20         | 40M         | 10         | 20M         | 10         | 20M         | 240M         |
|  |                                    | # of health centres and<br>dispensaries equipped as per<br>the standards   |      | 0        | 30         | 30M          | 30                                    | 30M         | 30         | 30M         | 30         | 30M         | 30         | 30M         | 150M         |
|  |                                    | # of stalled dispensaries<br>completed   |      | 5        | 4          | 10M          | 4                                     | 10M         | 4          | 10M         | 4          | 10M         | 4          | 10M         | 50M          |
|  |                                    | # of new dispensaries con-<br>structed   |      | 23       | 10         | 70M          | 10                                    | 70M         | 7          | 49M         | 7          | 49M         | 3          | 21M         | 259M         |
|  |                                    | # of general wards constructed   |      | 1        | 3          | 12M          | 3                                     | 12M         | 2          | 8M          | 1          | 4M          | 1          | 4M          | 40M          |
|  |                                    | # of maternity wards construct-<br>ed /completed   |      | 0        | 5          | 50M          | 5                                     | 50M         | 8          | 80M         | 8          | 80M         | 8          | 80M         | 340M         |
|  |                                    | # of dispensaries completed<br>and equipped  |      | 0        | 7          | 70M          | 5                                     | 50M         | -          | -           | -          | -           | -          | -           | 120M         |
|  |                                    | # of dispensaries upgraded to health centres   |      | 0        | 15         | 300M         | 10                                    | 200M        | 10         | 200M        | 9          | 180M        | -          | -           | 880M         |
|  |                                    | # of new dispensaries con-<br>structed   |      | 0        | 11         | 110M         | 9                                     | 90M         | 8          | 80          | 7          | 70M         | 5          | 50M         | 420M         |
|  |                                    | #of health centres upgraded to level 4   |      | 0        | 14         | 420M         | 10                                    | 300M        | 10         | 300M        | -          | -           | -          | -           | 1.02B        |
|  |                                    | # of level 4 hospitals upgraded<br>#of staff houses contracted in  |      | 0        | 1<br>5     | 100M<br>25M  | 1<br>5                                | 100M<br>25M | 1<br>5     | 100M<br>25M | 1<br>5     | 100M<br>25M | 1<br>5     | 100M<br>25M | 500M<br>125M |
|  |                                    | dispensaries and health centres<br># of laboratories constructed   |      | 0        | 3          | 18M          | 3                                     | 18M         | 3          | 18M         | 3          | 18M         | 4          | 24M         | 96M          |
|  |                                    | and equipped<br># of ambulances procured   |      | 0        | 2          | 20M          | 2                                     | 20M         | 2          | 20M         | 2          | 20M         | 2          | 24W         | 100M         |
|  |                                    | #of boat ambulances procured   |      | 0        | 1          | 25M          | 1                                     | 25M         | 2          | 20101       | 2          | 20101       | 2          | 20111       | 50M          |
|  |                                    | # procure utility vehicles<br>Drug distribution truck  |      | 0        | 3          | 19.5M<br>12M | 3                                     | 19.5M       | 3          | 19.5M       | 3          | 19.5M       | 1          | 6.5M        | 84.5M        |
|  |                                    | Assorted medical equipment   |      | 2        | 1          | 40M          | 1                                     | 40M         | 1          | 40M         | 1          | 40M         | 1          | 40M         | 20M          |
|  |                                    | Procurement of motorbike   |      | 0        | 10         | 5M           | 10                                    | 5M          | 10         | 5M          | 10         | 5M          | 10         | 5M          | 25M          |
|  |                                    | # of facilities connected to<br>water supply   |      | 0        | 10         | 2.5M         | 10                                    | 2.5M        | 10         | 2.5M        | 10         | 2.5M        | 10         | 2.5M        | 12.5M        |
|  | climate change                     | # of facilities fenced, and gated<br># of trees planted around the   |      | 5<br>0   | 2<br>11050 | 1M<br>3.3M   | 2<br>11350                            | 1M<br>3.3M  | 2<br>11050 | 1M<br>3.3M  | 2<br>11050 | 1M<br>3.3M  | 2<br>11050 | 1M<br>3.3M  | 5M<br>16.5M  |
|  | mitigated                          | facilities   |      |          |            |              |                                       |             |            |             |            |             |            |             |              |
|  |                                    | # of facilities fitted with solar<br>power   |      | 3        | 10         | 15M          | 10                                    | 15M         | 10         | 15M         | 10         | 15M         | 10         | 15M         | 75M          |
|  |                                    | #of Level 4 facilities not use of<br>wood for cooking in facilities  |      | 0        | 2          | 4M           | 4                                     | 4M          | 6          | 4M          | 8          | 4M          | 10         | 4M          | 20M          |
|  |                                    | ATION, PLANNING , MANAGEMEN<br>ENT OF THE EXISTING HEALTH W  |      |          |            |              | MOTIVATI                              | ON          |            |             |            |             |            |             |              |
|  |                                    | SKILLED HEALTH CARE WORKER   |      | nnooun C | AFAULTE    |              | MOTIVATI                              |             |            |             |            |             |            |             |              |
| Health Human<br>Resource                 |                                    | # Specialised personnel trained  |      | 3        | 10         | 5M           | 10                                    | 5M          | 10         | 5M          | 10         | 5M          | 10         | 5M          | 25M          |
|  |                                    | # HCW recruited  |      | 83       | 100        | 120M         | 100                                   | 120M        | 100        | 120M        | 100        | 120M        | 100        | 120M        | 600M         |
|  | Succession planning<br>established | Plan developed   |      | 1        | 1          | 5M           | 1                                     | 5M          | 1          | 5M          | 1          | 5M          | 1          | 5M          | 25M          |
|  | Updated staffing<br>norm           | #Workload analysis report  |      | 0        | 1          | 0.5M         | 1                                     | 0.5M        | 1          | 0.5M        | 1          | 0.5M        | 1          | 0.5M        | 2.5M         |
| Health                                   | 1                                  | 0/ Occurto allocation to bealth  | 2.00 | 20       | 00         | 0514         |                                       |             | 0.4        | 10014       | 05         | 45514       | 00         | 185M        | 650M         |
| Financing                                | Increasing allocation              | % County allocation to health  | 3.9c | 32       | 32         | 65M          | 33                                    | 95M         | 34         | 120M        | 35         | 155M        | 36         | IVICOT      |              |

Page 85

۲





۲

۲

|  | Increasing access to<br>health care          | # household recruited to NHIF                          |          | 1500        | 10000     |          | 15000      |     | 20000 |     | 25000 |     | 30000 |     |      |
|--|--|--|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|------|
| Administration<br>Planning &<br>Policy | 1  |  |          |             |           |          |            |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |      |
|  | Partnership 7 Coordi-<br>nation strengthened | # Policies developed/opera-<br>tionalized              | 3.9c     | 0           | 1         | 4M       | 1          | 4M  | 1     | 4M  | 1     | 4M  | 1     | 4M  | 20M  |
|  | Health facilities accredited                 | # Level 4 facilities accredited                        |          | 6           | 5         | 1M       | 5          | 1M  | 5     | 1M  | 3     | 0.6 |       |     | 3.6M |
|  | Establish Emergency<br>response centre       | Emergency response centre established                  | 3.9d     | 0           | 1         | 10M      |            |     |       |     |       |     |       |     | 10M  |
| PROGRAMME                              | RESEARCH AND DEVI                            | LOPMENT  |          |             |           |          |            |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |      |
| OBJECTIVE: T                           | O IMPROVE DECISION I                         | MAKING THROUGH EVIDENCE-BA                             | SED RESU | LTS THAT IS | RELIABLE. | ACCESSIB | LE & TIMEL | Y   |       |     |       |     |       |     |      |
|  |  | ULATION & IMPLEMENTATION                               |          |             |           |          |            |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |      |
| Research & policy                      | County led research developed                | % Allocation to R&D                                    | 3.9c     | 0.2         | 0.5       | 15M      | 0.7        | 21M | 0.9   | 27M | 1     | 30M | 1     | 30M | 126M |
|  |  | # R&D produced   |          | 2           | 2         |          | 4          |     | 8     |     | 10    |     | 12    |     |      |
|  | Strengthen part-<br>nership                  | #Health research publication<br>shared with department | 3.9c     | 2           | 2         |          | 4          |     | 8     |     | 12    |     | 12    |     |      |
| Total                                  | 13,815.6M                                    |  |          |             |           |          |            |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |      |

# 4.7. Sector Name: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, WATER AND NATURAL RESOURCES

The sector comprises of environmental protection, water and natural resources. The sector focused on conservation and management of forests, water catchments, and management of wetlands, restoration of degraded land, green economy, waste management, pollution control, integrated regional development, water resources management, increase access to water and sanitation and mitigation and adaptation to the effects of climate change among other programmes.

# **Sector Composition**

۲

The sector composes of the sub-sector of Water, Irrigation, Sanitation, Environment, Forestry and Climate Change and it is mandated of the sector is to build capacity of communities on sustainable management of environment, water and sanitation resources, enforcement of regulations and standards, harnessing underground and surface water capabilities using modern technologies, and improving development and maintenance of environment, water and sanitation resources.

## **Sector Mission**

To ensure the people of Homa Bay have access to reliable safe water, sanitation and that they live in clean and well conserved environment that enhance socio economic development.

#### **Sector Vision**

A Prosperous Population Living in A Clean, Healthy and Sustainable Environment.

#### 4.7.5 Sector Goals

To fulfil its mandate of ensuring the people of Homa-Bay County have ready access to adequate safe water and healthy sanitation and that they live in a clean and well conserved environment that promotes their sustainable socio-economic development, the Section of Environment and Natural Resources has goals and targets namely:

 To provide clean and healthy Environment for Homa-Bay County residents by enhancing waste management to residents

- To sustain critical Ecosystem Services in the County by Conserving and increasing the forest cover from 3.1% to 4% by 2027.
- To enhance the resilience of the people of Homa-Bay County to climate change by coordinating and promoting appropriate adaptation and mitigation measures

## **SECTOR PRIORITIES**

Table 29: Sector Priorities EPWNR

# Environmental management and Forestry development services

| SECTOR<br>PRIORITIES                                   | STRATEGIES   |
|--|--|
| Improve<br>waste man-<br>agement                       | <ul> <li>Advocacy and awareness</li> <li>Develop sustainable waste management policy</li> <li>Acquisition of modern waste management plant and equipment</li> <li>Acquisition and development of integrated dumpsites for development of circular economy</li> <li>Enforcement of waste management regulations</li> <li>Promote PPP models in actualization of prioritized waste management projects</li> </ul>  |
| Enhance<br>environmental<br>protection                 | <ul> <li>Linkages and partnership services</li> <li>Promote Environmental education</li> <li>Integrated water resource management services</li> <li>Water, air and noise Pollution control</li> <li>Conservation and rehabilitation of degraded landscapes</li> </ul>  |
| Increase<br>county Forest<br>Cover                     | <ul> <li>Afforestation and Reforestation.</li> <li>Policy development, implementation and enforcement</li> <li>Restoration of degraded forested areas</li> <li>Promotion of agroforestry and fruit tree in the communities</li> <li>Promotion of tree growing</li> <li>Promote School greening programs</li> <li>Establishment of tree nurseries</li> <li>Gazettement of community forests.</li> <li>Capacity development of various groups i.e. CFAs</li> </ul> |
| Establishment<br>of green<br>spaces in<br>urban areas. | <ul> <li>Identification and development of green spaces in urban centres</li> <li>Beautification of public spaces</li> <li>Support urban management organisation in developing urban forestry</li> <li>Encourage and support private sectors to develop green spaces</li> </ul>  |



HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP

HB CIDP 2023 two.indd 86

Page 86



#### **Climate change management services**

| Climate change mar                | STRATEGIES   |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Climate Change Gov-<br>ernance    | <ul> <li>Ensure implementation of the provision in the climate change policy and legal framework.</li> <li>Establishment of climate change structures / committees necessary for to support in the implementation of desired adaptation and mitigation interventions.</li> <li>Participate in the Regional, National and International climate Change governance process.</li> <li>Enhancing stakeholder engagement in climate change issues in the County</li> </ul>  |
| Climate Change Main-<br>streaming | <ul> <li>Develop and implement county climate change action plans</li> <li>Advocacy efforts to ensure county Government departments and Agencies mainstream climate actions in their programmes/projects and plans.</li> <li>Support communities come up with locally led climate change actions.</li> <li>Enhancing capacity of county governments departments and citizens to mainstream climate change actions</li> <li>Develop and implement climate change action tracking tools</li> <li>Provision of technical support to departments in mattes climate change mainstreaming</li> </ul> |
| Low carbon resilient<br>growth    | <ul> <li>Promote development and use of clean energy technologies in the county</li> <li>Establish an enabling policy framework for low carbon growth in the county</li> <li>Promote carbon trade</li> <li>Promoting and up-scaling climate change adaptation and mitigation practices and technologies</li> </ul>   |
| Climate financing                 | <ul> <li>Develop a policy to enhance the county's capacity to engage in carbon trading</li> <li>Develop bankable climate change proposals for funding.</li> <li>Strengthen the viability of the county carbon asset production to increase access to international carbon markets</li> <li>Promote public-private partnerships in climate change response</li> <li>Strengthen the climate finance governance framework in the County</li> <li>Develop a climate finance strategy</li> </ul>  |

# Water and Sanitation Services

۲

| SECTOR PRIORITIES                                 | STRATEGIES   |
|---|--|
| Increase urban & rural<br>water supply            | <ul> <li>Establishment/rehabilitation of water schemes<br/>in municipalities</li> <li>Rehabilitation &amp; extension of rural water<br/>schemes</li> <li>Promotion of water harvesting &amp; storage.</li> <li>Promote water Governance initiatives through<br/>strengthening of Water Resources Users Asso-<br/>ciation (WRUAS) and Water Users Associations<br/>(WUAs) to help in mater resource management</li> </ul> |
| Increase Urban and Ru-<br>ral sanitation Services | <ul> <li>Development of sewerage plants.</li> <li>Rehabilitation of DTF.</li> <li>Construction of new DTFs.</li> <li>Construction of village sanitation facilities</li> </ul>  |

# **Mineral Management and Marketing Services**

| SUBSECTOR: MINING   |   |
|---|---|
| PRIORITIES  | STRATEGIES  |
| Sustainable exploration<br>and utilisation of mineral<br>resources          | <ul> <li>Mapping of existing mineral resources within<br/>the county</li> <li>Develop policy and regulatory framework for<br/>management of mineral resources</li> <li>Capacity building of mining stakeholders</li> <li>Mainstream community participation in man-<br/>agement of mineral resources</li> </ul> |
| Promote safety in explo-<br>ration and exploitation<br>of mineral resources | <ul> <li>Deploy use of modern mineral exploration and exploitation technology</li> <li>Capacity building of artisanal miners through training</li> <li>Provision of modern artisanal mining tools</li> </ul>  |



۲

# **SECTOR PROGRAMMES**

| Table 30: Se  | ector Programmes El  | PWN   |                                |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                   |                              |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| PROGRAMME NA  | AME: WATER SUPPLY AND SANI   | TATION MANAGEMENT SERVICES  |                                |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                   |                              |
| DBJECTIVE: TO I   | NCREASE ACCESS TO ADEQUAT  | E AND SAFE WATER AND SANITAT  | TION                           |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                   |                              |
| DUTCOME: SUFF   | ICIENT WATER AND SANITATIO   | N FOR IMPROVED HEALTH AND SA  |                                |                  | TY POPULA          |                  |                   |                  |                    |                  |                   | 1                |                   |                              |
| Sub Programme   | Key Output   | Key Performance Indicators  | Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets* | Year 1<br>Target | Cost               | Year 2<br>Target | Cost              | Year 3<br>Target | Cost               | Year 4<br>Target | Cost              | Year 5<br>Target | Cost              | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
| Urban water<br>supply services                          | Increased and equitable ac-<br>cess to reliable potable water<br>for domestic and industrial<br>use in urban areas | Length of pipeline(km)  | SDG 6                          | 75               | (Million)<br>87.15 | 63               | (Million)<br>73.5 | 50               | (Million)<br>57.75 | 38               | (Million)<br>44.1 | 25               | (Million)<br>29.4 | 291.9                        |
|   |  | Number of households connected to water   | SDG 6                          | 3,900            | 32.55              | 3,250            | 28.665            | 2,600            | 22.05              | 1,950            | 16.8              | 1,300            | 11.55             | 111.615                      |
|   |  | Number of treatment plant in<br>new municipalities  | SDG 6                          | 1                | 1050               | 1                | 1,050             | 0                | 0                  | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0                 | 2100                         |
|   |  | Volume of none revenue water produced in cubic meters                                       | SDG 6                          | 4,000            | 0                  | 3,500            | 0                 | 0                | 0                  | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0                 | 0                            |
|   |  | Number of storage tanks con-<br>structed (250 cubic meters tank)                            | SDG 6                          | 4                | 10                 | 4                | 10                | 4                | 10                 | 4                | 10                | 4                | 10                | 50                           |
| Irrigation<br>Development<br>Services                   | Increased Availability of water<br>for agricultural use and con-<br>servation of soil and water                    | Construction of water storage<br>and distribution structures along<br>lake shore and rivers |                                | 2                | 70M                | 2                | 70M               | 2                | 70M                | 2                | 70M               | 0                | 0                 | 280M                         |
| Services  |  | No of WUAs formed and trained<br>on water use   |                                | 4                | 200.000            | 4                | 200,000           | 4                | 200,000            | 2                | 200,000           | 0                | 0                 | 800M                         |
| Rural water<br>supply services                          | Increased and equitable ac-<br>cess to reliable potable water<br>for domestic and industrial<br>use in rural areas | Number of new boreholes drilled<br>and equipped   | SDG 6                          | 50               | 262.5              | 40               | 210               | 20               | 105                | 20               | 105               | 20               | 105               | 787.5                        |
|   |  | Number of springs protected   | SDG 6                          | 10               | 5.25               | 10               | 5.25              | 10               | 5.25               | 10               | 5.25              | 10               | 5.25              | 26.25                        |
|   |  | Length of pipeline (km)<br>No. of households accessing                                      | SDG 6<br>SDG 6                 | 80<br>3,409      | 90.3<br>0          | 60<br>2,727      | 67.2<br>0         | 45<br>1,432      | 50.4<br>0          | 35<br>1,023      | 38.85<br>0        | 30<br>273        | 33.6<br>0         | 280.35<br>0                  |
|   |  | water service<br>No .of rural water service provid-   | SDG 6                          | 1                | 0.3                | 1                | 0.3               | 1                | 0.3                | 1                | 0.3               | 1                | 0.3               | 1.5                          |
|   |  | ers registered<br>Number of new rural water<br>service providers established                | SDG 6                          | 1                | 0                  | 1                | 0                 | 1                | 0                  | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0                 | -                            |
|   |  | Number of private operators<br>contracted under PPP   | SDG 6                          | 1                | 0                  | 1                | 0                 | 1                | 0                  | 1                | 0                 | 1                | 0                 | -                            |
|   |  | Number of irrigation schemes constructed  | SDG 6                          | 2                | 14.7               | 2                | 14.7              | 2                | 14.7               | 2                | 14.7              | 2                | 14.7              | 73.5                         |
|   |  | Number of pans developed and rehabilitated  | SDG 6                          | 3                | 23.625             | 4                | 31.5              | 4                | 31.5               | 4                | 31.5              | 3                | 23.625            | 141.75                       |
|   |  | Number of roof catchment tanks installed  | SDG 6                          | 10               | 10.500             | 10               | 10.500            | 10               | 10.500             | 10               | 10.500            | 10               | 10.500            | 21                           |
|   |  | Number of water supplies rehabilitated and expanded   | SDG 6                          | 1                | 105                | 1                | 105               | 1                | 105                | 1                | 105               | 1                | 105               | 525                          |
|   |  | Community water projects<br>(Distance reduced by rural people<br>looking for water)         | SDG 6                          | 85               | 510                | 80               | 480               | 80               | 480                | 80               | 480               | 80               | 480               | 2,430                        |
| Waste water<br>and Sanitation<br>management<br>services | Increased and equitable access to sanitation services.   | Number of DTF constructed   | SDG 6                          | 1                | 21                 | 1                | 21                | 1                | 21                 | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0                 | 63                           |
|   |  | % of Rehabilitation works Mbita DTF   | SDG 6                          | 10%              | 22.05              | 30%              | 84                | 50%              | 105                | 90%              | 115.5             | 100%             | 31.5              | 358.05                       |
|   |  | % completion of new sewerage works for Homa Bay town  | SDG 6                          | 50%              | 1,000              | 50%              | 1,000             | 0                | 0                  | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0                 | 2,000                        |
|   |  | % completion of new sewerage works for Oyugis town  | SDG 6                          | 50%              | 1,000              | 50%              | 1,000             | 0                | 0                  | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0                 | 2,000                        |
|   |  | Number of ablution block<br>constructed   | SDG 6                          | 4                | 10.5               | 4                | 10.5              | 4                | 10.5               | 4                | 10.5              | 4                | 10.5              | 52.5                         |
| PROGRAM NAME  | E ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMI   | ENT AND FORESTRY DEVELOPMEN   | IT SERVICI                     | ES               |                    |                  |                   |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                   |                              |
| OBJECTIVE: TO E   | ENHANCE A CLEAN AND SAFE E   | NVIRONMENT FOR THE RESIDENTS  | S OF HOM                       | A BAY            |                    |                  |                   |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                   |                              |
|   | ALTHY POPULATION   |   |                                |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                    |                  |                   |                  |                   |                              |
| Sub Programme   | Key Output   | Key Performance Indicators  | Linkages<br>to SDG             | Year 1<br>Target | Cost               | Year 2<br>Target | Cost              | Year 3<br>Target | Cost               | Year 4<br>Target | Cost              | Year 5<br>Target | Cost              | Total<br>Budget              |
|   |  |   | Targets*                       | larger           | (Million)          | larger           | (Million)         | laryet           | (Million)          | larget           | (Million)         | larger           | (Million)         | (KSh. M)*                    |
| Waste manage-<br>ment                                   | Integrated waste manage-<br>ment system  | No of dumpsites acquired and developed  | SDG: 6,<br>11 & 13             | 1                | 15.75              | 2                | 31.5              | 2                | 31.5               | 2                | 31.5              | 1                | 15.75             | 126                          |
|   |  | No. of waste trucks/skip loaders procured   | SDG: 6,<br>11 & 13             | 1                | 13.65              | 2                | 27.3              | 2                | 27.3               | 2                | 27.3              | 1                | 13.65             | 109.2                        |
|   |  | Procurement of skips  | SDG: 6,<br>11 & 13             | 20               | 10.5               | 20               | 10.5              | 20               | 10.5               | 20               | 10.5              | 20               | 10.5              | 52.5                         |
|   |  | Purchase of coded litter bins   | SDG: 6,<br>11 & 13             | 100              | 1.05               | 100              | 1.05              | 100              | 1.05               | 100              | 1.05              | 100              | 1.05              | 5.25                         |
| Environmental<br>Protection<br>Services                 | Improved awareness on<br>environmental systems and<br>sustainable use of natural<br>resources                      | No. of developed and equipped<br>environmental resource Centre                              | SDG 12                         | 1                | 5.25               | 1                | 5.25              | 1                | 5.25               | 1                | 5.25              | 1                | 5.25              | 26.25                        |

۲



۲



|   |   | 1  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  | 1  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | No. of Environmental best prac-<br>tices sensitization and awareness   | SDG 12  | 1  | 5.25  | 2  | 10.5   | 1   | 5.25  | 3  | 15.75  | 1  | 5.25   | 41.25  |
|   |   | conducted in educational<br>institutions   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | No of awareness campaigns  | SDG 12  | 10   | 5.25  | 10   | 5.25   | 10  | 5.25  | 10   | 5.25   | 10   | 5.25   | 26.25  |
|   |   | conducted  | 00040   | 10   | 5.05  | 10   | 5.05   | 10  | 5.05  | 10   | 5.05   | 10   | 5.05   | 00.05  |
|   |   | No of seminars and training<br>workshops   | SDG 12  | 10   | 5.25  | 10   | 5.25   | 10  | 5.25  | 10   | 5.25   | 10   | 5.25   | 26.25  |
|   |   | No. of environmental conserva-<br>tion stakeholder forums held   | SDG 12  | 2  | 2   | 2  | 2  | 2   | 2   | 2  |  | 2  | 2  | 10   |
|   |   | No of exchange programmes  | SDG 12  | 2  | 2   | 1  | 1  | 2   | 2   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 5  |
|   |   | No of exhibition on best practices   |   | 0  | 0   | 1  | 1  | 0   | 0   | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 2  |
|   | Reduced noise pollution   | No .of noise meters procured   |   | 8  | 1.6M  | 8  | 1.6M   | 8   | 1.6M  | 8  | 1.6M   | 8  | 1.6M   | 3.8M   |
|   | Restored ecosystems   | No. of conserved and rehabilitat-<br>ed degraded landscapes  | SDG 12  | 1  | 5.25  | 1  | 5.25   | 1   | 5.25  | 1  | 5.25   | 0  | 0  | 21   |
|   |   | No. of reclaimed and rehabilitated   | SDG 15  | 1  | 10.5  | 1  | 10.5   | 1   | 10.5  | 1  | 10.5   | 1  | 10.5   | 52.5   |
|   |   | riparian lands<br>Conservation of water towers   | SDG 6   | 1  | 2.625   | 1  | 2.625  | 2   | 5.25  | 1  | 2.625  | 1  | 2.625  | 15.75  |
| orest Develop-  | Improved forest and tree  | Development of parks and green   | SDG 15  | 1  | 10.5  | 1  | 10.5   | 0   | 0   | 1  | 10.5   | 0  | 0  | 31.5   |
| nent services   | cover within the county   | spaces   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | No. of community tree nurseries<br>Number of public institutions   | SDG 15<br>SDG 15  | 40<br>3  | 21<br>4.725   | 40<br>3  | 21<br>4.725  | 40  | 21<br>4.725   | 40<br>3  | 21<br>4.725  | 40<br>3  | 21<br>4.725  | 105<br>23.625  |
|   |   | greened  | oburio  |  |   | · ·  |  |   |   | -  |  | -  |  |  |
|   |   | Re-afforestation of degraded hill tops are forested areas  | SDG 15  | 3  | 4.725   | 3  | 4.725  | 3   | 4.725   | 3  | 4.725  | 3  | 4.725  | 23.625   |
|   | Conserved natural resources   | Capacity development of CFAs   | SDG 15  | 1  | 0.525   | 1  | 0.525  | 1   | 0.525   | 1  | 0.525  | 1  | 0.525  | 2.625  |
|   | and existing natural envi-<br>ronment   |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| olicy and plan  | To enhance the regulatory   | Number of legal frameworks   | SDG: 6  | 2  | 6   | 1  | 3  | 1   | 3   | 0  | 0  | 1  | 3  | 15   |
| ormulation,<br>levelopment and  | framework for creation of<br>an enabling environment for  | developed  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| issemination  | service delivery  |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Number of plans developed  | SDG: 6  | 1  | 3   | 1  | 3  | 0   | 0   | 1  | 3  | 0  | 0  | 9  |
|   |   | No. of waste survey reports  | SDG: 6  | 1  | 3   | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 3  |
|   |   | established  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA   | NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN   | CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AN<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO  | THE IMPA  | CTS OF CL  | IMATE CH  | ANGE   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  | 2.625  |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE  | r SERVICES<br>Change resilience actions at   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages  | CTS OF CL  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1  | ANGE<br>Ye   | ar 2   | Y   | ear 3   | Ye   | ar 4   |  | ar 5   | Total  |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators  | THE IMPA  | CTS OF CL  | IMATE CH  | ANGE   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)  |   | ear 3   |  | ar 4<br>Cost<br>(Million)  | Ye<br>Target   | Cost<br>(Million)  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M   |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Climate Change   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AND<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG  | CTS OF CL  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost  | ANGE<br>Ye   | ar 2<br>Cost   | Y   | ear 3<br>Cost   | Ye   | Cost   |  | Cost   | Total<br>Budget  |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Climate Change   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.  | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yes<br>Target<br>4  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17   | ANGE<br>Ye<br>Target<br>5  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20  | Target<br>3   | ear 3<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>10  | Ye<br>Target<br>6  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22  | 2  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>75  |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Climate Change   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AND<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target   | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)   | ANGE<br>Ye<br>Target   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)  | Yı<br>Target  | ear 3<br>Cost<br>(Million)  | Ye<br>Target   | Cost<br>(Million)  | Target   | Cost<br>(Million)  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)  |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Climate Change   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yes<br>Target<br>4  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17   | ANGE<br>Ye<br>Target<br>5  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20  | Target<br>3   | ear 3<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>10  | Ye<br>Target<br>6  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22  | 2  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>75  |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience  | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target<br>4  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17   | ANGE<br>Ye<br>Target<br>5  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20  | Target<br>3   | ear 3<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>10  | Ye<br>Target<br>6  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22  | 2  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>75  |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Sub Programme<br>Simate Change<br>Sovernance   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity<br>building activities and sessions<br>implemented and held   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 5<br>16<br>12  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8  | Y.           Target           3           16           12   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8   | Ye       Target       6       16       12  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8   | Target           2           16           12   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)753540  |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Simate Change<br>Sovernance  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation  | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No. of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity<br>building activities and sessions<br>implemented and held<br>No. of climate resilience projects  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yes<br>Target<br>4<br>16  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 5<br>16  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7   | Target 3<br>3<br>16   | ear 3<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7   | Farget 6   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7   | Target     2     16  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>75<br>35  |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to  | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity<br>building activities and sessions<br>implemented and held   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 5<br>16<br>12  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8  | Y.           Target           3           16           12   | cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8   | Ye       Target       6       16       12  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8   | Target           2           16           12   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)753540  |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Simate Change<br>Sovernance  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions  | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity<br>building activities and sessions<br>implemented and held<br>No. of climate resilience projects<br>formulated and implemented in  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 5<br>16<br>12  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8  | Y.           Target           3           16           12   | cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8   | Ye       Target       6       16       12  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8   | Target           2           16           12   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)753540  |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Dimate Change<br>Sovernance  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity<br>building activities and sessions<br>implemented and held<br>No. of climate resilience projects<br>formulated and implemented in<br>the wards<br>No. of Disaster response centers   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 5<br>16<br>12  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8  | Y.           Target           3           16           12   | cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8   | Ye       Target       6       16       12  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8   | Target           2           16           12   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)753540  |
| )BJECTIVE: TO D   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity<br>building activities and sessions<br>implemented and held<br>No. of climate resilience projects<br>formulated and implemented in<br>the wards   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 2<br>5<br>16<br>12<br>40   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132  | Yes           Target           3           16           12           40   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8<br>132  | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>132  | Target           2           16           12           40  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>132  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)       75       35       40       660   |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Dimate Change<br>Sovernance  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No.of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity<br>building activities and sessions<br>implemented and held<br>No. of climate resilience projects<br>formulated and implemented in<br>the wards<br>No. of Disaster response centers<br>and early warning systems<br>developed<br>No. of County Climate Change   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 2<br>5<br>16<br>12<br>40   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132  | Yes           Target           3           16           12           40   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8<br>132  | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>132  | Target           2           16           12           40  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>132  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)       75       35       40       660   |
| DBJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>Sub Programme<br>Dimate Change<br>Sovernance  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No. of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity<br>building activities and sessions<br>implemented and held<br>No. of climate resilience projects<br>formulated and implemented in<br>the wards<br>No. of Disaster response centers<br>and early warning systems<br>developed  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2   | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 1<br>5<br>16<br>12<br>40   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12  | Yes           Target           3           16           12           40           2   | ar 3<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12   | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12   | Target           2           16           12           40           2  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)75354066060   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>NUTCOME: ENHA<br>Web Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate   | SERVICES<br>CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT<br>D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO<br>Key Performance Indicators<br>No. of plans, strategies, reports,<br>regulations and guidelines<br>developed and reviewed<br>No. of climate change action<br>consultations, deliberations and<br>participatory monitoring sessions<br>held.<br>No. of climate change capacity<br>building activities and sessions<br>implemented and held<br>No. of climate resilience projects<br>formulated and implemented in<br>the wards<br>No. of Disaster response centers<br>and early warning systems<br>developed<br>No. of County Climate Change<br>resilience hubs and nodes estab-<br>lished and operationalized<br>No. of climate innovations and  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Ye:<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2   | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 1<br>5<br>16<br>12<br>40   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12  | Yes           Target           3           16           12           40           2   | ar 3<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12   | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12   | Target           2           16           12           40           2  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)75354066060   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate   | SERVICES     CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI     D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO     Key Performance Indicators     No. of plans, strategies, reports,     regulations and guidelines     developed and reviewed     No. of climate change action     consultations, deliberations and     participatory monitoring sessions     held.     No. of climate change capacity     building activities and sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate resilience projects     formulated and implemented in     the wards     No. of Disaster response centers     and early warning systems     developed     No. of County Climate Change     resilience hubs and nodes estab-     lished and operationalized  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yer<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>3  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 2<br>5<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>3   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50   | Ye         Target           3         16           12         40           2         2           2         2  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>20  | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10   | Target           2           16           12           40           2           0  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>0   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M           75           35           40           660           60           130   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate<br>change   | SERVICES     CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI     D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO     Key Performance Indicators     No. of plans, strategies, reports,     regulations and guidelines     developed and reviewed     No. of climate change action     consultations, deliberations and     participatory monitoring sessions     held.     No. of climate change capacity     building activities and sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate resilience projects     formulated and implemented in     the wards     No. of Disaster response centers     and early warning systems     developed     No. of County Climate Change     resilience hubs and nodes estab-     lished and operationalized     No. of climate innovations and     climate action award schemes     financed     No. of Carbon credit trading  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yer<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>3  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 2<br>5<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>3   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50   | Ye         Target           3         16           12         40           2         2           2         2  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>20  | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10   | Target           2           16           12           40           2           0  | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>0   | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M           75           35           40           660           60           130   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance<br>limate Change<br>ainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>vestment and  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate<br>change   | SERVICES     CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI     D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO     Key Performance Indicators     No. of plans, strategies, reports,     regulations and guidelines     developed and reviewed     No. of climate change action     consultations, deliberations and     participatory monitoring sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate change capacity     building activities and sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate resilience projects     formulated and implemented in     the wards     No. of Disaster response centers     and early warning systems     developed     No. of County Climate Change     resilience hubs and nodes estab-     lished and operationalized     No. of climate cino award schemes     financed  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yer<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>5  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 7<br>5<br>5<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25  | Yi       Target       3       16       12       40       2       2       5  | Cost<br>(Million)         10         7         8         132         20         25  | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10<br>25                                  | Target           2           16           12           40           2           0           5                            | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>0<br>225  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M           75           35           40           660           130           125  |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance<br>limate Change<br>ainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>vestment and<br>nancing   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate<br>change   | SERVICES CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI DRESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO Key Performance Indicators No.of plans, strategies, reports, regulations and guidelines developed and reviewed No. of climate change action consultations, deliberations and participatory monitoring sessions held. No. of climate change capacity building activities and sessions implemented and held No. of climate resilience projects formulated and implemented in the wards No. of Disaster response centers and early warning systems developed No. of Climate change resilience hubs and nodes estab- lished and operationalized No. of Climate innovations and climate action award schemes financed No. of Carbon credit trading projects developed, certified and implemented   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yer<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>5  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25   | ANGE Ye<br>Target 7<br>5<br>5<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25  | Yi       Target       3       16       12       40       2       2       5  | Cost<br>(Million)         10         7         8         132         20         25  | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10<br>25                                  | Target           2           16           12           40           2           0           5                            | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>0<br>225  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)           75           35           40           660           130           125   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>ROGRAMME NA<br>BJECTIVE: TO P   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN Key Output Climate change Institutional and Governance framework enhanced and strengthened in the County. Mechanisms for citizens en- gagement in social resilience developed and implemented Climate change mitigation and adaptation actions implemented in the County to enhance resilience to climate change Funds raised for financing cli- mate resilience investments. ME; MINERAL MANAGEMENT A ROMOTE SUSTAINABLE UTILIZ   | SERVICES CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO Key Performance Indicators No. of plans, strategies, reports, regulations and guidelines developed and reviewed No. of climate change action consultations, deliberations and participatory monitoring sessions held. No. of climate change capacity building activities and sessions implemented and held No. of climate resilience projects formulated and implemented in the wards No. of County Climate Change resilience hubs and nodes estab- lished and operationalized No. of climate innovations and climate action award schemes financed No. of Carbon credit trading projects developed, certified and implemented ND MARKETING SERVICES ATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES F  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yer<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>1   | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>12   | ANGE Ye<br>Target Ye<br>5<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5<br>1   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25  | Yi       Target       3       16       12       40       2       2       5  | Cost<br>(Million)         10         7         8         132         20         25  | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10<br>25                                  | Target           2           16           12           40           2           0           5                            | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>0<br>225  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)           75           35           40           660           130           125   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance<br>limate Change<br>fainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>nvestment and<br>inancing<br>ROGRAMME NA<br>BJECTIVE: TO P<br>UTCOME: IMPR   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate<br>change<br>Funds raised for financing cli-<br>mate resilience investments.<br>ME; MINERAL MANAGEMENT A<br>ROMOTE SUSTAINABLE UTILIZ<br>OVED HOUSEHOLD INCOME AN   | SERVICES CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO Key Performance Indicators No. of plans, strategies, reports, regulations and guidelines developed and reviewed No. of climate change action consultations, deliberations and participatory monitoring sessions held. No. of climate change capacity building activities and sessions implemented and held No. of climate resilience projects formulated and implemented in the wards No. of Disaster response centers and early warning systems developed No. of County Climate Change resilience hubs and nodes estab- lished and operationalized No. of climate innovations and climate action award schemes financed No. of Carbon credit trading projects developed, certified and implemented ND MARKETING SERVICES ATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES FD LIVELIHOOD   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yer<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>EECONOMI  | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>25<br>12<br>25<br>25   | ANGE         Ye           Target         Ye           5         1           12         40           2         3           5         1           PMENT         PMENT  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>50   | Ye       Target       3       16       12       40       2       2       5       1  | 20         7         8         132         20         25         50   | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5           0   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10<br>25<br>0                                  | Target       2       16       12       40       2       5       0  | Cost<br>(Million)           6           7           8           132           12           0           25           0                                | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)           75           35           40           660           130           125           112   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance<br>limate Change<br>fainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>nvestment and<br>inancing<br>ROGRAMME NA<br>BJECTIVE: TO P<br>UTCOME: IMPR   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN Key Output Climate change Institutional and Governance framework enhanced and strengthened in the County. Mechanisms for citizens en- gagement in social resilience developed and implemented Climate change mitigation and adaptation actions implemented in the County to enhance resilience to climate change Funds raised for financing cli- mate resilience investments. ME; MINERAL MANAGEMENT A ROMOTE SUSTAINABLE UTILIZ   | SERVICES CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO Key Performance Indicators No. of plans, strategies, reports, regulations and guidelines developed and reviewed No. of climate change action consultations, deliberations and participatory monitoring sessions held. No. of climate change capacity building activities and sessions implemented and held No. of climate resilience projects formulated and implemented in the wards No. of County Climate Change resilience hubs and nodes estab- lished and operationalized No. of climate innovations and climate action award schemes financed No. of Carbon credit trading projects developed, certified and implemented ND MARKETING SERVICES ATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES F  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL         Yer           Target         4           16         12           40         2           3         5           1         ECONOMIC | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>25<br>12<br>25<br>12<br>C DEVELO   | ANGE         Ye           Target         Ye           5         1           12         40           2         3           5         1           PMENT         Ye           Ye         Ye   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>50<br>50<br>50   | Yes       Target       3       16       12       40       2       2       5       1   | <ul> <li>ar 3<br/>Cost<br/>(Million)</li> <li>10</li> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>132</li> <li>132</li> <li>20</li> <li>25</li> <li>50</li> <li>50</li> </ul>   | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5           0   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>10<br>25<br>0<br>0                                    | Target         2         16         12         40         2         5         0         ×         Ye                     | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>0  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)           75           35           40           660           130           125   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>BJECTIVE: TO P<br>UTCOME: IMPR<br>ub Programme  | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate<br>change<br>Funds raised for financing cli-<br>mate resilience investments.<br>ME; MINERAL MANAGEMENT A<br>ROMOTE SUSTAINABLE UTILIZ<br>OVED HOUSEHOLD INCOME AN   | SERVICES CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AT D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO Key Performance Indicators No. of plans, strategies, reports, regulations and guidelines developed and reviewed No. of climate change action consultations, deliberations and participatory monitoring sessions held. No. of climate change capacity building activities and sessions implemented and held No. of climate resilience projects formulated and implemented in the wards No. of Disaster response centers and early warning systems developed No. of County Climate Change resilience hubs and nodes estab- lished and operationalized No. of climate innovations and climate action award schemes financed No. of Carbon credit trading projects developed, certified and implemented ND MARKETING SERVICES ATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES FD LIVELIHOOD   | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yes<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>-ECONOMIC<br>Yes<br>Target                            | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>25<br>12<br>25<br>25   | ANGE Ye<br>Target Ye<br>5<br>5<br>16<br>40<br>40<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>2<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>50   | Ye       Target       3       16       12       40       2       2       5       1  | 25<br>50<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25<br>20<br>25  | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5           0   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10<br>25<br>0                                  | Target       2       16       12       40       2       5       0  | Cost<br>(Million)           6           7           8           132           12           0           25           0                                | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)         75         35         40         660         125         112   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>BJECTIVE: TO P<br>UTCOME: IMPR<br>ub Programme<br>rtisanal Mining             | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate<br>change<br>Funds raised for financing cli-<br>mate resilience investments.<br>ME; MINERAL MANAGEMENT A<br>ROMOTE SUSTAINABLE UTILIZ<br>OVED HOUSEHOLD INCOME AN   | SERVICES     CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI     D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO     Key Performance Indicators     No. of plans, strategies, reports,     regulations and guidelines     developed and reviewed     No. of climate change action     consultations, deliberations and     participatory monitoring sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate change capacity     building activities and sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate response centers     and early warning systems     developed     No. of County Climate Change     resilience hubs and nodes estab-     lished and operationalized     No. of climate invoxitons and     climate actionalized     No. of Carbon credit trading     projects developed, certified and     implemented     ND MARKETING SERVICES     ATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES F     D LIVELIHOOD     Key Performance Indicators  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL         Yer           Target         4           16         12           40         2           3         5           1         ECONOMIC | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>12<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25   | ANGE         Ye           Target         Ye           5         1           12         40           2         3           5         1           PMENT         Ye           Ye         Ye   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>50<br>50<br>ar 2<br>Cost   | Yes       Target       3       16       12       40       2       2       5       1   | ar 3         Cost<br>(Million)         10         7         8         132         20         25         50         50         ar 3         Cost   | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5           0   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>10<br>25<br>0<br>0                                   | Target         2         16         12         40         2         5         0         ×         Ye                     | Cost<br>(Million)           6           7           8           132           12           0           225           0           ar 5           Cost | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M           75           35           40           660           125           112           Total<br>Budget  |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>DUTCOME: ENHA<br>iub Programme<br>limate Change<br>iovernance<br>limate Change<br>fainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>fainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>rvestment and<br>inancing<br>BJECTIVE: TO P   | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate<br>change<br>Funds raised for financing cli-<br>mate resilience investments.<br>ME; MINERAL MANAGEMENT A<br>ROMOTE SUSTAINABLE UTILIZ<br>OVED HOUSEHOLD INCOME AN<br>Key Output   | SERVICES     CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI     D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO     Key Performance Indicators     No. of plans, strategies, reports,     regulations and guidelines     developed and reviewed     No. of climate change action     consultations, deliberations and     participatory monitoring sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate change capacity     building activities and sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate response centers     and early warning systems     developed     No. of Disaster response centers     and early warning systems     developed     No. of climate the change     resilience hubs and nodes estab-     lished and operationalized     No. of climate innovations and     limate innovations and     limate innovations and     limate innovations     No. of Carbon credit trading     projects developed, certified and     implemented     ND MARKETING SERVICES     ATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES F     D LIVELIHOOD     Key Performance Indicators     No. of mineral sites identified     No. of miners trained on mining | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG 13  | CTS OF CL<br>Yes<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>-ECONOMIC<br>Yes<br>Target                            | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>12<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25 | ANGE Ye<br>Target Ye<br>5<br>5<br>16<br>40<br>40<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>2<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>40   | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>50<br>25<br>50<br>25<br>50<br>Cost<br>(Million)                          | Y         Target         3         16         12         40         2         2         5         1         Y         Target         -         2000       | ar 3<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>20<br>25<br>50<br>50<br>50  | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5           0   | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10<br>25<br>0<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>0 | Target         2         16         12         40         2         5         0         ×         Ye                     | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>0  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)         75         35         40         660         125         112   |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>ROGRAMME NA<br>BJECTIVE: TO P<br>UTCOME: IMPR<br>ub Programme<br>ub Programme | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate<br>change<br>Funds raised for financing cli-<br>mate resilience investments.<br>ME; MINERAL MANAGEMENT A<br>ROMOTE SUSTAINABLE UTILIZ<br>OVED HOUSEHOLD INCOME AN<br>Key Output<br>Artisanal mining sites mapped<br>Safe mining practices | SERVICES     CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI     D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO     Key Performance Indicators     No. of plans, strategies, reports,     regulations and guidelines     developed and reviewed     No. of climate change action     consultations, deliberations and     participatory monitoring sessions     inplemented and held     No. of climate change capacity     building activities and sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate resilience projects     formulated and implemented in     the wards     No. of Disaster response centers     and early warning systems     developed     No. of climate Innovations and     climate actionalized     No. of climate Innovations and     climate action award schemes     financed     No. of Carbon credit trading     projects developed, certified and     implemented     ND MARKETING SERVICES     ATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES F     D LIVELIHOOD     Key Performance Indicators     No. of miners trained on mining     best practices  | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG | CTS OF CL<br>Yes<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>-<br>CONOMIC<br>Fec<br>Target<br>2<br>2000<br>No      | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25<br>25                               | ANGE         Ye           Target         Ye           1arget         1           1         1           2         3           5         1           5         1           7         1           7         1           7         1           7         1           7         1           7         1           7         1           1         1           1         1           2000 No         1 | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>50<br>25<br>50<br>ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>15 M<br>10 M | Ye       Target         3       3         16       12         40       2         2       2         5       1         Target       -         2000       No | 23       3         Cost<br>(Million)       10         10       7         7       8         132       2         20       2         50       50         20       2         50       7         20       2         50       7         20       2         50       7         20       2         50       7         10 M       10 | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5           0           Ye           Target           -           2000 No | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10<br>25<br>0<br>0               | Target         2         16         12         40         2         5         0         Target         Ye         Target | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>0  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)           75           35           40           660           125           112           Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)           15 M           50 M |
| BJECTIVE: TO D<br>UTCOME: ENHA<br>ub Programme<br>limate Change<br>overnance<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>limate Change<br>tainstreaming<br>ROGRAMME NA<br>BJECTIVE: TO P<br>UTCOME: IMPR<br>ub Programme<br>ub Programme | ELIVER LOCALLY LED CLIMATE<br>NCED ADAPTIVE CAPACITY AN<br>Key Output<br>Climate change Institutional<br>and Governance framework<br>enhanced and strengthened in<br>the County.<br>Mechanisms for citizens en-<br>gagement in social resilience<br>developed and implemented<br>Climate change mitigation<br>and adaptation actions<br>implemented in the County to<br>enhance resilience to climate<br>change<br>Funds raised for financing cli-<br>mate resilience investments.<br>ME; MINERAL MANAGEMENT A<br>ROMOTE SUSTAINABLE UTILIZ<br>OVED HOUSEHOLD INCOME AN<br>Key Output   | SERVICES     CHANGE RESILIENCE ACTIONS AI     D RESILIENCE OF THE COUNTY TO     Key Performance Indicators     No. of plans, strategies, reports,     regulations and guidelines     developed and reviewed     No. of climate change action     consultations, deliberations and     participatory monitoring sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate change capacity     building activities and sessions     implemented and held     No. of climate response centers     and early warning systems     developed     No. of Disaster response centers     and early warning systems     developed     No. of climate the change     resilience hubs and nodes estab-     lished and operationalized     No. of climate innovations and     limate innovations and     limate innovations and     limate innovations     No. of Carbon credit trading     projects developed, certified and     implemented     ND MARKETING SERVICES     ATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES F     D LIVELIHOOD     Key Performance Indicators     No. of mineral sites identified     No. of miners trained on mining | THE IMPA<br>Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 13<br>SDG | CTS OF CL<br>Yer<br>Target<br>4<br>16<br>12<br>40<br>2<br>2<br>3<br>3<br>5<br>1<br>1<br>-ECONOMIC<br>Yer<br>Target<br>-2000                        | IMATE CH<br>ar 1<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>17<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>12<br>25<br>12<br>25<br>12<br>C DEVELO  | ANGE         Ye           Target         Ye           1arget         12           12         14           2         3           5         1           PMENT         Ye           Target         Ye           1 No         1  | ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>20<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>50<br>25<br>50<br>50<br>ar 2<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>15 M          | Y         Target         3         16         12         40         2         2         5         1         Y         Target         -         2000       | ar 3<br>Cost<br>(Million)<br>10<br>7<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>20<br>25<br>50<br>50<br>cost<br>(Million)<br>-   | Ye           Target           6           16           12           40           2           1           5           0           Ye           Target                               | Cost<br>(Million)<br>22<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>10<br>25<br>0<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>0 | Target         2         16         12         40         2         5         0         Target         Ye         Target | Cost<br>(Million)<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>132<br>132<br>12<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>0<br>25<br>0<br>0  | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M           75           35           40           660           130           125           112           Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M           15 M    |



۲

|   | DLICY, PLANNING AND ADMINI  | STRATIVE SERVICES  |                                |                  |                   |                  |                   |                  |                   |                  |                   |                  |                   |                              |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
|   | ERLY REGULATED AND CO-ORI   |  | SENVICE                        | Phovisiu         |                   |                  |                   |                  |                   |                  |                   |                  |                   |                              |
| Sub Programme   | Key Output  | Key Performance Indicators                                   | Linkages<br>to SDG<br>Targets* | Year 1<br>Target | Cost<br>(Million) | Year 2<br>Target | Cost<br>(Million) | Year 3<br>Target | Cost<br>(Million) | Year 4<br>Target | Cost<br>(Million) | Year 5<br>Target | Cost<br>(Million) | Total<br>Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
| Institutional<br>Capacity<br>Strengthening                          | Enhanced staff capacity<br>and environment for efficient<br>service delivery                              | Number of staff trained and<br>capacity build                | SDG 6                          | 100              | 15                | 100              | 15                | 100              | 15                | 100              | 15                | 100              | 15                | 75                           |
|   |   | Number of staff offices construct-<br>ed and operationalized | SDG 6                          | 1                | 15                | 1                | 5                 | 1                | 5                 | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0                 | 25                           |
|   |   | No. of WASH forums held                                      | SDG6                           | 4                | 1                 | 4                | 1                 | 4                | 1                 | 4                | 1                 | 4                | 1                 | 5                            |
|   |   | No. of stakeholder forums held                               | SDG                            | 4                | 4                 | 4                | 4                 | 4                | 4                 | 4                | 4                 | 4                | 4                 | 20                           |
| Policy and plan<br>formulation,<br>development and<br>dissemination | To enhance the regulatory<br>framework for creation of<br>an enabling environment for<br>service delivery | Number of legal frameworks developed                         | SDG 6                          | 4                | 12                | 1                | 3                 | 1                | 3                 | 0                | 0                 | 0                | 0                 | 18                           |
|   |   | Number of plans developed                                    | SDG 6                          | 1                | 3                 | 1                | 3                 | 1                | 3                 | 1                | 3                 | 1                | 3                 | 15                           |

#### **4.8 Sector Name: EDUCATION**

#### **Sector composition**

۲

The sector comprises of three (3) sub-sectors; Early Years Education, Vocational Training and Human Capital Development, Research and Innovation.

# 4.8.2 Sub-Sectors Mandates

**Early Years Education:** The sub-sector is mandated to implement curriculum programs that are play-based, child-centred and promote social and emotional development and support early literacy and numeracy skills; ensure EDDE teachers are well-trained and equipped to high-quality early childhood education; promote engagement of parents and families in early childhood education and; ensure early childhood education programs meet high standards of quality and effectiveness.


**Vocational Training:** The sub-sector is mandated to deliver programs that provide hands-on training, job-specific skills and industry certifications through classroom instructions, on-the-job trainings and apprenticeships; develop partnerships with employers and industry groups to ensure training programs are aligned with the needs of the industry and the labour market and; provide industry-recognized credentials to individuals who successfully complete vocational training programs.

Human Capital Development: The sub-sector is mandated to provide educational and training opportunities to individuals; provide employment services to help those individuals find and secure employment through job placement services, career counselling, and job search assistance; promote and facilitate lifelong learning opportunities for citizens through continuous education programs, skills upgrading and professional development opportunities; and, conduct research and development activities to identify emerging skills and knowledge needs and develop programs to support ongoing human capital development.

**Sector Vision:** A globally competitive education, training and innovation for sustainable development. **4.8.4 Sector Mission:** To provide, promote and coordinate quality education and training, integration of research and innovation for a sustainable socio-economic development process.

#### 4.8.5 Sector Goals

- To provide accessible, inclusive, and sustainable quality Education for all.
- Creation of appropriate legal, policy and institutional framework to deliver quality pre-primary education
- · Recruitment of more EYE teachers and VTC instructors
- Ensure quality content delivery and learning in all institutions
- Remodelling the county-based bursary scheme to benefit EYE and VTC subsectors
- Provision of teaching and learning materials in all learning institutions
- Construction of modern and fully equipped EYE centres in every public schools
- Establishment of a sustainable feeding programme in all EYE centres
- Introduction of child care centres



۲





# **4.8.6 Sector Priorities and Strategies**

 Table 31: Sector priorities and strategies EDUCATION

| SECTOR PRIORITIES   | STRATEGIES  |
|---|---|
| Building appropriate infrastructure for effective teaching and learning | <ul> <li>Provide reliable hardware and softwares for learning including high-speed internet in learning institutions</li> <li>Construct model EYE centres and VTCs in every ward</li> <li>Strengthen monitoring and evaluation of projects</li> <li>Establish Learning Management Systems that provide centralized location for course materials, assignments, quizzes and other learning resources</li> <li>Provide video conferencing and content creation tools that enable teachers to create quality training materials and share them real time</li> </ul>  |
| Providing for quality education   | <ul> <li>Employ EYE teachers and VTC instructors who are well-trained and motivated</li> <li>Implement curricular that are engaging and relevant to student lives, interests and career aspirations</li> <li>Provide appropriate and adequate teaching and learning resources and materials including tools, equipment and machinery</li> <li>Provide safe and inclusive environments that foster positive relationships and quality contacts between teachers and learners</li> <li>Facilitate positive assessments and provide feedback on learners' progress</li> <li>Provide multiple options for parents and communities to get involved in education processes</li> </ul> |
| Creating enabling legal, policy and regulatory environment              | <ul> <li>Provide support and resources to fast-track the development of key policies and encourage domestication of national policies</li> <li>Set up and facilitate structures to ensure implementation of established frameworks</li> <li>Promote transparent reporting of progress on implementation of those frameworks</li> <li>Encourage innovation and experimentation including use of alternative teaching methods, emerging technologies and new models of schooling</li> </ul>   |
| Establishing a sustainable feeding programmes in all learning centres   | <ul> <li>Develop the legal and policy framework for school feeding</li> <li>Align with existing national nutrition policies and guidelines</li> <li>Establish partnerships with local farmers and food distributors</li> <li>Collaborate with parents, teachers and other community organizations to ensure programme success</li> <li>Allocate adequate resources for critical supplies</li> </ul>   |
| Establishing child care centres   | <ul> <li>Conduct needs assessment to determine demand for childcare centres</li> <li>Map available spaces in institutions for their establishment once need is established</li> <li>Develop the necessary legal and regulatory frameworks</li> <li>Secure support of stakeholder so that funding and other support is secured</li> </ul>  |
| Enhancing bursary and scholarship programme                             | <ul> <li>Increase funding available and target even VCT trainees</li> <li>Expand eligibility criteria</li> <li>Streamline the application process</li> <li>Increase transparency about the selection and award processes</li> <li>Provide additional support to beneficiaries to make them successful</li> </ul>  |
| Promoting inclusion of PWDs   | <ul> <li>Develop and/or implement policies on PWDs</li> <li>Make learning environments and materials accessible to PWDs</li> <li>Make learning activities flexible to accommodate the diverse learner needs</li> <li>Implement inclusive teaching practices</li> <li>Provide sensitivity training to faculty members and students to make them aware of the needs and challenges of PWDs</li> <li>Provide additional support services to PWDs</li> </ul>  |
| Mainstreaming of climate change in learning institutions                | <ul> <li>Incorporate climate change and sustainability topics in the curriculum</li> <li>Adopt sustainability practices in operations of learning institutions</li> <li>Organize climate change events in learning institutions</li> <li>Engage learners in climate change advocacy</li> </ul>  |
| Improving enrolment in EYE & Vocational Training centres                | <ul> <li>Engage with parents and local community members and highlight the benefits of EYE and VT</li> <li>Address financial barriers through providing support to students who cannot afford</li> <li>Locate learning institutions in accessible and easy to reach areas</li> <li>Provide quality education that meets needs and aspirations of locals</li> <li>Create supportive and welcoming learning environments</li> </ul>   |

۲





۲

۲



# SECTOR PROGRAMMES

| OUTCOME: INC  |   |   |   | EVERY CHIL   | D IN THE C   | DUNTY  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Sub Pro- Key Output<br>gramme   |   | NTION, AND COMPLETION IN EYE<br>Key Performance Indicators  | CENTRES<br>Linkages<br>to SDG   | Y  | ear 1  | Ye   | ear 2  | Ye   | ear 3  | Ye   | ear 4  | Yea  | ır 5  | Total<br>Budget  |  |  |
|   |   |   | Targets*  | Target   | Cost   | Target   | Cost   | Target   | Cost   | Target   | Cost   | Target   | Cost  | (KSh. M)   |  |  |
| nfrastructure<br>development<br>service   | Adequate education<br>facilities in EYE<br>centres  | No. of modern EYE centres<br>constructed  | SDG 4.a   | 67   | 217M   | 71   | 201M   | 58   | 180M   | 58   | 180M   | 55   | 171M  | 960M   |  |  |
|   |   | No. of EYE classroom completed  | SDG 4.a   | 6  | 12m  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | 12M  |  |  |
|   | Integration of digital<br>learning in EYE.  | No. of EYE centres with digital<br>platforms  | SDG 4.a   | -  | -  | -  | -  | 8  | 16M  | -  | -  | -  | -   | 16M  |  |  |
|   | Mainstreaming of<br>wash programs and<br>sanitation in EYE<br>centres   | No. of centres equipped with clean and safe portable water  | SDG 4.2   | 180  | 25.2M  | 180  | 25.2M  | 180  | 27M  | 180  | 27M  | 180  | 27M   | 131.4M   |  |  |
|   |   | No. of centres equipped with<br>hand washing equipment  | SDG 4.a   | 100  | 0.3M   | 100  | 0.3M   | 100  | 0.3M   | 100  | 0.35M  | 100  | 0.35M   | 1.6M   |  |  |
| Quality<br>assurance<br>services  | Adequate teaching<br>and learning materials<br>in EYE centres   | No. of policies and legal frame-<br>works formulated  | SDG 4.7   | 2  | 6M   | 1  | 3M   | 1  | 3.2M   | 1  | 3.3M   | -  | -   | 12.5M  |  |  |
|   |   | No. of new teachers recruited   | SDG 4.c   | 195  | 5.85M  | 195  | 5.85M  | 195  | 5.85M  | 195  | 5.85M  | 195  | 5.85M   | 29.25M   |  |  |
|   |   | No. of schools provided with teaching and learning materials  | SDG 4.a   | 886  | 10M  | 886  | 12M  | 886  | 14M  | 886  | 16M  | 886  | 18M   | 70M  |  |  |
|   |   | No. of teachers trained (capaci-<br>ty building conducted)  | SDG 4.c   | 1700   | 16.4M  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 2300   | 18M  | -  | -   | 34.4M  |  |  |
|   |   | No. of teachers trained on special needs education  | SDG 4.5   | 80   | 0.3M   | 80   | 0.3M   | 80   | 0.3M   | 80   | 0.3M   | 80   | 0.32M   | 1.52M  |  |  |
|   |   | No. of field assessment report  | SDG 4.7   | 120<br>Visits  | 1.2M   | 120<br>Visits  | 1.3M   | 120<br>Visits  | 1.4M   | 120<br>Visits  | 1.5M   | 120Visits  | 1.6M  | 7M   |  |  |
| Feeding and<br>nutrition<br>services  | Feeding program<br>with nutritious foods,<br>portable water to<br>enhanced hygiene<br>and retention in EYE<br>centres | No. of EYE centres under the feeding program  | SDG 4.2   | 886  | 80M  | 886  | 90M  | 886  | 100M   | 886  | 110M   | 886  | 120M  | 500M   |  |  |
| ntegrated<br>nformation<br>management<br>system   | Online system   | Online system   | SDG 4.a   | 1  | 10M  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -   | 10M  |  |  |
| OUTCOME: INC<br>Sub Pro-<br>gramme  | CREASED ENROLMENT A<br>Key Output   | T THE VOCATIONAL TRAINING CE<br>Key Performance Indicators  |   |  | NTRES<br>Linkages Year   |  |  |  | fear 2 Year 3<br>Cost Target Cost  |  |  | Year 4<br>Target Cost  |   |  |  |  |
|   |   |   | to SDĞ  | Ye<br>Target   | ear 1<br>Cost  |  | ear 2<br>Cost  | Ye<br>Target   | ear 3<br>Cost  | Ye<br>Target   | ear 4<br>Cost  | Yea<br>Target  | ır 5<br>Cost  | Total<br>Budget  |  |  |
|   | Adequate facilities in  | No. of workshops completed  | to SDĞ<br>Targets*  | Target   | Cost   | Ye Target  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   | Budget<br>(KSh. M)   |  |  |
| development   | Adequate facilities in<br>Vocational Training<br>centres  | No. of workshops completed  | to SDĞ  |  |  | Target   | Cost   |  | Cost   | Target   | Cost   |  |   | Budget   |  |  |
| development   | Vocational Training   | No. of VTCs upgraded  | to SDĞ<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4  | Target222  | Cost<br>6M<br>30M  | Target-2   | Cost<br>-<br>30M   | Target     -     2   | Cost<br>-<br>30M   | Target       -       2   | Cost<br>-<br>30M   | Target<br>-<br>-   | Cost<br>-<br>-  | Budget<br>(KSh. M)   |  |  |
| levelopment   | Vocational Training   | No. of VTCs upgraded<br>No. of classrooms constructed   | to SDĞ<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7   | 2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>20   | Cost<br>6M<br>30M<br>30M   | Target           -           2           20  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M  | Target           -           2           20  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M  | Target           -           2           20  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M  |  | Cost<br>-<br>-<br>30M   | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M   |  |  |
| evelopment  | Vocational Training   | No. of VTCs upgraded<br>No. of classrooms constructed<br>No. of new VTCs established  | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4  | Target           2           2           2           2           2           2           2           2           2           2           2           2           2           2 | Cost<br>6M<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M  | Target           -           2           20           2           2  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M   | Target           -           2           20           2           2  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M   | Target           -           2           20           2           2  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M   | Target           -           20           4  | Cost<br>-<br>-<br>30M<br>100M   | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M   |  |  |
| levelopment   | Vocational Training   | No. of VTCs upgraded<br>No. of classrooms constructed<br>No. of new VTCs established<br>No. of child care centres con-<br>structed for trainee mothers'   | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5  | Target           2           2           2           20           2           7  | Cost<br>6M<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M  | Target           -           2           20           2           7  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M   | Target           -           2           20           2           7  | Cost           -           30M           30M           50M           7M  | Target           -           2           20           2           7  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M   | Target           -           20           4           6  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M  | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M<br>34M  |  |  |
| development   | Vocational Training   | No. of VTCs upgraded<br>No. of classrooms constructed<br>No. of new VTCs established<br>No. of child care centres con-<br>structed for trainee mothers'<br>No. of Hostels constructed   | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4   | Target           2           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost<br>6M<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M<br>5M  | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M<br>5M   | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M<br>5M   | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost           -           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M   | Target           -           20           4           6           1  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M<br>5M  | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M<br>34M<br>25M   |  |  |
| development   | Vocational Training<br>centres  | No. of VTCs upgraded<br>No. of classrooms constructed<br>No. of new VTCs established<br>No. of child care centres con-<br>structed for trainee mothers'<br>No. of Hostels constructed<br>No. of toilets constructed   | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7  | Target           2           2           20           2           7           1           10   | Cost           6M           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M  | Target           -           2           20           2           7  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M   | Target           -           2           20           2           7  | Cost           -           30M           30M           50M           7M  | Target           -           2           20           2           7  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M   | Target           -           20           4           6  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M  | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M<br>34M<br>25M<br>25M  |  |  |
| development<br>services   | Vocational Training   | No. of VTCs upgraded<br>No. of classrooms constructed<br>No. of new VTCs established<br>No. of child care centres con-<br>structed for trainee mothers'<br>No. of Hostels constructed   | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4   | Target           2           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost<br>6M<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M<br>5M  | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M<br>5M   | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M<br>5M   | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost           -           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M   | Target           -           20           4           6           1  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M<br>5M  | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M<br>34M<br>25M   |  |  |
| levelopment<br>services   | Vocational Training<br>centres  | No. of VTCs upgraded<br>No. of classrooms constructed<br>No. of new VTCs established<br>No. of child care centres con-<br>structed for trainee mothers'<br>No. of Hostels constructed<br>No. of toilets constructed<br>No. of policies and legal frame-   | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7  | Target           2           2           20           2           7           1           10   | Cost           6M           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M  | Target           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M<br>5M   | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M<br>5M   | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1           10   | Cost           -           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M   | Target           -           20           4           6           1  | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M<br>5M  | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M<br>34M<br>25M<br>25M  |  |  |
| levelopment<br>services   | Vocational Training<br>centres  | No. of VTCs upgraded<br>No. of classrooms constructed<br>No. of new VTCs established<br>No. of child care centres con-<br>structed for trainee mothers'<br>No. of Hostels constructed<br>No. of toilets constructed<br>No. of policies and legal frame-<br>works formulated   | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7   | Target           2           2           20           2           1  | Cost           6M           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           3M  | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1           10           -                           | Cost           -           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M  | Target           -           22           20           2           7           11           10           -                         | Cost           -           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M  | Target           -           2           20           2           7           1           10           -   | Cost           -           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M  | Target           -           20           4           6           1           10           -   | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M<br>5M<br>5M<br>-   | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M<br>34M<br>25M<br>25M<br>3M  |  |  |
| levelopment<br>services   | Vocational Training<br>centres  | No. of VTCs upgraded           No. of classrooms constructed           No. of new VTCs established           No. of child care centres constructed for trainee mothers'           No. of Hostels constructed           No. of toilets constructed           No. of toilets constructed           No. of policies and legal frameworks formulated           No. of new instructors recruited           No. of new instructors recruited  | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7   | Target           2           20           2           7           1           10           1           50  | Cost           6M           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           3M           1.5M   | Target           2           20           2           7           1           0           -  | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M   | Target           2           20           2           7           1           0           2           50                           | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           5M           1.5M  | Target           2           20           2           7           1           00           -   | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M   | Target           -           20           4           6           1           10           -           50                                      | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M<br>5M<br>5M<br>-<br>1.5M                                   | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M<br>34M<br>25M<br>25M<br>3M<br>7.5M  |  |  |
| Jevelopment<br>services   | Vocational Training<br>centres  | No. of VTCs upgraded         No. of classrooms constructed         No. of new VTCs established         No. of child care centres constructed for trainee mothers'         No. of Hostels constructed         No. of toilets constructed         No. of toilets constructed         No. of policies and legal frameworks formulated         No. of new instructors recruited         No. of new instructors recruited  | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7                                  | Target           2           20           2           1           10           1           50           34   | Cost           6M           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           3M           1.5M           20M   | Target           2           20           2           1           10           -           50           34                         | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M   | Target           2           20           2           1           10           -           50           34                         | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M   | Target           2           20           2           1           - </td <td>Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M</td> <td>Target           -           20           4           6           1           10           -           50           34</td> <td>Cost<br/>-<br/>30M<br/>100M<br/>6M<br/>5M<br/>5M<br/>5M<br/>-<br/>1.5M<br/>20M</td> <td>Budget<br/>(KSh. M)<br/>6M<br/>120M<br/>150M<br/>300M<br/>34M<br/>25M<br/>25M<br/>25M<br/>3M<br/>7.5M<br/>100M</td> | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M   | Target           -           20           4           6           1           10           -           50           34                         | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M<br>5M<br>5M<br>5M<br>-<br>1.5M<br>20M                      | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M<br>34M<br>25M<br>25M<br>25M<br>3M<br>7.5M<br>100M   |  |  |
| development<br>services   | Vocational Training<br>centres  | No. of VTCs upgraded         No. of classrooms constructed         No. of new VTCs established         No. of child care centres con-<br>structed for trainee mothers'         No. of Hostels constructed         No. of Hostels constructed         No. of policies and legal frame-<br>works formulated         No. of new instructors recruited         No. of new instructors recruited         No. of VTCs provided with<br>teaching and training materials         No. of trCs equipped         No. of termly capacity building<br>trainings conducted in learning  | to SDG<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.a<br>SDG 4.a                       | Target           2           20           2           1           10           1           50           34   | Cost           6M           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           3M           1.5M           20M           25M                             | Target           2           20           2           7           1           0           50           34                          | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M           25M                             | Target           2           20           2           1           -           50           34                                      | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M           25M                             | Target       2       20       2       1       -       0       -       0       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       34   | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M           25M                             | Target           -           20           4           6           1           10           -           50           34                         | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M<br>5M<br>5M<br>5M<br>-<br>1.5M<br>20M<br>25M               | Budget<br>(KSh. M)           6M           120M           150M           300M           34M           25M           25M           3M           7.5M           100M           125M                           |  |  |
| Duality<br>assurance<br>services  | Vocational Training<br>centres  | No. of VTCs upgraded         No. of classrooms constructed         No. of child care centres constructed for trainee mothers'         No. of Hostels constructed         No. of toilets constructed         No. of toilets constructed         No. of toilets constructed         No. of policies and legal frameworks formulated         No. of new instructors recruited         No. of new instructors recruited         No. of of VTCs provided with teaching and training materials         No. of termly capacity building trainings conducted in learning institutions         No. of field assessment   | to SDĞ<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.a<br>SDG 4.a<br>SDG 4.a | Target           2           20           2           7           1           10           1           50           34           3   | Cost           6M           30M           50M           7M           5M           3M           1.5M           20M           25M           1M   | Target           2           20           2           7           1           10           -           50           34           3 | Cost<br>30M<br>30M<br>50M<br>7M<br>5M<br>5M<br>5M<br>-<br>1.5M<br>20M<br>25M<br>1M   | Target           2           20           2           7           1           10           -           50           34           3 | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M           25M           1M                | Target           2           20           2           7           1           10           -           50           34           3   | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M           25M           1M                | Target           -           20           4           6           1           10           -           50           34           3             | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M<br>5M<br>5M<br>-<br>1.5M<br>20M<br>25M<br>1M               | Budget<br>(KSh. M)<br>6M<br>120M<br>150M<br>300M<br>34M<br>25M<br>25M<br>25M<br>3M<br>7.5M<br>100M<br>125M<br>5M   |  |  |
| A line of the services of the | Vocational Training<br>centres  | No. of VTCs upgraded         No. of classrooms constructed         No. of new VTCs established         No. of child care centres constructed for trainee mothers'         No. of Hostels constructed         No. of toilets constructed         No. of toilets constructed         No. of policies and legal frameworks formulated         No. of new instructors recruited         No. of NCS provided with teaching and training materials         No. of VTCs equipped         No. of termly capacity building trainings conducted in learning institutions         No. of field assessment carried out         No. of obsentionation the motion of the set of t | to SDĞ<br>Targets*<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.5<br>SDG 4.4<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.7<br>SDG 4.a<br>SDG 4.a<br>SDG 4.2<br>SDG 4.7 | Target       2       20       2       1       10       1       50       34       34       3  | Cost           6M           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           3M           1.5M           20M           25M           1M           0.6M | Target           2           20           2           7           1           0           50           34           3           3  | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M           25M           1M           0.6M | Target           2           20           2           7           1           0           50           34           3           3  | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M           25M           1M           0.6M | Target           2           20           2           1           -           10           -           50           34           3           3   | Cost           30M           30M           50M           7M           5M           5M           1.5M           20M           25M           1M           0.6M | Target           -           20           4           6           1           10           -           50           34           3           3 | Cost<br>-<br>30M<br>100M<br>6M<br>5M<br>5M<br>5M<br>-<br>1.5M<br>20M<br>25M<br>1M<br>0.6M | Budget<br>(KSh. M)           6M           120M           150M           300M           34M           25M           25M           3M           7.5M           100M           125M           5M           3M |  |  |

۲



۲

۲

۲



PROGRAMME 3: HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT, RESEARCH & INNOVATION

OBJECTIVE: TO INCREASE EMPLOYEE JOB SATISFACTION BY ALLOWING THEM TO USE THEIR SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE TO CONTRIBUTE TO ORGANIZATIONAL OBJECTIVES OUTCOME: INCREASED PRODUCTIVITY AND INCOME LEVELS

| Sub Pro- | Key Output                        | Key Performance Indicators  | Linkages           | Planned Targets and Indicative Budget (KSh. M) |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |      | Total               |
|----------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|---------------------|
| gramme   |                                   |   | to SDG<br>Targets* | Year 1   |      | Year 2 |      | Year 3 |      | Year 4 |      | Year 5 |      | Budget<br>(KSh. M)* |
|          |                                   |   | .u.gotb            | Target   | Cost | Target | Cost | Target | Cost | Target | Cost | Target | Cost | (Ron. W)            |
|          | Employable skills developed       | No. of literacy and continuous<br>professional development<br>centres established |                    | 8  | 40M  | 8      | 40M  | 8      | 40M  | 8      | 40M  | 8      | 40M  | 200M                |
|          |                                   | No. of employable skills devel-<br>opment and career placement<br>cetres          |                    | 8  | 40M  | 8      | 40M  | 8      | 40M  | 8      | 40M  | 8      | 40M  | 200M                |
|          | Research and innova-<br>tion hubs | No of Research centres constructed  |                    | 1  | 10M  | 1      | 10M  | 1      | 10M  | 1      | 10M  | 1      | 10M  | 50M                 |
|          |                                   | No of Innovation centres<br>constructed   |                    | 1  | 5M   | 1      | 5M   | 1      | 5M   | 1      | 5M   | 1      | 5M   | 25M                 |
|          |                                   | No. of Libraries constructed  |                    | 1  | 5M   | 1      | 5M   | 1      | 5M   | 1      | 5M   | 1      | 5M   | 25M                 |

۲

## **4.9 Flagship Projects**

۲

#### **Improving access to Healthcare**

Homa Bay County health sector has in the past recorded very poor health indicators. In order to reverse these, the county prioritize elimination communicable diseases, improve maternal, neonatal & child health, invest in human resource, strengthen level 4 & primary healthcare services and expand access to specialized healthcare.

| Project Name  | Location           | Objective  | Description of Key<br>Activities                                | Key Output(s)       | Time Frame | Estimated<br>cost (KSh.) | Source of Funds                             | Lead Agency          |
|---|--------------------|--|---|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Upgrading level four<br>Hospitals                                     | In each sub county | To improve access to health care services                                | Upgrading hospital to<br>level four with equivalent<br>services | Hospitals upgraded  | 2023-2028  | 2B                       | HCG, National<br>Government and<br>partners | Department of Health |
| Upgrade the county<br>hospital to a teaching and<br>Referral Hospital | Homa Bay           | To upgrade the county<br>hospital to a teaching and<br>referral facility | Upgrade the facilities and services                             | Quality of services | 2023-2028  |                          | HCG, National<br>Government and<br>partners | Department of Health |

#### Lakefront Development and regeneration initiative

Homa Bay County has 72 km of Lake Front which the county plans to develop a network of well-connected, multi-use public spaces by orienting waterfront revitalization and enhancing quality of existing destinations along the lake shore. This will include planning of trees, development of streets, pedestrian connections, large parking lots and auto-oriented development.

The Homa Bay Lake-front Regeneration Initiative is to spur economic growth and development with clear spatial development framework with a business case that attracts investment from both private and public institutions

The key activities will include the formation of Lakefront Development Corporation, a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) that would promote public and private sector investment and development of the lakefront, spatial planning, feasibility studies and resettlement action planning and citizen engagement

Table 34 Flagship in Lakefront Development and regeneration initiative

| Project Name Loo            | cation | Objective                                     | Description of Key Activities   | Key Output(s)  | Time<br>Frame | Estimated<br>cost (KSh.) | Source of Funds   | Lead Agency                             |
|-----------------------------|--------|---|---|--|---------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| Lakefront Co<br>Development |        | To improve social and<br>economic development | Construction of a promenade     Green urban spaces/ parks and trees     Non motorizes transport     Beautification of the lakefront     Relocation of existing buildings/premises/facilities     Development of parks/beaches     Reclamation of Lakefront     Land banking | <ul> <li>Recreation<br/>parks created</li> <li>Fish markets<br/>developed</li> <li>Jobs created</li> </ul> | 2023-2028     | 2B                       | HCG, National<br>Government,<br>Lakefront<br>Development<br>Corporation and<br>other partners | Lakefront<br>Development<br>Corporation |

#### Waste management systems

Waste management is a major challenge in Homa Bay County. The County plans to develop an efficient and effective waste management system. This will ensure that waste is collected, separated at the source, reused and recycled, and that the remaining waste stream is destined to a secure, sanitary landfill. The waste management system is envisioned to generate new business and economic opportunities and providing broad environmental and social benefits to youth and women in Homa Bay County.

Innovative waste to energy technology will be adopted and installed. The effective sustainable waste management will create value from the waste stream Homa Bay County aims to transition the waste sector away from low collection rates, illegal dumping and uncontrolled



HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



dumpsites to affordable waste collection, recycling and composting, and minimize waste fractions that are finally disposed to a wellengineered and regulated landfill.

| Project Name                                     | Location       | Objective  | Description of Key Activities   | Key Output(s)  | Time Frame* | Estimated<br>cost (KSh.) | Source of Funds  | Lead Agency  |
|--|----------------|--|---|--|-------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Waste Manage-<br>ment System                     | Municipalities | To develop an effi-<br>cient and effective<br>waste management<br>system                   | <ul> <li>Assessment of waste management<br/>system</li> <li>Develop framework for municipal solid<br/>waste management transportation<br/>services, waste disposal solutions</li> <li>Integrated waste solutions</li> <li>Decommissioning of open dumpsites</li> <li>Waste to energy solutions</li> <li>Clean cooking and carbon trading</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Feasibility study</li> <li>Assessment reports</li> <li>Waste management<br/>solutions created</li> <li>Dump sites estab-<br/>lished</li> <li>Waste to energy<br/>created</li> </ul> | 2023-2028   | 2B                       | HCG, National<br>Government,<br>UNDP and other<br>Partners | Department of water,<br>Irrigation, Sanitation,<br>Environment, Energy,<br>Forestry and climate<br>change<br>Municipalities                |
| Natural Resources<br>Management<br>(NRM) Project | Countywide     | To support sustain-<br>able development<br>and use of the<br>county's natural<br>resources | Resource assessment     Formulation of NRM policiesDevelop-<br>ment of NRM plansImplementation<br>of NRM plans INR conservation and<br>restoration measures     Monitoring and evaluation I Community<br>engagement     Education and awareness creation  | Improved fish stocks     Increased forest cover     Reduced land     degradation     Mitigated CC impacts     Increased manpower     financial resources     Enhanced awareness              | 2023-2028   | 500M                     | HCG, National<br>Government,<br>IFAD and other<br>Partners | Department of water,<br>Irrigation, Sanitation,<br>Environment, Energy,<br>Forestry and climate<br>change<br>Other county depart-<br>ments |

### **Blue Economy**

۲

Homa Bay has a coast line of over 72 km and hosting more than two third of the Kenyan side of Lake Victoria. The lake provides opportunities for fisheries, aquaculture, shipping, coastal tourisms, offshore oil and gas energy mobilization and other blue economy related activities.

The aim is to become a significant contributor to transformation and growth while prioritizing the sustainable use of the lake resources for economic growth, livelihoods and jobs, and Lake Ecosystem health. This is possible through advancing knowledge on marine and aquatic biotechnology, environmental sustainability, the growth of Western Kenya shipping industry, the development of lake transport, management of fishing activities on these aquatic spaces, and the exploitation of minerals and other resources.

Table 36 Flagship project in Blue Economy

| PROJECT NAME                           | LOCATION    | OBJECTIVE  | DESCRIPTION OF KEY ACTIVITIES   | KEY OUTPUT(S)   | TIME FRAME* | ESTIMATED<br>Cost (KSH.) | SOURCE OF<br>FUNDS                                | LEAD AGENCY  |
|--|-------------|--|---|---|-------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| Blue Economy<br>Development<br>Project | County wide | To create sustainable<br>economic oppor-<br>tunities from Lake<br>Victoria and allied<br>water waterways and<br>wetlands | <ul> <li>Promotion of sustainable fishing practices</li> <li>Development of lake transport and support to the shipping industry</li> <li>Development of renewable energy resources such as wind around the lake</li> <li>Development and promotion of sustainable tourism activities around the lake</li> <li>Protection of lakefronts from erosion and storm water surges</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Increased fish production</li> <li>Improved lake transport<br/>infrastructure</li> <li>Protected lakefront ecosys-<br/>tems and conserved diversity</li> <li>Sustainable tourism projects<br/>around the lake</li> <li>Increased production of<br/>renewable emergy</li> </ul> | 2023-2027   | 2B                       | HCG, National<br>Government and<br>other Partners | Department of Blue<br>economy, Fisheries,<br>Mining and Digital<br>Economy |

## **Western Tourist Circuit**

The western tourist circuit is the less crowded safari circuit in Kenya with the main fascinating attraction in the west circuit is Lake Victoria the biggest lake in East Africa. Homa Bay County aims to be the most preferred tourist destination in the western Kenya region.

The county aims to rehabilitate and preserve historical sites, develop the lake front and infrastructure, develop tourism resources, facilities, and transportation solutions, develop tourism system that are attractive, accessible, good accommodation, amenities and activities.

# Table 37 Flagship projects in Tourism

| PROJECT<br>Name              | LOCATION   | OBJECTIVE  | DESCRIPTION OF KEY ACTIVITIES  | KEY OUTPUT(S)   | TIME FRAME* | ESTIMATED<br>Cost (KSH) | SOURCE OF FUNDS                                   | LEAD AGENCY   |
|------------------------------|------------|--|--|---|-------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| Western Tour-<br>ist Circuit | Countywide | To maximize the bene-<br>fit of county attractions<br>and improve visitor<br>experiences, visitor<br>numbers and benefit<br>generated for local<br>communities | <ul> <li>Improvement of transportation links</li> <li>Development of more quality facilities<br/>for accommodation</li> <li>Creation of unique attractions and<br/>activities that reflect local culture and<br/>history</li> <li>Organization of joint promotional<br/>activities under LREB</li> <li>Organize familiarization trips for travel<br/>agents and media</li> <li>Development of loyalty programs and<br/>incentives for return visits</li> <li>Creation of new products and experi-<br/>ences that build on previous visits</li> <li>Promotion of community-based<br/>tourism initiatives</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Increased visitor<br/>numbers</li> <li>Diversified tourism<br/>products</li> <li>Improved tourism<br/>infrastructure</li> <li>Enhanced marketing<br/>and promotions</li> <li>Stronger collaboration</li> <li>Adoption of sustain-<br/>ability</li> </ul> | 2023-2028   | 500m                    | HCG, National<br>Government and other<br>Partners | Department of Trade,<br>Industry, Tourism, co-op-<br>eratives and marketing |









۲

#### Industrialized agriculture and value chains

The County will focus on linking agricultural production to value addition through industrialized and E- agriculture, livestock and fisheries. The county plan to focus on value-added agriculture which entails changing a raw agricultural product into something new through packaging, processing, cooling, drying, extracting or any other type of process that differentiates the product from the original raw commodity.

۲

Project will be linked to economic value and opening of markets. Homa Bay County will develop products based on the farm produce in that sub county. This will include fish processing, potato processing, cotton processing and promotion of banana value chain in the county

| PROJECT NAME                                    | LOCATION                                      | OBJECTIVE  | DESCRIPTION OF KEY ACTIVITIES   | KEY OUTPUT(S)   | TIME FRAME* | ESTIMATED COST<br>(KSH) | SOURCE OF FUNDS                                   | LEAD AGENCY   |
|---|---|--|---|---|-------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| County Industrial<br>Park                       | Riwa in Kara-<br>chuonyo                      | To provide a<br>dedicated area<br>for development<br>and operation of<br>manufacturing<br>and industrial<br>businesses | <ul> <li>Generation of a master plan and design</li> <li>Mobilization of resources</li> <li>Development of critical infrastructure and services</li> <li>Creation of a management mechanism</li> <li>Development of marketing materials and offers</li> <li>Provision of shared services</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Increased<br/>manufacturing<br/>and industrial<br/>activity</li> <li>Improved<br/>infrastructure</li> <li>Enhanced<br/>business<br/>environment</li> <li>Increased<br/>innovation and<br/>skills transfer</li> </ul> | 2023-2027   | 2B                      | gok/hbcg/unido                                    | Department of<br>Trade, Tourism,<br>Industry, Coopera-<br>tives and Marketing   |
| Potato factory                                  | Rachuonyo East                                | To create stable<br>prices and ready<br>markets for the<br>locally produced<br>potato                                  | <ul> <li>Promotion of potato production</li> <li>Construction and equipping of a potato factory</li> </ul>  | Potato processing<br>plant established  | 2023-2028   | 100,000                 | HCG, National<br>Government and<br>other Partners | <ul> <li>Department<br/>Agriculture and<br/>Livestock</li> <li>Department of<br/>Trade, Industry,<br/>Tourism, co-op-<br/>eratives and<br/>marketing</li> </ul> |
| Cotton Processing<br>Plant/ Ginnery             | Rachuonyo North                               | To create stable<br>prices and ready<br>markets to the<br>locally produced<br>cotton                                   | <ul> <li>Promotion of cotton production</li> <li>Construction and equipping of<br/>cotton factory</li> </ul>  | Cotton processing<br>plant established  | 2023-2028   | 100,000                 |   |   |
| Silver cyprinid<br>(omena) drying<br>facilities | Suba South, Suba<br>North, Rachuonyo<br>North | To produce high<br>quality silver<br>cyprinid (omena)<br>for input in animal<br>feeds value chain                      | <ul> <li>Establish low cost/low carbon<br/>industrial scale solar powered<br/>heat pump drying stations</li> <li>Establish animal feeds factory</li> </ul>  | Drying station<br>established   | 2023-2028   | 50M                     |   |   |
| Fish processing<br>plant                        | suba south, North<br>and Rachuonyo            | To promote fish<br>value chain and<br>marketing  | Construct and equip 3 fish factories  | 3 Factories<br>constructed and<br>operational   | 2023-2028   | 100M                    |   |   |
| Oluch Kimira Irriga-<br>tion Scheme             | Karachuonyo                                   | To promote irrigat-<br>ed agriculture  | <ul> <li>Rehabilitate the water channels</li> <li>Operationalizing the scheme to optimum use</li> </ul>   | Acreages of land<br>under irrigation  | 2023-2025   |                         | HCG, National<br>Government and<br>other Partners | Ministry of Agricul-<br>ture and Water  |

| Table 38 Flagship projects | in Industrialized agriculture and value chains |
|----------------------------|--|
|                            |  |

# Affordable Housing and Slum Upgrading

Homa Bay County aims at providing affordable and secure housing for the residents of the municipalities. The county will also integrate the existing slums into the formal system, enabling them to enjoy reasonable basic amenities while also preventing formation of new slums by adhering to urban planning, provision of infrastructure and low cost housing. The county will focus on slum upgrading and development of affordable houses.

#### Table 39 Flagship Projects in Housing

| PROJECT NAME       | LOCATION   | OBJECTIVE   | DESCRIPTION OF KEY<br>Activities   | KEY OUTPUT(S)   | TIME FRAME | ESTIMATED COST | SOURCE OF FUNDS  | LEAD AGENCY   |
|--------------------|--|---|--|---|------------|----------------|--|---|
| Slum upgrading     | Shauri yako, Sofia,<br>Makongeni, Nyand-<br>iwa, Rusinga (Old<br>Town), A thousand<br>street | To improve living<br>condition in selected<br>informal settlement in<br>homa bay county | Improved access<br>to tenure security<br>and improve the<br>infrastructure | <ul> <li>Improved<br/>settlement</li> <li>Leases and titles<br/>issued</li> </ul> | 5 years    | 500M           | HCG, National Government<br>and World Bank                     | Department of Land,<br>Physical planning,<br>Housing and Urban<br>Development |
| Affordable Housing | Mbita, Homabay,<br>Oyugis  | To provide decent<br>and affordable social<br>housing                                   | Increased access to<br>social housing                                      | Social housing units developed  | 5years     | 2B             | HCG, National Government,<br>National Housing Corpo-<br>ration |   |

#### **Climate Change Investments and Resilience Building**

Climate change remains a major challenge to Homa Bay County whose main sources of livelihoods include fishing and fish trade, fish processing and agricultural production. In response, Homa Bay County will develop resilient hubs, implement climate smart project and carbon trading and develop resilient hubs and develop community's adaptation and mitigation plans. The county will plant trees, develop early warning systems and engage in agroforestry.





Table 40 Flagship Projects in Climate Change Investments and Resilience Building

| PROJECT NAME                               | LOCATION  | OBJECTIVE  | DESCRIPTION OF KEY<br>ACTIVITIES   | KEY OUTPUT(S)  | TIME<br>FRAME* | ESTIMATED<br>Cost (KSH.) | SOURCE OF FUNDS  | LEAD AGENCY  |
|--|---|--|--|--|----------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Renewable<br>Energy services               | Kiwa island, Ringiri<br>island, Ngodhe island,<br>Takawiri island                             | To equip the Islands with<br>power for lighting and<br>preserve fish                               | <ul> <li>Install solar light</li> <li>Develop solar parks</li> <li>River bank protection</li> </ul>  | Solar parks<br>established in the<br>identified Islands,   | 2023-2028      | 400M                     | <ul><li>REREC</li><li>Dream Global</li><li>County Government</li></ul> | <ul> <li>Dream glob al</li> <li>County Government</li> </ul>   |
| Gwassi Spring<br>developed                 | Suba South  | To increase access to<br>adequate and safe water and<br>sanitation                                 | <ul> <li>Protection of springs</li> <li>Distribution of<br/>portable water to<br/>water points</li> </ul>  | Increased and<br>equitable access<br>to reliable potable<br>water for domestic<br>use  | 4 years        | 170,600,000              | USAID-WKWP, County<br>Government                                       | Department of water,<br>Irrigation, Sanitation,<br>Environment , Energy,<br>Forestry and climate<br>change |
| Establishment village sanitation           | Countywide  |  | <ul> <li>Drilling and equipping of boreholes</li> <li>Construction of<br/>Bio-digester</li> <li>Construction of<br/>flushable toilets</li> </ul>   | Increased and<br>equitable access<br>to sanitation<br>services   | 5 years        | 150,000,000              | USAID-WKSP, County<br>Government                                       |  |
| Rusinga WP                                 | Suba North  |  | <ul> <li>Construction of<br/>intake</li> <li>Construction of<br/>treatment works.</li> <li>Construction of dis-<br/>tribution networks</li> </ul>  | Increased and<br>equitable access<br>to reliable potable<br>water  | 5 years        | 150,000,000              | County Government,<br>French Government                                |  |
| Investment in<br>Carbon Trading<br>Project | Public hospitals<br>and public boarding<br>schools and house-<br>hold in the entire<br>county | To invest in carbon offset<br>project for trading in the<br>international carbon trading<br>market | <ul> <li>Implement climate<br/>smart project<br/>and begin carbon<br/>trading.</li> <li>Develop resilient<br/>hubs</li> <li>Develop communi-<br/>ties adaptation and<br/>mitigation plans</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Reduced cost<br/>of energy<br/>for cooking<br/>in the public<br/>hospitals and<br/>schools and<br/>households</li> <li>Reduced<br/>carbon<br/>emissions.</li> <li>Enhance<br/>revenue<br/>generation for<br/>the County<br/>Government</li> </ul> | 5 years        | 500,000,000              | County Government<br>Development Partners<br>Investors                 |  |

## **County Governance and Management**

۲

Homa Bay County Government has prioritized inclusive governance with focus on public participation as the county engages and serves the people. The county government takes into account gender and other facets of personal identity; and when institutions, policies, processes and services are accessible, accountable and responsive to all members of society.

The county will focus on improving governance and accountability for effective service delivery while also providing quality services to the citizens.

| PROJECT NAME  | LOCATION                    | OBJECTIVE   | DESCRIPTION OF KEY<br>ACTIVITIES  | KEY OUTPUT(S)   | TIME FRAME* | ESTIMATED<br>COST (KSH.) | SOURCE OF FUNDS   | LEAD AGENCY  |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|-------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| County Integrity and<br>Accountability Support<br>Project.    | County Wide                 | Improved<br>Governance and<br>Accountability for<br>effective service<br>delivery.  | <ul> <li>Integrity sensitization training.</li> <li>Corruption risk assessment<br/>and mitigation.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Ethics and Anti-Corruption<br/>policy.</li> <li>Unqualified audit reports</li> </ul>   | 2023-2028   | 200M                     | HBCG and Develop-<br>ment Partners                                  | Department<br>of Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning |
| Construction of County<br>Government's Headquarter<br>Complex | Homa Bay Town<br>Sub-County |   |   | County Government's Head-<br>quarter Complex constructed<br>and in use  | 2024-2027   | 300M                     | HBCG and Develop-<br>ment Partners                                  | Office of the<br>Governor                            |
| County ICT and Innovation<br>Hubs                             | 9 Sub counties              | <ul> <li>Empower citi-<br/>zens and youth<br/>with ICT skills<br/>and access<br/>to business<br/>opportunities</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Construction Works.</li> <li>Procure necessary ICT<br/>equipment's for the hubs</li> <li>Installation of ICTequipments.</li> <li>Training of staffs mandated<br/>with management of the<br/>IT Hubs.</li> <li>Advertise nd award of works<br/>to be done.</li> <li>Project site visits.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Increased utilization of ICT technologies.</li> <li>Knowledgeable citizen fully utilizing ICT in their business and day to day activities.</li> <li>ICT research Hubs</li> </ul> | 2023-2027   | 450M                     | HBCG     Development Part-<br>ner (ICT Authority<br>and World bank) | Governance<br>and Digital<br>economy and<br>ICT      |


#### Table 41 Flagship Project in County Governance and Management

# 10. Social inclusion development program

Homa Bay County is keen on developing the talent of youths and engage the women in livelihood projects that improves their ability, opportunity and access to services. Towards this the county plans to develop an integrated and community empowerment programs.



۲



## 4.10 CIDP Linkages with National Development Agenda, Regional and International Development Frameworks

Adiedo

persons and en-

able them access

essential services

and interact

productively

Facilitation of Boot Camps

and Literacy Fairs

This section provides linkages of the Homa Bay Integrated Development Plan with the Kenya Vision 2030, and the Third Medium Term Plan, Sustainable Development Goals, the Constitution of Kenya, Lake Region Economic Block, Sectoral plans and other plans by development and implementing partners. Above all, all development programmes and development activities will be tailored to meet crosscutting issues such as gender, food security, disaster risk management, climate-change adaptation threshold among others.

## **CIDP LINKAGE WITH NATIONAL PLANS**

ment (ICE) Centers

۲

## **CIDP Linkage with Constitution of Kenya 2010**

The Constitution of Kenya, 2010 prescribes national values and principles of governance which include sharing and devolution of power. The Fourth Schedule delineates the functions of the national and county governments. A total of 14 functions have been devolved to the counties. The main ones include: county planning and development; agriculture; county health services; control of air pollution, noise pollution, other public nuisances and outdoor advertising; cultural activities, public entertainment and public amenities; county roads and transport; animal control and welfare; trade development and regulation; pre-primary education and village polytechnics; specific national government policies on natural resources and environmental conservation; county public works and services; firefighting services and disaster management; control of drugs and pornography. Emphasis is also made for the counties to ensure participatory development and capacities are developed at all community levels. The county governments are required to prepare the County Integrated Development Plans to enable prioritisation of socio-economic development issues at the local level. This is mandatory before the funding of county projects and programmes. The Homa Bay County CIDP is anchored on the county functions as provided in the fourth schedule of the Constitution.

# CIDP Linkage with Kenya Vision 2030 and Medium Term Plans

The Kenya Vision 2030 is the national long-term development

policy that aims to transform Kenya into a newly industrialising, middle-income country providing a high quality of life to all its citizens by 2030. Kenya Vision 2030 is a product of highly participatory, consultative and inclusive stakeholder's process conducted throughout the country and in all sectors of the economy.

ent Development,

Gender Inclu-

sivity, Cultural

Heritage And

Social Services

۲

The Kenya Vision 2030 aims to transform Kenya into a modern, globally competitive, middle income country providing a high quality of life to all its citizens. Kenya Vision 2030 is a product of highly participatory, consultative and inclusive stakeholder's process conducted throughout the country and in all sectors of the economy. The Vision is anchored on three key pillars: economic; social; and political.

The Constitution of 2010 and the County Government Act 2012 calls for county plans to be aligned to the National Vision and Development plans. In this regard, efforts have been made to link the Homa Bay CIDP to the Kenya Vision 2030 and its five-year Medium Term Plans.

# CIDP linkage with the Kenya Kwanza Economic Recovery Agenda

The Kenya Kwanza Government's Economic Recovery Agenda is anchored on a Bottom-Up Approach. The agenda is geared towards economic turnaround and inclusive growth, and aims to increase investments in at least five sectors envisaged to have the largest impact and linkages to the economy as well as on household welfare. These include Agriculture; Micro, Small and Medium Enterprise (MSME); Housing and Settlement; Healthcare; Digital Superhighway and Creative Industry. The Kenya Kwanza Government has placed special focus on increasing employment, more equitable distribution of income, social security while also expanding the tax revenue base, and increased foreign exchange earnings. The implementation of these interventions is expected to stimulate economic recovery to 6.1 percent growth in 2023 from the estimated 5.5 percent in 2022. The government of Homa Bay will work closely with the National Government and other development partners to lay down a solid foundation for the County's economic recovery, through industrialization, improving ease of doing business, wealth creating and improving health indicators as envisaged in the County's Vision Statement.





HB CIDP 2023 two.indd 97

08/08/2023 18:04:52



Table 34: CIDP linkage with the Kenya Kwanza Economic Recovery Agenda

| -  |   |
|--|---|
| AGENDA   | THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT OF HOMA BAY PLANS   |
| Agriculture and<br>MSME                            | <ul> <li>Construct fish processing plants</li> <li>Cotton ginnery,</li> <li>Fruit processing plant</li> <li>Potato processing plant</li> <li>Crop development</li> <li>Provision of certified seeds</li> <li>Implementing fertilizer subsidy program</li> <li>Extension services</li> <li>Value addition of various value chains</li> <li>Development of the Cooperative sector</li> <li>Integrated women and youth opportunity projects</li> </ul> |
| Housing and Set-<br>tlement                        | <ul> <li>Construct up to 5,000 Housing units across the county</li> <li>Regulate the cost building material</li> <li>Slum up grading</li> </ul>   |
| Healthcare   | <ul> <li>Improved uptake of health insurance</li> <li>Upgrading health facilities</li> <li>Availability of affordable medicine in health facilities</li> <li>Putting up health infrastructure</li> <li>Development of Human resource for health</li> </ul>  |
| Digital Superhigh-<br>way and Creative<br>Industry | <ul><li>County ICT innovation hubs</li><li>Integrated community empowerment centers</li></ul>   |

### CIDP Linkage to the National Spatial Plan 2015 – 2045

Nation Spatial Plan (NSP) 2015-2045 provides a national spatial structure. It defines the country's general trend and direction of spatial development, covering the entire 47 Counties and the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ). In addition, the Plan provides strategies and policies to deal with national challenges, including urbanization, regional imbalances/inequalities, rural development, environmental degradation, transportation, and underutilization of the massive resources available in the country.

The Homa Bay County CIDP 2023-2027 is focused on providing infrastructure, ICT, and clean energy; modernization of agriculture; managing urban and physical planning, industrialisation; tourism development and environmental management and climate-smart projects.

### **CIDP linkage with County Sectoral Plans**

The Sector Plans reflect the effort by the different sectors to improve the access, equity, quality, relevance, governance, and management in the various sectors. However, it represents only the first stage in the process. Substantial work remains to be done in translating the strategy into an Operational Plan (Action Plan) in line with CIDP and then executing the lines of action as implementation programmes. The sector plans indicate the area of intervention in terms of goals (expected outcomes), strategies, overall activities (expected outputs), progress indicators, targets by year, and cost factors. Homa Bay CIDP programmes are interlinked with sectoral projects in order to create synergy.

#### **CIDP linkage with County Annual Development Plan**

The county will implement its 2023-2027 CIDP through annual

development plans. The programmes identified through the CIDP process will be implemented by programmes, sub-programmes, and projects that will be anchored in the County's Annual Development Plans.

## **CIDP Linkage with County Governor's Manifesto**

The County Government's manifesto is anchored on eight main pillars that will ensure and guarantee the transformation of the people of Homa Bay County. The pillars under which this CIDP 2023- 2027 will implement its programmes and projects are Trans-formative governance; making Homa Bay County food secure and eradicating extreme poverty; provision of affordable quality health care; provision of quality Early Childhood Education, Technical and Vocational Training; industrialisation and employment creation; increase the supply of clean water at household level; infrastructural growth and development and exploitation of our natural resources and growth of the tourism potential.

#### **Governor's Manifesto**

۲

Table 35: CIDP Linkage with County Governor's Manifesto

|   | FOCUS AREA  | KEY COMMITMENTS  |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | Inclusive Gover-<br>nance prudent<br>financial<br>management<br>and Service<br>Delivery | <ul> <li>Create a transformational, efficient, and inclusive governance structure</li> <li>Streamline financial management processes.</li> <li>Modernise revenue collection, and assure financial accountability and make Homa Bay County free of corruption.</li> <li>Establish a" One-Stop-Shop" to facilitate access to all government documents</li> <li>Operationalise the office of the village administrator for each village unit</li> <li>Enhance public participation in governance</li> <li>Strengthen structures for sound financial management in the County</li> <li>Establish County Revenue Board</li> <li>Increase County's revenue collection by 60%</li> <li>Conduct a human resource audit and rationalisation.</li> <li>Develop a comprehensive County-wide spatial plan to support integrated and sustainable land use.</li> <li>Fight corruption in all its forms: "Don't want, don't do, don't dare</li> </ul>   |
| 2 | Health care   | <ul> <li>Provide modern health facilities, an innovative and effective medication supply system, and sufficient, well-trained and equipped health personnel to improve the healthcare system.</li> <li>Provide affordable, accessible, and high-quality healthcare by upgrading health infrastructure, such as elevating Homa Bay County Teaching &amp; Referral Hospital to a working, functional, well-equipped, fully staffed, and operational Level 5 hospital;</li> <li>Upgrading the eight Sub-county hospitals to fully equipped, adequately staffed, and operational Level 4 hospitals;</li> <li>Develop a Central Health Management System, and utilizing ICT for cost-effectiveness delivery of all health &amp; medical services (Digitization).</li> <li>Implement Mama Care Programme, pay the full 6,000 NHIF fee for indigents to achieve full insurance coverage and invest in nutritional care.</li> <li>CHVs will be regularized, registered, licensed, recognized, and remunerated straight to their mobile wallets.</li> </ul> |



۲

|   | 1                                |  |
|---|----------------------------------|--|
| 3 | Education                        | <ul> <li>Promote success in today's labour market and invest in Early Childhood Education (ECE) and skills development.</li> <li>Expand access to high-quality early childhood education, improve the performance of our vocational training institutions and assist our youth in acquiring appropriate skills on a national and worldwide scale</li> <li>Identify skills gaps and sponsor selected exemplary students to acquire such skills nationally or internationally.</li> <li>Establish the Governor's Scholarship fund.</li> <li>Construct and equip ECD classes in all primary schools in the County</li> <li>Establish children feeding programme</li> <li>Build and equip vocational training centre in every ward</li> <li>Provide internship opportunities for VTC graduate</li> <li>Utilize VTCs as production centres</li> </ul>   |
| 4 | Agriculture and<br>Food Security | <ul> <li>Enhance food security by investing in transformational agri-food systems for resilient livelihoods and food security.</li> <li>Improve access to affordable inputs such as seeds, fertilizer and chemicals</li> <li>Develop infrastructure for e-farming, including market information systems</li> <li>Promote agro-processing and value addition</li> <li>Support development of value chains such as cotton, pineapple, rice, sugarcane beekeeping, Hass avocado (value chain in every sub-county</li> <li>Support horticultural and floriculture farming through small-scale irrigation</li> <li>Improve access to affordable inputs such as vet services, artificial insemination (AI), and credit</li> <li>Establish a factory for low-cost animal feeds</li> <li>Support and promote value addition in the sector</li> <li>Promote dairy farming where it is possible</li> </ul> |
| 5 | Water and<br>Sanitation          | <ul> <li>Radically reverse the shortages and high-water costs and ensure all access, particularly for the poor.</li> <li>Enhance access to clean water for all County households and institutions and improve sanitation.</li> <li>Harness water resources in the County to the full benefit of our people. Our commitment is that no mother should walk for more than a kilometre in search of clean water.</li> <li>Develop a water and sewerage Master Plan</li> <li>Re-organise the operations of Homa Bay County Water &amp; Sanitation Co. Ltd (HOMAWASCO)</li> <li>Create rural water development company</li> <li>Improve access to portable water within a one-kilometre radius of every household</li> <li>Expansion and refurbishment of the sewerage system, including supply of 0&amp;M facilities</li> <li>Provide clean public toilet facilities in every centre.</li> </ul>      |
| 6 | Economic<br>Growth               | <ul> <li>Revitalise the Homa Bay Economy through expanding manufacturing, trade, and the blue economy to optimize its full economic potential.</li> <li>Create a digital economy that fully harnesses the power of technology and innovation to achieve the full potential of the fourth industrial revolution (4IR) and sustainable development</li> </ul>  |
| 7 | Infrastructure                   | <ul> <li>Invest in quality, reliable, sustainable and resilient<br/>infrastructure to promote agriculture, trade and<br/>development.</li> <li>Upscale investment in road infrastructure to<br/>enhance accessibility linkages between production<br/>zones, industries and markets</li> </ul>   |

| 8  | Environment,<br>Natural<br>resources and<br>climate change | <ul> <li>Place environment at the heart of county planning<br/>and development activities while enhancing local<br/>actions to combat climate change and its impacts.</li> <li>Harness nature-based enterprises to diversify the<br/>rural economy</li> <li>Increase forest cover to 10% of the total land mass</li> <li>Conserve water towers</li> <li>Establish a department in charge of forestry and<br/>fully implement the devolved roles in forestry</li> <li>Develop and implement public parks and open<br/>spaces management plans/strategies</li> <li>Conserve wetland resources in the County by<br/>enacting County wetlands regulations.</li> <li>Support rehabilitation and restoration of degraded<br/>wetlands, riverbanks and lakeshores</li> <li>Implement the County Climate Change Policy and<br/>Bill, and develop an Action Plan.</li> <li>Strengthen the capacity for county-level institutions<br/>to mainstream climate actions in all sectors</li> </ul> |
|----|--|---|
| 9  | Cultural<br>heritage and<br>tourism                        | <ul> <li>Homa Bay County is blessed with a plethora of<br/>natural resources and sites that have the potential<br/>to be exploited as tourism destinations</li> </ul>   |
| 10 | Youth, gender,<br>arts and sports<br>and inclusivity       | <ul> <li>Develop gender responsive, youth friendly and disability inclusive projects.</li> </ul>  |

# CIDP linkage with the Lake Region Economic Bloc Blue Print

Lake Region is one of the most densely populated regions of Kenya, with over 10 million people, which constitute about 25% of the population in Kenya. The joint development initiative arose from the understanding that strategic connections between counties with shared interests in a desire for mutual benefit can be an effective and intelligent means of increasing the possibility of creating notable development impact across several counties.

The Lake Region Economic Blueprint presents a one-stop shop for investors interested in investing in the region, particularly in the seven intervention areas of Agriculture, Tourism, Health, Education, ICT, Financial Services, and Infrastructure. The LREB creates access to new markets and expands access to existing markets not only in Kenya but the larger East Africa region. The region also provides access to transportation routes into the COMESA and SADC regions.

The Economic Blueprint presents the socio-economic aspirations of 13 counties in the Lake Basin Region and seeks to secure and shape the region's destiny. This Economic Blueprint is designed to guide development efforts by leveraging existing assets, addressing constraints, and defining key steps that leaders and citizens of the region can take to transform the shared vision of prosperity into reality. The counties that constitute the Lake Region in this blueprint are Bungoma, Busia, Homa Bay, Kakamega, Kisii, Kisumu, Migori, Nyamira, Siaya, Bomet, Trans Nzoia, Kericho, and Vihiga. The partnership between the counties is both essential and timely. It creates a practical framework through which county government efforts can be pooled to harness the abundant natural resources, build on existing strengths and address challenges.

۲





Table 36 CIDP Linkage with the Lake Region Economic Bloc Blue Print

| SECTORS            | KEY PROPOSED FLAGSHIP                                 |
|--------------------|---|
| Agriculture        | Establishment of an Agricultural Commodities Exchange |
| Tourism            | Creation of a Lake Region Tourism Circuit             |
| Health             | Establishment of Specialist hospitals in each County  |
| Education:         | Creation of Centres of Excellence                     |
| Financial Services | Establishment of a Regional Bank                      |
| ICT                | Improving service delivery through ICT                |
| Infrastructure     | Creation of a Lake Region Ring Road.                  |

# **CIDP LINKAGE WITH INTERNATIONAL OBLIGATIONS**

The UN 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals; The 2030 Agenda acknowledges the interconnection and complexity of challenges and considers technical and institutional requirements to enable comprehensive approaches - recognising that achieving global goals and targets cannot be addressed through single sectoral approaches alone. The key message is that different domains, for example, land, water, energy, and food, are interconnected. Thus, progress can only be toward targets if they are addressed in an interrelated and interdependent way. Accordingly, the Homa Bay County Government has institutionalised SDGs coordination within the Finance and Economic Planning department.

Table 37: Linkage to The UN 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals

| SDG GOAL  | HOMA BAY PROGRAMS/ INTERVENTIONS   |
|---|--|
| Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere   | <ul> <li>Promote diversified livelihood programs</li> <li>Enterprise development</li> <li>Establish revolving funds</li> <li>Agricultural products value addition products</li> <li>Market expansion</li> </ul>  |
| Goal 2: End hunger, achieve<br>food security and improved<br>nutrition and promote<br>sustainable agriculture | <ul> <li>Promote sustainable land management<br/>and land restoration to enhance land<br/>productivity, vegetative cover and effective<br/>water cycles</li> <li>Water harvesting and small scale<br/>irrigation</li> <li>Tree planting and natural regeneration<br/>of trees to combat climate change and<br/>reduce the impact of drought.</li> <li>Clean energy programs</li> <li>Promote Smart and sustainable food<br/>security programmes</li> <li>Develop water capture, small irrigation<br/>and flood farming capacities where<br/>sustainable.</li> <li>Empower women and other vulnerable<br/>groups to address hunger and malnutri-<br/>tion.</li> <li>Develop nutrition sensitive approaches to<br/>land, water and biodiversity management.</li> </ul> |

| Goal 3: Ensure healthy lives<br>and promote well-being for<br>all at all ages  | <ul> <li>Provision of quality and accessible health services</li> <li>Health systems strengthening and provision of UHC</li> <li>Community health strategy through appropriate legislation and financing</li> <li>Nutrition sensitive social protection and economic empowerment programmes targeting women and children</li> <li>Improve road and market infrastructure for improved access</li> </ul> |
|--|---|
| Goal 4: Ensure inclusive and<br>equitable quality education<br>and promote lifelong learn-<br>ing opportunities for all                  | <ul> <li>Improve access to Early Childhood<br/>Education</li> <li>Provide scholarship and bursaries</li> <li>Ensure stable food supplies to schools</li> <li>Subsidise school fees through bursaries<br/>and scholarships to ensure transitions.</li> <li>Construct EYE in schools</li> </ul>   |
| Goal 5: Achieve gender<br>equality and empower all<br>women and girls  | <ul> <li>Promote engagement of women in decision making on government budgets.</li> <li>Promote education for women and girls.</li> <li>Promote women empowerment and rights advocacy.</li> <li>Improve women access to business, land ownership, and assets</li> </ul>   |
| Goal 6: Ensure availability<br>and sustainable manage-<br>ment of water and sanita-<br>tion for all                                      | <ul> <li>Protect water and natural resources</li> <li>Improve household access to clean water.</li> <li>Promote Community Total Led Sanitation</li> </ul>   |
| Goal 7: Ensure access to<br>affordable, reliable, sustain-<br>able and modern energy<br>for all  | <ul> <li>Energy Smart Programmes</li> <li>Promote efficient and energy saving programmes</li> </ul>   |
| Goal 8: Promote sustained,<br>inclusive and sustainable<br>economic growth, full and<br>productive employment and<br>decent work for all | <ul><li>Enterprise development</li><li>Women and youth empowerments</li></ul>   |
| Goal 9: Build resilient<br>infrastructure, promote<br>inclusive and sustainable<br>industrialisation and foster<br>innovation.           | <ul> <li>Opening and maintenance of roads</li> <li>Promote Industrialisation</li> </ul>   |
| Goal 10: Reduce inequality within and among countries  | Linkages with programmes  |
| Goal 11: Make cities<br>and human settlements<br>inclusive, safe, resilient and<br>sustainable   | Spatial planning  |
| Goal 12: Ensure sustainable<br>consumption and produc-<br>tion patterns  | Food security and production systems  |
| Goal 13: Take urgent action<br>to combat climate change<br>and its impacts   | Climate change awareness, mitigation     and disaster risk reduction  |



۲



08/08/2023 18:05:02

۲

| Goal 14: Conserve and<br>sustainably use the oceans,<br>seas and marine resources<br>for sustainable development   | Blue economy programmes  |
|--|--|
| Goal 15: Protect, restore<br>and promote sustainable<br>use of terrestrial ecosys-<br>tems, sustainably manage<br>forests, combat desertifica-<br>tion, and halt and reverse<br>land degradation and halt<br>biodiversity loss | <ul> <li>Afforestation</li> <li>Planting of trees</li> </ul>   |
| Goal 16: Promote peaceful<br>and inclusive societies for<br>sustainable development,<br>provide access to justice<br>for all and build effective,<br>accountable and inclusive<br>institutions at all levels                   | Civic education and public participation   |
| Goal 17. Strengthen the<br>means of implementation<br>and revitalise the global<br>partnership for sustainable<br>development  | <ul> <li>Promote partnerships and coherence<br/>among investors and projects in Homa<br/>Bay County</li> </ul> |

# **CIDP linkage with Agenda 2063**

Agenda 2063 envisions an "integrated, prosperous, and peaceful Africa, driven by its citizens and representing a dynamic force in the international arena." The Agenda seeks to strategically exploit existing opportunities available to ensure Africa's positive socio-economic transformation in the next 50 years. These aspirations inspired Homa Bay County Integrated Development Plan 2023-2027 Departmental Visions and Missions.

#### **CIDP linkage with Paris Agreement on Climate Change, 2015**

The Paris Agreement is a legally binding international treaty on climate change. It was adopted by 196 Parties at COP-21 in Paris on December 12, 2015, and entered into force on November 4, 2016. Its goal is to limit global warming to below 2, preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius, compared to pre-industrial levels. Homa Bay County CIDP prioritises the mainstreaming of climate change programmes in all the programmes in the different sectors.

#### **CIDP linkage with EAC Vision 2050**

Vision 2050 lays out broad East African perspectives in which the region optimizes the utility of its resources to narrow the gap in terms of social well-being and productivity. The EAC Vision 2050 is the region's effort to consolidate momentum toward regional integration that will position it for better assimilation of the Sustainable Development Goals that have arisen from the Common African Position on the Post-2015 Development Agenda.

The Vision 2050 is to enhance transformation for growth and development, move the community to a higher income cohort, and subsequently achieve an upper middle-income status by creating a conducive environment for investment, expanding its production capacity, and widening its exports, both by composition and value. In this third CIDP, Homa Bay has identified pillars and enablers integral to long-term transformation, value addition and economic growth, infrastructure and transport, energy and information technology, and industrialisation.

### **CIDP linkage with ICPD25 Kenya Commitments**

۲

Homa Bay County CIDP recognises the significance of planning for reproductive health and Universal Health Cover using innovative technology, ensuring universal access to friendly quality reproductive health services, eliminating preventable maternal and newborn mortality, mother-to-child transmission of HIV, increasing health sector financing to 15 percent of the total budget, improve social protection investment among others. The County Government of Homa Bay plans to eliminate all forms of gender-based violence, gender, and other forms of discrimination while ensuring universal access to quality reproductive health services.

# CIDP linkage with Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015 – 2030.

The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 was adopted at the Third UN World Conference in Sendai, Japan, on March 18, 2015. The Sendai Framework is the successor instrument to the Hyogo Framework for Action (HFA) 2005-2015 that sought to build the resilience of nations and communities to disasters. The Sendai Framework is built on elements aimed at ensuring continuity with the work done by States and other stakeholders under the HFA and introduced several innovations emphasizing disaster risk management instead of conventional disaster management. Taking into account the experience gained through the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action, the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction focuses on actions within and across sectors by states at local, national, regional, and global levels, four priority areas, namely.

- Priority 1: Understanding disaster risk;
- Priority 2: Strengthening disaster risk governance to manage disaster risk;
- Priority 3: Investing in disaster risk reduction for resilience; and
- Priority 4: Enhancing disaster preparedness for effective response and to "Build Back Better" in recovery, rehabilitation, and reconstruction.

The development of Homa Bay CIDP 2023-2027 was informed by the four priority areas in the Sendai Framework for DRR. Specifically, initiatives and interventions were developed to ensure an enhanced understanding of disasters, adequate preparedness, timely response, effective management, and recovery from the possible disaster were incorporated in the proposed programs and projects.



HB CIDP 2023 two.indd 101



Table 38: Linkage with Kenya Vision 2030, other plans and international obligations

| NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, AGEN-<br>DA/REGIONAL/INTERNATIONAL<br>OBLIGATIONS | ASPIRATIONS/GOALS  | COUNTY GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS/INTERVENTIONS  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Kenya Vision 2030/ Medium Term Plan                                     | Economic pillar: Sustained economic growth of 10% Per annum  | Trade and economic growth, enterprise development, agro-processing, value ad-<br>dition                    |  |  |
|   | Political pillar: Issue-based, people oriented, result centred and accountable democratic political system       | Civic education and Public participation, governance and accountability                                    |  |  |
|   | Social pillar: just and cohesive society enjoying equitable social development in a clean and secure environment | Social protection framework, women and youth empowerment   |  |  |
| The UN 2030 Agenda and the Sustain-<br>able Development Goals           | SDG 1: No Poverty  | Agricultural and food security, Implementation of social protection floors e.g., cash transfers to elderly |  |  |
|   | SDG 2- Zero Hunger   | Smart agriculture, Supporting small scale irrigation, School feeding intervention tar-<br>geting ECDE      |  |  |
|   | SDG 3 - Good Health & Wellbeing  | Fully operationalised health facilities Increasing patient to health personnel ratio                       |  |  |
|   | SDG 4- Education   | EYE centres, Functional Vocational Training Institutes   |  |  |
|   | SDG 5- Women and Girls   | Women and girl's empowerment.  |  |  |
|   | SDG-6 Water  | Water, sanitation and natural resource management  |  |  |
|   | SDG 7- Sustainable Energy  | Clean Energy Programmes  |  |  |
|   | SDG 8-Sustainable economic growth  | Enterprise development, Agri Business and value addition   |  |  |
|   | SDG 9 - Infrastructure, Industrialisation and Innovation   | Road development and maintenance, Light industries and value addition                                      |  |  |
|   | SDG 11- Cities and settlements   | Land use management, urban and markets development   |  |  |
|   | SDG 13- Climate change   | Climate change mainstreaming and mitigation  |  |  |
|   | SDG 14- Blue economy   | Blue economy programmes  |  |  |
| Africa's Agenda 2063  | Goal 2: World class infrastructure   | Road improvement, ICT and innovation   |  |  |
|   | Goal 6: Blue/Ocean economy for accelerated eco-<br>nomic growth  | Sustainable exploitation of resources in the oceans, rivers and lakes Conservation of water bodies         |  |  |
|   | Poverty eradication ad modern agriculture  | Value addition to agricultural produce, Adoption of modern technology into agricul-<br>tural practices,    |  |  |
|   | High standard of living, and quality of life, sound health and well-being  | Improved access to health, affordable healthcare and nutrition programs                                    |  |  |
|   | Management of water resources  | Water resource management, agroforestry, tree planning and smart agriculture, irrigation                   |  |  |
| Paris Agreement on Climate Change, 2015                                 | Climate change   | Climate change mainstreaming, tree planting and agroforestry   |  |  |
| EAC Vision 2050   | Transformation and development   | Economic growth, infrastructure, innovation and technology   |  |  |
| ICPD25 Kenya Commitments  | Reproductive health  | Reproductive health programs, health financing,  |  |  |
| Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Re-<br>duction 2015 – 2030           | Disaster risk reduction  | Disaster risk management, preparedness reduction and prevention  |  |  |



۲



۲



## 4.11 Cross-Sectoral Linkages

This section provides the cross-sectoral impacts of each sectoral programme and appropriate actions to harness cross-sector synergies or mitigate adverse cross-sector impacts.

Harnessing cross-sector synergies: Indicate what considerations will be made concerning harnessing cross-sector synergies arising from possible programme impacts.

Mitigating adverse cross-sector impacts: State the mitigation measures adopted to avoid or manage potential negative cross-sector impacts.

The cross-sectoral impacts and the mitigation measures are presented in the format indicated in Table 39.

#### Table 39: Cross-Sectoral Linkages

| PROGRAMME NAME   | LINKED SECTOR(S)   | CROSS-SECTOR IMPACT  |   | MEASURES TO HARNESS OR   |
|--|--|--|---|--|
|  |  | SYNERGIES*   | MITIGATE THE IMPACT   |  |
| Sector: General Econ   | omic and Commercial  | Affairs (GECA)   |   |  |
| Cooperative develop-<br>ment services                        | Agriculture, Social<br>Protection, Gover-<br>nance & Finance   | Sensitisation, formation and support<br>of co-operative movements for<br>special groups in the societies ; and<br>positive working environment at<br>work place; improved governance<br>in SACCOs                    | Poverty, Unemployment, affirmative action, market access  | Encourage vulnerable groups to establish SACCOs  |
| Trade Infrastructure<br>development                          | Finance, Education,<br>Tourism, Roads and<br>Public Works, Water<br>and Environment,<br>Agriculture and<br>Fisheries |  |   | Integrate market development with<br>urban plans   |
| Tourism and indus-<br>trial development<br>services          | Water and Environ-<br>ment, Finance, Roads<br>and public works,<br>Agriculture                                       | Tourism products are to a larger<br>extent environmental goods and ser-<br>vices. Aesthetics and sanitation con-<br>stitute environmental health which<br>coupled with good road network are<br>enablers of tourism. |   | Promote sustainable best practices<br>in tourism.<br>Invest in Eco-Tourism   |
|  |  | Enhanced county revenue  |   |  |
| Sector: Energy, Infras                                       | structure and ICT  |  |   |  |
| Energy Services  | All Sectors  | Supply of adequate power/energy is<br>an operational enabler for all sectors<br>for service delivery   | Inadequate power supply to key<br>installation, county institutions and<br>businesses<br>Insecurity discourage opening of<br>business startups and business<br>expansion; and high crime rate | Invest in renewable/clean energy<br>such as solar<br>Lighting up of the county   |
| Road and transport<br>Development                            | All sectors  | Access to essential Services   | Inaccessibility   | Collaboration with national gov-<br>ernment and other stakeholders<br>improve on transport infrastructure<br>Adequate resource allocation for<br>roads |
| Public works and<br>Infrastructure Devel-<br>opment Services | All Sectors  | Building design and development  | Poor building design and develop-<br>ment,<br>Inadequate office space   | Proper architectural designs and building approvals  |
| ICT, Development<br>Services                                 | All Sectors  | Integrated service delivery systems<br>and well informed citizenry   | Manual systems in service delivery,<br>poor tracking of implementation and<br>low revenue collection  | Automate and integrated county services  |
| Sector: Environmenta   | I Protection, Water and  | Natural Resources  |   |  |
| Water supply and<br>Sanitation manage-<br>ment services      | Environment and<br>Agriculture   | Improved access to potable water,<br>reduced water-related conflicts and<br>high agricultural yields   | Increased water-related conflicts<br>and low agricultural productivity  | Address way leave issues before<br>launching any project; strict; en-<br>forcement of laws governing water<br>services and management                  |





۲



| Environmental<br>management and<br>Forestry development<br>services | Tourism, Lands,<br>Agriculture   | Increased in forest cover; access<br>to safe and clean water; better<br>river discharge; reduction in waste<br>related diseases; and reduction<br>in activities emitting noise above<br>permissible levels        | Climate change, decrease in forest<br>coverage, rapid deterioration of land<br>cover leading to changing rainfall<br>resulting droughts and flooding, loss<br>of biodiversity | Collaboration with sector actors<br>and enactment of overarching<br>climate change legislation to provide<br>the framework for coordinated<br>implementation of climate change<br>responses and action plans  |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Climate Change Man-<br>agement Services                             | All Sectors  | Climate change Mainstreaming  | Adverse effect of climate change  | Climate change mitigation mea-<br>sures   |
| Sector: Health  |  |   |   |   |
| Promotive and pre-<br>ventive services                              | Roads and Education<br>and Social Develop-<br>ment   | Improved hygiene & sanitation;<br>public health and nutrition status;<br>Zoonotic disease surveillance  | Pollution of environment by<br>biomedical wastes, more cases of<br>drugs and substance abuse; water<br>pollution and deaths   | Enhance county health policies;<br>create public sensitisations on the<br>health requirements; collaboration<br>in liquor licensing; and training of<br>a community health personnel in<br>mental health and psychology                                     |
| Curative and rehabili-<br>tative health services                    | Roads and Education<br>and Social Develop-<br>ment   | Improve access to health facilities;<br>and awareness creation and<br>rehabilitation services for drugs and<br>substance abusers  | Loss of lives and poor living standards   | Provision of adequate means to<br>treat and dispose wastes and health<br>education; partnership among health<br>sector State and Non-Sector Actors<br>to establish rehab/ drop-in-centres<br>across the county and establish<br>specialized health services |
| Sector: Public Administ   | ration, and Intergovernm   | iental Relations  |   |   |
| Strategic Service<br>Delivery services                              | All Sectors  | Strategic Planning, policy develop-<br>ment and implementation, improved<br>coordination and service delivery   | Bad governance, and poor service delivery   | Strategic framework for governance<br>and service delivery, automation of<br>government services and capacity<br>development  |
| Personnel Manage-<br>ment and Develop-<br>ment Services             | All Sectors  | Competent staff and improved performance  | Demotivated staff and poor service delivery   | Strategic framework for staff hiring, training and retention  |
| Governance and Co-<br>ordination services                           | All Sectors  | Devolution support services,<br>information sharing and stakeholder<br>engagement and management  | Bad Governance and poor Service delivery  | Strategic framework for gover-<br>nance and service delivery, Public<br>participation and Private Public<br>Partnerships  |
| Sector: Social Protectio  | n, Culture and Recreatio   | n   | 1   |   |
| Social Welfare Devel-<br>opment Services                            | Health, Education,<br>Water and Environ-<br>ment, Roads and<br>Public works, Trade<br>and Cooperative<br>Development | Improved education and health care,<br>alleviating poverty and inequality,<br>enhanced productivity and earnings  | Provision of better social services   | Strategic framework for social protection including relevant policies and legislation   |
| Cultural Promotion<br>and Development<br>Services                   | Education, Tourism,<br>Trade, Cooperatives,<br>Roads and Public<br>Works, Environment                                | Improved sense of belonging, Pov-<br>erty alleviation and social cohesion<br>and better service delivery  | Cultural decay in changing social and economic conditions,  | In partnership with sector actors,<br>work to conserve and promote<br>culture and heritage  |
| Gender and women<br>empowerment;                                    | Health, Education,<br>Water and Environ-<br>ment, Finance  | Improved education and health care,<br>alleviating poverty and inequality,<br>enhanced productivity and earnings,<br>Improved household nutrition,<br>improved income, Markets for<br>products, Capacity building | Gender and Sexual based Violence,<br>inequality, food insecurity  | Gender equality laws and policies, assessments and audits   |
| Youth Empowerment   | Education, Agricul-<br>ture, Roads, Land,<br>Finance and Trade   | Training on ICT, agribusiness activi-<br>ties, access to finance for business-<br>es, Availability of lands for youths in<br>agriculture Increased engagement of<br>youths in construction industry               | Poor living standards among the<br>youth; dependency and high rate of<br>unemployment   | Adequate funding and curriculum<br>reforms to suit market driven skills;<br>funding to youth enterprises; rele-<br>vant training on political, social-eco-<br>nomic opportunities; training on<br>value addition;   |
| Sports Management<br>and Talent Develop-<br>ment                    | Education, Health,<br>Tourism, Trade and<br>lands  | Youth engagement in sports as a profession  | High drugs and substance abuse;<br>high rate of crimes; and increased<br>conflict between the land owners<br>(parents) and the youths   | Rehabs, seminars and peer training;<br>in collaboration with sector actors to<br>improve access to sports facilities  |



۲



۲



# Table 20: Cross-sectoral impacts

|   |  |                         | CROSS   | -SECTORAL LINKAGES (   | (PAIR)   |                  |   |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|--|--|------------------|---|
| Programme Name  | Linked Sector(s)   |                         | Cross-sector Im   |  |  |                  | Measures to Harness or Mitigate the Impact  |
|   |  | Synergies*              |   | Adverse impact   |  |                  | IIIpaci   |
| Strategic Service<br>Delivery services                  | All Sectors  |                         | and implementa  | Strategic Planning, policy development<br>and implementation, improved coordi-<br>nation and service delivery                  |  |                  | Strategic framework for governance<br>and service delivery, automation of<br>government services and capacity<br>development  |
| Personnel Manage-<br>ment and Develop-<br>ment Services | All Sectors  |                         | Competent staf<br>mance   | f and improved perfor-   | Demotivated sta<br>service delivery  |                  | Strategic framework for staff hiring, training and retention  |
| Governance and Co-<br>ordination services               | All Sectors  |                         |   | oort services, informa-<br>d stakeholder engage-<br>lgement  | Bad Governand<br>Service delivery  |                  | Strategic framework for governance<br>and service delivery, Public participa-<br>tion and Private Public Partnerships   |
|   |  |                         | CROSS   | -SECTORAL LINKAGES   | SPCR   |                  |   |
| Programme Name  | Linked Sector(s)   |                         |   | Cross-sector In  | npact  |                  | Measures to Harness or Mitigate the   |
|   |  | Synergies*              |   | Adverse impact   |  |                  | Impact  |
| Social Welfare De-<br>velopment Services                | Health, Education,<br>Environment, Road<br>works, Trade and C<br>Development   | s and Public            | alleviating pove  | ation and health care,<br>rty and inequality,<br>uctivity and earnings   | Provision of bet services  | ter social       | Strategic framework for social protection including relevant policies and legislation   |
| Cultural Promotion<br>and Development<br>Services       | Education, Tourism<br>operatives, Roads<br>Works, Environmer                   | and Public              |   | se of belonging, Poverty<br>social cohesion and<br>lelivery  | Cultural decay i<br>ing social and e<br>conditions,  |                  | In partnership with sector actors,<br>work to conserve and promote<br>culture and heritage  |
| Gender and women<br>empowerment;                        | Health, Education,<br>Environment, Finar                                       |                         | alleviating pove<br>enhanced produ<br>Improved house  | ation and health care,<br>rty and inequality,<br>uctivity and earnings,<br>ehold nutrition, im-<br>Markets for products,<br>ng | Gender and Sexual based<br>Violence, inequality, food<br>insecurity  |                  | Gender equality laws and policies, assessments and audits   |
| Youth Empower-<br>ment                                  | Education, Agriculture, Roads,<br>Land, Finance and Trade                      |                         | Training on ICT, agribusiness activities,<br>access to finance for businesses, Avail-<br>ability of lands for youths in agriculture<br>Increased engagement of youths in<br>construction industry |  | Poor living standards among<br>the youth; dependency and<br>high rate of unemployment  |                  | Adequate funding and curriculum<br>reforms to suit market driven skills;<br>funding to youth enterprises; relevant<br>training on political, social-economic<br>opportunities; training on value<br>addition; |
| Sports Management<br>and Talent Devel-<br>opment        | nt Education, Health, Tourism,<br>Trade and lands                              |                         | Youth engagement in sports as a profession  |  | High drugs and substance<br>abuse; high rate of crimes;<br>and increased conflict<br>between the land owners<br>(parents) and the youths |                  | Rehabs , seminars and peer training;<br>in collaboration with sector actors to<br>improve access to sports facilities   |
|   |  |                         | CROSS-  | SECTORAL LINKAGES H  | IEALTH   |                  |   |
| Programme Name  | Linked Sector(s)   |                         |   | Cross-sector In  | npact  |                  | Measures to Harness or Mitigate the<br>Impact   |
|   |  | Synergies*              |   | Adverse impact   |  |                  | πηρασι  |
| Promotive & pre-<br>ventive                             |  | Education A<br>creation | wareness  | School health pro-<br>gramme   | Vulnerability<br>of children to<br>communicable<br>diseases  |                  | ool health clubs  |
|   | Finance & econom<br>Ensure mainstrean<br>youth & gender iss                    | ning of<br>ues          | Data to<br>inform health<br>promotion   | Uninformed decision<br>making Inadequate<br>financing Ungendered<br>policies   | Create enabling environment<br>for the implementation of<br>CIDP III health goals  |                  |   |
|   | Financial facilitatio  |                         | Advanta   | Malautritian 0 front   | la como su ta di   | e e u e i el e u |   |
|   | Agriculture, Livesto<br>eries Ensures food<br>the county                       |                         |   | on food safety   |  |                  |   |
|   | Water  |                         | Availability of<br>clean & safe<br>water  | Poor sanitation & wa-<br>ter-borne diseases  | Enforce environ sanitation guide   | lines            |   |
|   | Transport, Infrastru<br>public works<br>Optimal planning &<br>tion of building |                         | Physical<br>accessibility   | Inaccessibility & poor<br>quality of construction<br>works   | Enhance integra<br>with the depart   |                  |   |

۲

Page 105

۲

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



# **CHAPTER FIVE: IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK**

۲



# 5.0 Overview

Implementing the CIDP 2023-2027 necessitates an approach that enables success factors to be grounded such that all steps involved in the implementation process are covered. The approach would specify how the implementation process will be structured, the resources required, and how those resources will be mobilized and managed. The system will also set the necessary pathways to ensure success in all activities appropriate for each stage.

Over the next five financial years, the County Budget required for the full implementation of this CIDP stands at KSh 47.8 billion. This amount is distributed among the eight MTEF sectors: Agriculture, Rural, and Urban Development at KSh. 8.436 billion; General Economic and Commercial Affairs at KSh. 1.694 billion Energy, Infrastructure, and ICT at KSh. 11.282 billion; Health at KSh. 10.158 billion; Education at KSh. 3,258 billion; Public Administration and Governance at KSh. 2.519 billion; Social Protection, Culture and Recreation at KSh. 1.337 billion; and Environmental Protection, Water and Natural Resources at KSh. 9.078 billion

#### **Institutional Framework**

۲

As a precondition for the successful implementation of this CIDP, there are clear organizational structures, rules, and informal norms for the service provisions that will be put in place. Such arrangements are necessary for enhancing implementation by, among other things, strengthening coherence, and coordination, avoiding duplication of efforts, and reviewing progress in implementation.

In this section, analysis is made not only of the system of laws, regulations, and procedures but also of the stakeholders with their roles and norms. That is primarily because the County Government of Homa Bay recognizes that various actors will be involved in the implementation of the third-generation CIDP, including entities of the County Executive, County Assembly, National Government, Development Partners, Private Sector, Philanthropy, Civil Society, Media as well as the general Citizenry of Homa Bay County. The table below summarizes the key players and their roles in implementing CIDP 2023-2027.

#### Table 40: Key Players in the Implementation of CIDP III

| INSTITUTION                   | ROLE IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CIDP  |
|-------------------------------|---|
| County Executive<br>Committee | <ul> <li>Implementing county laws, plans and policies</li> <li>Managing and coordinating county administration</li> <li>Preparing policies and legislation for consideration by the County Assembly</li> </ul>  |
| County Assembly               | <ul> <li>Oversighting the implementation of county<br/>laws, plans and policies</li> <li>Representing the interests of publics in the<br/>CIDP processes</li> <li>Appropriating budgetary resources towards<br/>implementation of the CIDP</li> <li>Enacting laws required for the successful<br/>implementation of the CIDP</li> </ul> |

| County Government<br>Departments   | <ul> <li>Formulating and implementing policies to<br/>operationalize the CIDP</li> <li>Regulating and monitoring the implementation<br/>of plans and policies</li> <li>Handling complaints about the CIDP imple-<br/>mentation activities</li> </ul> |
|--|--|
| County Planning Unit   | <ul> <li>Promoting harmony of CIDP with national and<br/>other county plans, land-use plans, urban<br/>plans and environmental plans</li> </ul>  |
| Office of the County<br>Commissioner                                     | • Supervising the implementation of government plans and policies  |
| National Planning<br>Office at the county                                | <ul> <li>Advising on policies and strategies</li> <li>Monitoring and evaluating of Public Projects<br/>and Programmes</li> </ul>   |
| Other National Govern-<br>ment Departments and<br>Agencies at the county | <ul> <li>Formulating and implementing policies to<br/>operationalise Kenya Vision 2030 and other<br/>national plans</li> <li>Regulating and monitoring the implementation<br/>of plans and policies</li> </ul>                                       |
| Development Partners   | <ul> <li>Providing budgetary and extra-budgetary<br/>support</li> <li>Providing technical assistance towards<br/>formulation, implementation and evaluation of<br/>the CIDP</li> <li>Implementing programmes in the CIDP</li> </ul>                  |
| Civil Society Organi-<br>zations   | <ul> <li>Promoting participatory engagement in and<br/>moral responsibility towards implementation<br/>of CIDP</li> <li>Implementing programmes in the CIDP</li> </ul>   |
| Private Sector   | <ul> <li>Providing goods and services</li> <li>Creating enabling conditions for growth and<br/>employment creation</li> </ul>  |
| Media  | <ul> <li>Relaying, limiting, expanding, and reinterpreting information.</li> <li>Creating and shaping public opinion</li> <li>Protecting public interest captured in the CIDP</li> </ul>   |

#### **Resource Mobilization and Management Framework**

The 2023-2027 medium-term priority of the County Government of Homa Bay remains to unlock the County's endless potential, which means programmes included in the CIDP will have to be funded. However, this will only be possible if the County Government can attract additional support from other quarters. It will require arrangements for securing new and additional resources and making better use of and maximising existing resources within the County. This forms the basis for establishing a resource road map, determining resource requirements and gaps to be filled, and how to mobilise the additional resources required to fill those gaps.

#### 5.3.1 Resource Requirements by Sector

Implementing the 2013-2027 CIDP will require a broad category of resources, namely;

 Human resources: This will come in the form of ideas and skills to design and implement high-impact interventions in the County. To attract quality human resources, the County Gov-





ernment of Homa Bay will identify what is available and lacking in talents, know-how, and the drive to ensure its development proposals are successfully implemented. Existing personnel will be identified and assigned additional responsibility to provide proper consultation on what can and what may not work; offer support and commitment to improved service delivery; encourage the involvement of partners in seeing county projects through to completion; and support revenue enhancement efforts of the County Government.

- 2. Social resources: This will come in the form of coalitions with external sources of support. For the County Government of Homa Bay, this will mean identifying and effectively mobilising social groups that can support its work, including women and youth associations, progressive religious organisations, opinion leaders, regional blocs, and other governments, as well as the UN System.
- 3. Physical resources: These will come in the form of tangible items that take up space and have value, such as land, water, soil, forests, rainfall, and even technological and mechanical tools that could be used to produce the much-desired finished goods and services. For the County Government of Homa Bay,



the right mix of equipment, materials, supplies, facilities, and even infrastructure will have to be identified and mobilized so that development projects can proceed as planned.

4. Financial resources: This will come in the form of cash or cash equivalents required to cover expenses related to production, expansion, or even fulfilment of economic obligations such as providing incentives for employees. Funds will be identified and mobilised not only from own-source revenue but also from Non-Governmental Organisations, Bilateral, Multilateral Agencies (such as World Bank, DaNIDA, USAid, UKAid, and UN Agencies), National Government (MDAs), Private and Philanthropic Foundations, Corporate and Academic Organizations, Community-Based Organizations and Corporate Sponsorships. The bottom line will be to create an enabling environment that maintains current contributors. Rather, more and more will be generated for short-term and long-term purposes. Equally, new contributors will be recruited, and the sources of revenue will be diversified.

Financial resource requirements by sector can be summarized in a matrix as follows:

| SECTOR NAME   | <b>RESOURCE R</b> | EQUIREMENT ( | KSH. MILLION) |            |            |           |                                   |
|---|-------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
|   | FY 2023/24        | FY 2024/25   | FY 2025/26    | FY 2026/27 | FY 2027/28 | Total     | % of Total Budget<br>Requirements |
| Agriculture, Rural and Urban Devel-<br>opment         | 5,437.4           | 4,835.1      | 4,816.1       | 3,750.6    | 2,642.6    | 21,718.9  | 19.06%                            |
| General Economic and Commercial Affairs               | 872.0             | 791.0        | 807.0         | 816.0      | 666.0      | 3,952.0   | 3.47%                             |
| Energy, Infrastructure and ICT                        | 8,302.0           | 8,096.8      | 8,339.9       | 8,263.9    | 7,405.5    | 40,408.0  | 35.46%                            |
| Education and Human Capital Devel-<br>opment          | 808.4             | 874.0        | 963.2         | 1,057.4    | 692.2      | 4,395.2   | 3.86%                             |
| Health and Human Services                             | 3,714.8           | 4,056.3      | 3,567.3       | 2,889.4    | 1,893.2    | 16,121.0  | 14.15%                            |
| Public Administration and Governance                  | 1,502.6           | 1,313.1      | 1,156.8       | 886.1      | 883.1      | 5,741.7   | 5.04%                             |
| Social Protection, Culture and Recreation             | 1,259.0           | 738.0        | 1,324.0       | 591.0      |            | 7,860.0   | 6.90%                             |
| Environmental Protection, Water and Natural Resources | 4,773.6           | 4,813.4      | 1,469.5       | 1,478.0    | 1,211.6    | 13,746.1  | 12.06%                            |
| Total   | 26,549.8          | 25,517.7     | 22,443.8      | 19,732.4   | 15,394.2   | 113,942.9 | 100.0%                            |

 $( \blacklozenge$ 

# Table 41: Financial Resource Requirements

## **5.3.2 Revenue Projections**

Table 42: Revenue Projections

| TYPE OF REVENUE                      | BASE YEAR<br>2022/23 | FY 2023/24     | FY 2024/25     | FY 2025/26     | FY 2026/27     | FY 2027/28     | TOTAL             |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| a) Equitable share                   | 7,805,353,300        | 8,128,387,250  | 8,525,468,241  | 8,951,741,653  | 9,667,880,985  | 10,441,311,464 | 45,714,789,593.30 |
| b) Conditional Grants                | 690,323,994          | 948,933,530    | 996,380,207    | 1,046,199,217  | 1,098,509,178  | 1,153,434,637  | 5,243,456,767.54  |
| c) Equalization Funds                | 131,603,304          | 143,447,601    | 150,619,981    | 158,150,980    | 166,058,529    | 174,361,456    | 792,638,546.82    |
| d ) Loans and Financing Arrangements | 0                    | 600,000,000    | 700,000,000    | 800,000,000    | 900,000,000    | 1,000,000      | 3,001,000,000.00  |
| e) Own Source Revenue                | 859,920,923          | 2,378,948,918  | 2,545,475,342  | 2,723,658,616  | 2,914,314,719  | 3,118,316,750  | 13,680,714,345.54 |
| f) Public Private Partnership (PPP)  | 0                    | 500,000,000    | 535,000,000    | 572,450,000    | 612,521,500    | 655,398,005    | 2,875,369,505.00  |
| g) Other Sources                     | 844,743,261          | 1,000,000,000  | 1,500,000,000  | 1,500,000,000  | 2,000,000,000  | 2,500,000,000  | 8,500,000,000     |
| Total                                | 10,331,944,782.00    | 13,699,717,299 | 14,199,717,299 | 15,752,200,466 | 17,359,284,911 | 18,043,822,311 | 79,807,968,758    |

Page 107





18,043,822,311 79,807,968,758

8,500,000,000

۲

#### 5.3.3 Estimated Resource Gap

| Table 43: Estimated | l Resource gap |
|---------------------|----------------|
|---------------------|----------------|

| FINANCIAL YEAR | RESOURCE REQUIREMENT<br>(KSH. IN MILLION ) | ESTIMATED REVENUE<br>(KSH. IN MILLION ) | VARIANCE<br>(KSH. IN MILLION ) | VARIANCE (% OF TOTAL) |
|----------------|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2023/24        | 26,669.80                                  | 13,699.70                               | (12,970.10)                    | 32.9%                 |
| 2024/25        | 25,517.70                                  | 14,199.70                               | (11,318.00)                    | 30.2%                 |
| 2025/26        | 22,443.80                                  | 15,752.20                               | (6,691.60)                     | 19.2%                 |
| 2026/27        | 19,732.40                                  | 17,359.30                               | (7,373.10)                     | 10.1%                 |
| 2027/28        | 15,394.20                                  | 18,043.80                               | (2,649.60)                     | 0.6%                  |
| Total          | 113,942.90                                 | 71,308.00                               | 30,703.20                      |                       |

#### **5.3.4 Resource Mobilization and Management Strategies**

Implementing this CIDP 2023-2027 hinges on the County's ability to mobilise sufficient, predictable, and timely financial resources. Consequently, the County Government of Homa Bay is intent on stepping up its policy initiatives to strengthen the mobilisation and effective use of its resources. These initiatives will form the bulk of the work of the Debt Management and External Resource Mobilization Unit (DMERMU) under the Department of Finance and Economic Planning.

It is a matter of fact that revenue mobilisation in the County has been well below potential, limited by structural factors such as low per capita income and a largely informal economy dominated by peasant agriculture and petty trades, which has implied a very low effective revenue base despite the relatively impressive growth profile of the County. Moreover, balancing investment promotion objectives and resource mobilisation needs has remained challenging. Consequently, a compelling resource mobilisation agenda is necessary. That agenda will involve strengthening revenue systems, expanding the tax base, addressing the challenges of the informal sector, and fighting revenue leakages. This effort can only be enhanced through securing adequate financial and technical support from all potential partners to strengthen revenue capacity-building efforts and improve tax administration in all priority streams.

#### 5.3.4.1 Strengthening Resource Mobilisation Systems

To ensure the County's resource mobilisation efforts are coordinated appropriately and achieve the desired results with a high level of impact, the County treasury will take several steps:

- 1. Establish a unit to coordinate and drive the resource mobilization initiatives.
- Select employees with specific competencies to provide appropriate input (e.g., strategic, technical, monitoring) to be part of the unit.
- 3. Dedicate time (and budget) to the resource mobilisation initiatives, and
- Periodically review progress and institute corrective or reinforcing measures as necessary

#### 5.3.4.2 Expanding the Revenue Base

Raising revenue to fund salaries, infrastructure projects, and devolved public services is one of the core tasks of the County Government of Homa Bay. However, a shallow and narrow revenue base has continued to undermine its prospects for revenue generation. As a result, the County Government cannot raise enough revenues to cover its financial obligations and economic challenges. Yet, based on demographic pressures alone, more revenue is needed yearly. Equally, more revenue will be required to improve the County's infrastructure, fight poverty and inequality, and improve health and other social outcomes. Some of the measures proposed to raise more revenue towards this goal include:

#### (i) Reforming revenue collection

Revenue collection remains a big challenge for all governments across Africa. Revenue officers are often accused of corruption and of selectively enforcing rules. Sometimes, people are asked to pay a variety of taxes by several different bodies, some of which employ coercion and extortion. However, it is the desire of the County Government of Homa Bay's leadership to institute necessary reforms to boost revenue collection. This includes building up the revenue offices' skills base, professionalism, and human resources. It could also mean the transfer of some revenue responsibilities to private parties, which collects specific streams in return for a cut of the take.

#### (ii) Improving the public willingness to pay taxation

Historically, most citizens are reluctant to pay taxes. The situation is worse across Africa, where revenue collectors are viewed as coercive and illegitimate. In addition, the high levels of corruption and mismanagement mean that people often feel that giving their money to the government is a poor investment.

Add that to the weak enforcement mechanisms that facilitate tax evasion, and a majority of citizens feel no urge to pay their taxes if they think that others are avoiding paying taxes. Given this background, the County Government of Homa Bay is focused on changing public perceptions of tax payment by:



۲

Page 108



Educating citizens in taxation and service provision through rallies, roadshows, newspaper adverts, radio jingles, and signs on public buildings; ۲

- c. Establishing effective anti-corruption campaigns meant to eradicate corruption and restore trust in government; and,
- d. Sequencing development priorities properly so that enhanced credibility precedes tax increases.

# (iii) Raising property taxes

One of the primary sources of revenue for the county government is property rates. Land and property prices have increased with increasing urbanization, rising population, and economic growth. They are constantly changing hands for vast sums, meaning there are more opportunities for the government to raise new revenues in property taxes. But this is only possible with modern rating and valuation rolls, the development of which could be vetoed by powerful vested interests that stand to lose if taxes rise. However, the County Government of Homa Bay believes such blockages will be surmounted once key players are persuaded that they stand to gain from the proposed property rating reforms.

#### (iv) Harnessing local innovation

The County Government of Homa Bay is focused on generating and adopting innovative approaches to increasing revenue. One such approach is to empower county entities to raise their own funds. This will enable them to identify solutions that will be more appropriate and sustainable in their areas.

#### 5.3.4.3 Mainstreaming the Informal Sector

The County Government of Homa Bay has realised that a large proportion of its private sector consists of small and micro enterprises that operate outside the purview of government regulation and taxation. These businesses are in the subsistence economy where they contravene – or are not subject to – some of a variety of rules and regulations, including labour laws, environmental laws, registration, and taxation. To reach out to them, the County Government will shift its focus not on workers but on the businesses themselves and their owners (including the self-employed) so that those that are more likely to have an income that is sufficiently high to have a tax liability are brought into the tax bracket.

Whereas the effort to tax them could increase the risk of relatively coercive or corrupt behaviour by tax officials (as is often the case), the County Government will pursue their formalisation (of which entered into the tax net is a central component) as this has been proven to have significant benefits for their growth. While informality has served them to avoid certain costs, it often precludes them from access to specific opportunities available to formal firms, including greater access to credit, increased opportunities to engage with large firms and government contracts, reduced harassment by police and municipal officials, and access to broader training and support programmes. Such formalisation primarily benefits from adopting more permanent production techniques and staffing.

The County Government of Homa Bay may have been previously dissuaded by the limited revenue potential, high cost of collection, and potentially adverse impact on petty trades. However, now it has realized the more indirect benefits of informal taxation in relation to economic growth, broader tax compliance, and governance. Therefore, despite arguments that the potential revenue yields are low, administrative costs are high, tax incidence is likely to be regressive, and tax enforcement risks will expose vulnerable businesses to harassment, the County Treasury recognises that the informal sector forms a large and a growing share of the Gross County Product, and thus represents a potentially significant source of own-source revenue for the County Government.

Furthermore, taxing the informal sector is essential to sustaining 'tax morale' and tax compliance among larger firms. Indeed, formalization may accelerate growth for some informal sector firms and may have broader benefits for existing formal sector firms. Finally, concerning governance, new evidence is emerging that the payment of taxes by firms in the informal economy may engage firms with the state and thus promote legitimacy, good governance, and political accountability.

The challenge for the County Treasury is to design an incentive mechanism through which informal sector participants get some legitimacy and enjoy public services in exchange for paying taxes. The County Treasury has therefore come up with policy strategies in respect of this: taxing indirectly through trade taxes (by taxing the goods and services that it buys and sells), expanding the reach of significant formal sector taxes (providing incentives for compliance, such as reduced rates or rewards to small firms that maintain adequate records), and developing specialized presumptive tax regimes (using a simplified indicator of the tax base to simplify recordkeeping for firms and estimation of tax liabilities by tax collectors).

The County Treasury will aggressively pursue the integration of the informal sector participants into the economic and social mainstream. This will be done by ensuring the informal sector participates in the development process and is represented in the decision-making organs of the County government through their trade organizations.

Equally, the County Government will pursue more accountability and transparency in its processes while imposing heavy penalties for lack of compliance. It will ensure fewer restrictions to formality, clarify legal and regulatory codes, and simplify procedures for collecting revenue.

#### 5.3.4.4 Plugging Revenue Leakages

One of the biggest obstacles to funding the government's policy priorities is the perennial and seemingly intractable challenge of revenue lost to tax noncompliance and improper government payments. And in this era of growing demands for government services and pressing budget challenges, few fiscal opportunities loom larger than reducing such leakages. One such measure is to combine data sets from tax, customs, and business registrations, along with external data from the banking sector, to target fraud and noncompliance.

Consequently, the County Government of Homa Bay intends to take the data-driven approach to map and track all paid revenue:





- 1. It will take the systematic approach necessary to deploy data-driven capabilities at scale.
- 2. Revenue officers will be exposed to building experience with the latest innovations.
- Only well-meaning revenue officers ready to challenge the long-held assumptions and practices that have yielded less revenue will be elevated.
- The revenue offices will be expected to build a mastery of rapid, small-scale tests that can push the boundaries of traditional organizational agility.

The rapid digitisation of consumer and business life is transforming how companies and governments conduct business. Digitisation creates a massive trail of data that supports more-effective revenue and payment programmes. The County Government will focus on using such data to reduce revenue leakages, subject to strong privacy constraints prescribed by policymakers.

#### **5.4 Asset Management**

۲

According to the provisions of Section 149 (1) of the PFMA, 2012, each spending entity of the County Government of Homa Bay shall be required to ensure that their resources are used in a way that is; lawful and authorized, efficient, effective, economical, and transparent. In respect of this requirement, each County Government Entity shall be required to maintain asset registers complete with listing every entity's physical resources, including dates they were purchased, present value, and location. For clarity, there shall be a separate sheet for each asset category, including office equipment, ICT/computer equipment, furniture, fittings & equipment, plant & machinery, and portable and attractive items.

For purposes of uniform and accurate reporting of Assets and Liabilities, there are standard templates for registering each asset category, including motor vehicles, lands, buildings, stocks/consumables, intangible assets, biological assets, infrastructure assets, subsoil/mineral assets, significant maintenance assets, works-inprogress, investments, cash, Imprests, contingent and other liabilities, accruals/provisions, account receivables/payables, pensions payables, assets movements, revaluation schedules, losses, guarantees and indemnities, leases, litigations, equipment sign-outs, asset transfer, asset handover, and asset reconciliations. For accounting purposes, use will be made of the asset references when comparing the value of the assets against county ledgers and even to calculate depreciation as part of the County's asset depreciation schedule. Ultimately those asset registers will be vital decision-making tools for asset verification.

#### 5.3.4.5 Leveraging Innovative Financing Mechanisms

The County Government of Homa Bay will adopt an innovative approach to funding its various projects, programs, and services while ensuring fiscal responsibility and sustainability. Those approaches will generate additional revenue, attract investments, and optimize the county budget. They include;

۲

- (a) Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs): The County Government of Homa Bay will collaborate with private sector entities to develop and operate public infrastructure projects. Through PPPs, the county government will access upfront capital, technical expertise, and efficient project management, while the county government can share risks and benefits with partners.
- (b) Impact Bonds: The County Government of Homa Bay will enter into performance-based contracts where investors provide upfront funding for a social or environmental project. If predefined outcomes are achieved, the government will repay the investors with a return.
- (c) Green Bonds: The County Government of Homa Bay will issue bonds specifically for environmentally friendly projects, such as renewable energy installations, energy-efficient building upgrades, or sustainable transportation initiatives.
- (d) Municipal Bonds: Municipalities within the jurisdictions of the County Government of Homa Bay will be aided to issue bonds to raise funds for public projects or infrastructure development. Investors will be encouraged to purchase those bonds, and the county government will pay them back with interest over time.
- (e) Crowdfunding: The County Government of Homa Bay will engage the diaspora community and beyond by using crowdfunding platforms to raise funds for specific projects or initiatives. This will help generate support and involvement from county citizens not necessarily within the county.
- (f) Land Value Capture: The County Government of Homa Bay will capture the increased land value resulting from public infrastructure improvements by imposing development fees or taxes on property owners. This will be reinvested in further development of those area In choosing which approach to employ, the County Government of Homa Bay will conduct thorough feasibility studies, assess







## **Risk Management**

Table 44: Risk Management

| RISK<br>Category | RISK  | RISK IMPLICATION   | RISK LEVEL<br>(LOW,<br>MEDIUM,<br>HIGH) | MITIGATION MEASURES  |
|------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Financial        | Inadequate financial resources                        | Stalled and/or slow imple-<br>mentation of projects<br>Poor service delivery | Medium                                  | Enhanced resource mobilisation through collection of own source reve-<br>nue and support from development partners   |
|                  | Late Disbursement<br>of Funds                         | Stalled and/or slow imple-<br>mentation of projects<br>Poor service delivery |   | <ul> <li>Prepare a procurement plan early to allow for departments to organise for financial requisitions from the exchequer.</li> <li>Negotiate contracts with adequate grace period to cover for the delays in fund disbursement.</li> </ul> |
|                  | Inefficient utilisation of resources                  | Stalled and/or slow imple-<br>mentation of projects<br>Poor service delivery |   | <ul> <li>Implement the recommendations of the Capacity Assessment and Ratio-<br/>nalisation of the Public Service.</li> <li>Ensure full enforcement of existing government assets management<br/>frameworks.</li> </ul>                        |
|                  | Pending bills   | Increase in project cost<br>beyond the budget estimates                      |   | <ul> <li>Ensure timely implementation of projects so that the county is not disadvantaged by time value of money.</li> <li>Ensure proper project design and costing</li> </ul>   |
| Process Risk     | Ineffective planning<br>and Implementation<br>Process | Bad governance, poor<br>service delivery                                     |   | <ul> <li>Ensure robust public participation for community ownership of government initiatives (projects and programs)</li> <li>Sufficient legal and administrative framework to support government Initiatives</li> </ul>                      |
|                  | Ineffective Procure-<br>ment                          | Stalled and/or slow imple-<br>mentation of projects<br>Poor service delivery |   | <ul> <li>Strict adherence to Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2015</li> <li>Adequate Technical support from departments for efficient procurement processes .</li> </ul>   |

۲





۲

# **CHAPTER SIX:** MONITORING, EVALUATION AND LEARNING



#### 6.0. Overview

۲

A monitoring, evaluation, and learning plan is vital in tracking results and performance. It helps verify if the activities are being implemented in regard to planning timelines and targets as indicated in the CIDP; additionally, it tracks if resources are utilised efficiently.

۲




Figure 14: Monitoring and Evaluation Structure







The CIMES guidelines and the Draft Homa Bay Monitoring and Evaluation Policy outlines key institutions in the county M&E Structure. The institutions include;

- a. Monitoring and Evaluation Directorate responsible for coordinating the M&E function in the county.
- b. The County Monitoring and Evaluation Committee (CoMEC) charged with ensuring that the county has the quality information needed to make decisions, lead and direct county M&E initiatives, oversees overall county compliance and analyze results of programmes and projects implementation and service delivery in the Sectoral Plans, CIDPs and ADPs.
- c. The Sector Monitoring and Evaluation Committees (SMEC) responsible developing sector indicators, undertaking sector monitoring and evaluations, and preparing and presenting the Sector M&E reports to the relevant authority.
- d. Sub-County Monitoring and Evaluation Committee (SCoMEC) charged with preparing Sub-County M&E reports at sub-county level.
- e. Ward Monitoring and Evaluation Committee (WaMEC) charged with preparing Ward M&E reports at the ward level.
- f. Village Monitoring and Evaluation Committee (ViMEC) charged with preparing Ward M&E reports at the ward level.

The County M&E directorate's key mandate is to coordinate an integrated M&E system. The established M&E structure consists of the County M&E directorate, sector M&E committee, Sub-County M&E committee, ward M&E committee, and village M&E committee, all of which function to serve the county citizen. The county M&E directorate coordinates and functions as the secretariat to the committees. The M&E directorate receives reviews and approves county and sub-county M&E work plans and M&E reports. It convenes County Citizen Participation fora to discuss M&E reports, mobilizes resources to undertake M&E at the county and sub-county level, and approves and endorses final county indicators. The M&E directorate disseminates M&E reports and other findings to stakeholders, including County Fora.

Each County Government Entity will have a Monitoring and Evaluation Officer responsible for tracking their performance (activities, outputs, outcomes, and impacts) for efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability, ensuring evidence-based decision-making.

The departmental M&E officers from each sector form the sector M&E Committee. In addition, the citizen participation forum constituting the Sub County, Ward, and Village M& E Committees will also monitor the activity implementation. These are formed by the Sub-county/Ward/Village administrators, Sub-county/Ward officers of the relevant departments, NGO/Civil Society representatives, representatives of vulnerable and marginalized groups, representatives of the business community, and opinion leaders in the community. The committees in the citizen participation forum will be adequately briefed on county programmes and projects to enable effective monitoring and evaluation.

#### 6.2 M&E Capacity

۲

The M&E structure in the county will be equipped with the necessary human resource capacity. The Directorate of M&E will have a Director in charge of Monitoring and Evaluation, Sub-county M&E officers, and Departmental M&E focal persons. The officers will be capacity built on Project Planning and Management and Result Based Monitoring and Evaluation. To further strengthen the county M&E capacity, the M&E Directorate will regularly assess the M&E capacity and devise strategies to address emerging gaps through, among others, leveraging on ongoing national government and Development Partners-led M&E capacity building initiatives and the existing partnerships and collaborations for M&E activities. The Directorate will also partner with Directorate of Strategic Partnership and External Resource Mobilization Unit to mobilize more resources for the various M&E initiatives.

The human resource for the M&E unit includes:

- The Departmental M&E Officers.
- Data collection personnel where necessary.
- Members of the citizen participation forum.

The unit will be allocated a term budget of Ksh. 5,772,978,816 being 10% of the total programme budget to ensure the running of M&E functions at the county. In the interim period, capacity building of all M&E players will be undertaken to enable them to perform their roles effectively. Where specialised skills are required, the county will engage the services of a consultant. Efficient and effective implementation of M&E functions requires technology and automation of its processes. Wherever applicable, data collection, processing, and dissemination will be undertaken by digital tools, MIS, SPSS, RTMIS, HMIS, project dashboards, and GIS will be used for monitoring.

HB CIDP 2023 two.indd 113

۲





### Table 45: Outcome Indicator Reporting

|  |   |  | BASE  | LINE* |          |          |  |
|--|---|--|-------|-------|----------|----------|--|
| PROGRAMME                                    | OUTCOME   | OUTCOME INDICATOR (S)  | VALUE | YEAR  | MID TERM | END TERM | REPORTING RESPONSIBILITY                     |
| Crop, Land and Agri-<br>business Development | Enhanced Food Security<br>and Improved Livelihoods                            | % increase in production and productivity                          | 0     | 2022  | 20       |          | Directorate of Agriculture                   |
| Services                                     | for county residents  | % increase in sales of<br>agricultural produce                     | 0     | 2022  | 10       | 20       | Directorate of Agriculture                   |
| Food Security En-<br>hancement Services      | Enhanced Food Security<br>and Improved Livelihoods<br>for county residents    | % of HHs that are food secure                                      | 50%   | 2022  | 60%      | 70%      | Directorate of Agriculture                   |
| Livestock Development<br>Services            | Increased livestock pro-<br>duction and productivity                          | % increase in livestock<br>production                              | 0     | 2022  | 20       | 30       | Directorate of Livestock Production          |
|  |   | % increase in livestock<br>productivity                            | 0     | 2022  | 20       | 30       | Directorate of Livestock Production          |
|  |   | % increase in capacity<br>of handling livestock<br>trade           | 0     | 2022  | 10       | 20       | Directorate of Livestock Production          |
|  |   | % increase in capacity<br>of processing livestock<br>products      | 0     | 2022  | 10       | 20       | Directorate of Livestock Production          |
| Fisheries Development<br>Services            | Enhanced food security<br>and improved livelihoods                            | % of safe produce trad-<br>ed in the landing sites                 | 60    | 2022  | 100      | 100      | Directorate of Fisheries and Blue<br>Economy |
|  |   | % reduction in post-har-<br>vest losses                            | 30    | 2022  | 20       | 10       | Directorate of Fisheries and Blue<br>Economy |
|  |   | % Compliance with<br>fisheries resource<br>exploitation guidelines | 0     | 2022  | 60       | 70       | Directorate of Fisheries and Blue<br>Economy |
|  |   | % increase in fish<br>resource base and<br>biodiversity            | 0     | 2022  | 10       | 20       | Directorate of Fisheries and Blue<br>Economy |
|  |   | % increase in aquacul-<br>ture production                          | 0     | 2022  | 20       | 30       | Directorate of Fisheries and Blue<br>Economy |
| P6: Blue Economy<br>Development Services     | Accelerated development<br>and employment oppor-<br>tunities derived from the | % of Riparian Area<br>covered with operational<br>Management Plan  | 0     | 2022  | 30       | 60       | Directorate of Fisheries and Blue<br>Economy |
|  | water bodies and riparian areas   | % of riparian landing<br>sites with well-devel-<br>oped PDPs       | 0     | 2022  | 40       | 60       | Directorate of Fisheries and Blue<br>Economy |
|  |   | % number of mineral<br>deposits maps devel-<br>oped and utilized   | 0     | 2022  | 20       | 40       | Directorate of Mining                        |
| Lands and Physical<br>Planning               | Guided physical develop-<br>ments   | % increase in public<br>institutions mapped and<br>adjudicated     | 10%   | 2022  | 30%      | 50%      | Directorate of Lands                         |
|  |   | % increase in HH with the deeds                                    | 15%   | 2022  | 45%      | 70%      | Directorate of Lands                         |
|  |   | % reduction in disputes involving land                             | 80%   | 2022  | 60%      | 50%      | Directorate of Lands                         |
|  |   | Well-planned Urban<br>Spaces                                       | 0     | 2022  | 1        | 9        | Directorate of Lands                         |
|  |   | % digitisation of land records                                     | 5%    | 2022  | 40%      | 60%      | Directorate of Lands                         |
| Housing and Urban<br>Development             | Secure, well governed, competitive and sustain-                               | % increase of financing through PPP                                | 0     | 2022  | 20%      | 50%      | Directorate of Housing                       |
|  | able urban areas  | Proportion of HHs ac-<br>cessing decent Houses                     | 0     | 2022  | 100%     | 100%     | Directorate of Housing                       |
|  |   | % increase in revenue<br>from Property rates                       | 0     | 2022  | 55%      | 100%     | Directorate of Housing                       |

۲



۲





| PROGRAMME                                 | OUTCOME   | OUTCOME INDICATOR (S)                                       | BASE  | LINE* | MID TERM |      | REPORTING RESPONSIBILITY |
|---|---|---|-------|-------|----------|------|--------------------------|
| FNUUNAIVIIVIE                             | OUTCOME   | OUTCOME INDICATOR (5)                                       | VALUE | YEAR  |          |      |                          |
| Urban Development<br>and Support services | Enhance and improve<br>quality of life for residents<br>of the municipality | % decrease in waste<br>accumulation at disposal<br>point    | 0     | 2022  | 50       | 0    | Homabay Municipality     |
|   |   | % change in aesthetics<br>of the Municipality               | 10%   | 2022  | 30%      | 70%  | Homabay Municipality     |
|   |   | % change in revenue generated from traders                  | 1     | 2022  | 100%     | 100% | Homabay Municipality     |
|   |   | % reduction in crime rate                                   | 0     | 2022  | 50       | 20   | Homabay Municipality     |
|   |   | % reduction in the<br>number of disasters and<br>calamities | 0     | 2022  | 50       | 30   | Homabay Municipality     |

| Programme                                     | Outcome                          | Outcome Indicator                                       | Baseline |      | Mid-term | End term | Reporting Responsibility                   |
|---|----------------------------------|---|----------|------|----------|----------|--|
|   |                                  |   | Value    | Year | target   | Target   |  |
| Trade and Industrial<br>Development           | Volume of produce value added    | % Increase in produce value added                       | -        | 2022 | 20%      | 50%      | Directorate of Trade and industrialization |
|   | Increased revenue base           | % Increase in revenue collected                         | -        | 2022 | 20%      | 50%      | Directorate of Trade and industrialization |
|   | Increase in employment           | % increase in<br>employment                             | -        | 2022 | 10%      | 15%      | Directorate of Trade and industrialization |
|   | Improved business<br>environment | % Change in revenue generated from traders              | -        | 2022 | 20%      | 50%      | Directorate of Trade and industrialization |
| Cooperatives<br>Development and               | Improved governance              | % of societies<br>complying                             | 60%      | 2022 | 80%      | 90%      | Directorate of Co-<br>operatives           |
| Marketing Services                            | Improved credit facility uptake  | Volume of produce<br>traded through the<br>cooperatives | -        | 2022 | 100%     | 100%     | Directorate of Co-<br>operatives           |
| Tourism Development<br>and Marketing Services | Increased tourism earnings       | % increase in revenue from tourism earnings             | -        | 2022 | 20%      | 50%      | Directorate of Tourism                     |
|   | Increased Revenue base           | % increase in revenue collected                         | -        | 2022 | 20%      | 50%      | Directorate of Tourism                     |

| Social Protection Cult                                    | re and Recreation (SPSR)  |  |            |      |          |          |                          |
|---|---|--|------------|------|----------|----------|--------------------------|
| Programme   | Outcome   | Outcome  | Baseline*  |      | Mid Term | End Term | Reporting Responsibility |
|   |   | Indicator (s)  | Value Year |      | Target   | Target   |                          |
| Socio-Cultural<br>Development and<br>Empowerment Services | Improved inclusion of<br>PWDs, women and youth in<br>development activities | Proportion included in senior decision-making roles                              | -          | 2022 | 30%      | 40%      | Department of Gender     |
|   |   | Proportion benefiting from social protection programmes                          | -          | 2022 | 50%      | 70%      | Department of Gender     |
|   | Enhanced safety and well-<br>being of children and the<br>elderly           | Proportion of reported SGBV victims receiving appropriate care                   | -          | 2022 | 60%      | 80%      | Department of Gender     |
|   | Enhanced livelihoods for<br>disadvantaged groups                            | Proportion benefiting from<br>government-generated<br>livelihood opportunities   | -          | 2022 | 30%      | 40%      | Department of Gender     |
|   |   | Proportion trained on<br>opportunity generation,<br>recognition and exploitation | -          | 2022 | 50%      | 70%      | Department of Gender     |
| Culture and Creative<br>Sector Development<br>Services    | Improved returns from<br>culture and the creative<br>sector                 | % increase in earnings from<br>cultural and creative activities                  | -          | 2022 | 60%      | 100%     | Department of Gender     |

۲







| Development and<br>Management of Sports | Improved returns from sports | % increase in earnings from sports                                | - | 2022 | 50% | 100% | Department of Gender |
|---|------------------------------|---|---|------|-----|------|----------------------|
| and Sports Facilities                   |                              | Proportion of spotted talents participating in competitive sports | - | 2022 | 20% | 30%  | Department of Gender |

| Health Sector              |                                     |  |            |      |                 |            |                         |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------|------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Programme                  | Outcome                             | Outcome Indicator  | Baseline   |      | Mid-term target | End term   | Reporting               |
|                            |                                     |  | Value      | Year |                 | Target     | Responsibility          |
| Maternal & neonatal health | Improved maternal & Neonatal health | %Pregnant women<br>attending 4 ANC                                     | 45.8       | 2022 | 54              | 60         | _                       |
|                            |                                     | %Skilled birth delivery  | 74.1       | 2022 | 77              | 80         |                         |
|                            |                                     | %PNC visits within 48 hrs  | 60         | 2022 | 85              | 100        |                         |
|                            |                                     | %Reduction in MMR  | 516/100000 | 2022 | 400/100000      | 300/100000 |                         |
|                            |                                     | %WRA receiving FP  | 48.5       | 2022 | 54              | 58         |                         |
|                            |                                     | %Maternal audits   | 100        | 2022 | 100             | 100        |                         |
| Child health               | Improved child<br>health            | % Reduction in<5MR   | 92.9/1000  | 2022 | 82/1000         | 72/1000    |                         |
|                            |                                     | %Reduction in <1MR   | 57.5/1000  | 2022 | 52              | 48         |                         |
|                            |                                     | % Reduction of <5 treated<br>of diarrhoea                              | -          | 2022 | 50              | 70         | -                       |
|                            |                                     | %New-born with low birth weight  |            |      |                 |            | _                       |
|                            |                                     | % Exclusive breast- feeding rate                                       | 60         | 2022 | 74              | 80         |                         |
|                            |                                     | %Reduction of <5 stunted   | 21.8       | 2022 | 18              | 15         |                         |
| AYSRH                      | Healthy & productive population     | %Reduction in adolescent<br>pregnancy                                  | 22.4       | 2022 | 19              | 15         | Department of<br>health |
| Immunisation               | Improved child health               | % Fully immunised children   | 74.8       | 2022 | 84              | 90         | Department of<br>health |
|                            |                                     | %Facilities providing immunisation                                     |            |      |                 |            |                         |
| Nutrition                  | Improved child                      | Wasting rate   | 4.6        | 2022 | 3.6             | 2.6        | Department of           |
|                            | health                              | Underweight rate   | 8.6        | 2022 | 6               | 4          | health                  |
|                            |                                     | %Reduction in <5stunting   | 21.8       | 2022 | 18              | 15         |                         |
| HIV/AIDS                   | Reduced HIV/AIDS                    | %HIV prevalence  | 18.5       | 2022 | 15              | 12         | Department of           |
|                            |                                     | % Reduction of new HIV<br>infections                                   | -          | 2022 | 40              | 60         | health                  |
|                            |                                     | % ART coverage   | 96         | 2022 | 98              | 100        |                         |
|                            |                                     | % Client with suppressed VL  | 95         | 2022 | 97              | 98         | -                       |
|                            |                                     | % HIV/AIDS budgetary allocation  | 0.3        | 2022 | 10              | 14         | -                       |
|                            |                                     | %HIV + pregnant mothers receiving PMCT                                 |            |      |                 |            |                         |
| TB & Leprosy               | Reduce & manage<br>TB & Leprosy     | TB cure rate   | 84         | 2022 | 90              | 95         | Department of health    |
|                            |                                     | % TB patients successfully<br>completed treatment                      | 89.1       | 2022 | 96              | 98         |                         |
| Malaria                    | Reduction in<br>Malaria incidence   | %Malaria incidence   | 18         | 2022 | 14              | 10         | Department of<br>health |
| NCDs                       | Reduce & Manage<br>NCDs             | %Population accessing<br>specialized health care<br>(cancer, diabetes) | 10         | 2022 | 50              | 80         | Department of<br>health |









| Community health strategy            | Increased access<br>to health & related<br>services | % Functional community<br>units                              |       |      |     |     |                         |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|-------|------|-----|-----|-------------------------|
| Environmental                        | Promote clean                                       | % ODF certified villages                                     | 30    | 2022 | 50  | 70  | Department of           |
| health, water &<br>sanitation        | & healthy environment                               | % latrine coverage   | 89    | 2022 | 92  | 95  | health                  |
| Santation                            | environment   | % Household access to safe water                             | 52    | 2022 | 63  | 70  |                         |
| nfection Prevention<br>and Control   | Reduced<br>nosocomial<br>infections                 | % reduction in hospital acquired infections                  | 30    | 2022 | 60  | 90  | Department of health    |
| GBV & injuries                       | Improved access to care for GBV                     | #SGBV survivors presenting <72 hrs (by sex)                  | 2,190 |      |     |     |                         |
|                                      | victims/injuries                                    | %Deaths due to road<br>injuries per 1000 OPD visit           | 3'1   |      | 2.5 | 1.5 |                         |
| Health Products &<br>Technologies    | Reduced facility stock-out                          | %Allocation to HPTs  | 6.6   | 2022 | 20  | 30  | Department of<br>health |
| Quality & standards                  | Enhanced<br>standards at<br>facility                | %Facilities meeting<br>minimum quality & safety<br>standards | -     | 2022 | 40  | 70  |                         |
| Climate change &<br>health           | Promote<br>environmental<br>conservation            | %Facilities mainstreaming<br>climate change                  | 0     | 2022 | 30  | 100 | Department of health    |
| Health<br>infrastructure             | Reduced health inequalities                         | # Facilities upgraded & rehabilitated as per the norm        | -     | 2022 | 50  | 100 | Department of health    |
|                                      |   | #Funtional e-health hubs established                         |       |      |     |     |                         |
| Health financing                     | Improved sustainable health                         | %Government allocation to health                             | 32    | 2022 | 34  | 36  | Department of<br>health |
|                                      | care financing                                      | %Increase in revenue collection                              | -     | 2022 | 50  | 100 |                         |
| Health care subsidy                  | Equitable access<br>to health care<br>services      | %Indigent HHs covered  | 0.6   | 2022 | 8   | 16  | Department of health    |
| Administration,<br>planning & policy | Strengthened coordination                           | #Policies developed/<br>operationalised                      | 3     | 2022 | 2   | 2   | Department of<br>health |
| Health workforce                     | Adequate & skilled<br>HCWs                          | Doctors: patients  |       |      |     |     | Department of<br>health |
|                                      |   | Nurses: patients   |       |      |     |     |                         |
| Research & policy                    | Promote evidence-<br>based decision<br>making       | #Policies informed by research                               | 0     | 2022 | 6   | 12  | Department of health    |

| Environmental Protection and water and Natural resources ( |  |   |           |      |          |          |                                 |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|-----------|------|----------|----------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sub Programme  | Outcome  | Outcome Indicator (s)                       | Baseline* |      | Mid Term | End Term | Reporting Responsibility        |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | Value     | Year | Target   | Target   |                                 |  |  |  |
| Urban water supply<br>services                             | Increased and equitable access to reliable potable         | Proportion of urban HH accessing safe water | 46%       | 2022 | 60%      | 75%      | HOMAWASCO, Water<br>Directorate |  |  |  |
|  | water for domestic and<br>industrial use in urban<br>areas | Cubic meters produced per day               | 23,400    | 2022 | 30,900   | 30,900   | HOMAWASCO, Water<br>Directorate |  |  |  |
|  |  | Proportion of non-revenue water             | 44%       | 2022 | 41%      | 39%      | HOMAWASCO, Water<br>Directorate |  |  |  |

۲

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



08/08/2023 18:05:44

۲



| <b>Environmental Prote</b>           | ection and water and Natura                            | l resources (  |           |      |          |          |   |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------|------|----------|----------|---|
| Sub Programme                        | Outcome  | Outcome Indicator (s)  | Baseline* |      | Mid Term | End Term | Reporting Responsibility                                |
| Rural water supply services          | Increased and equitable access of HH to reliable       | Proportion of rural HH accessing safe water  | 31%       | 2022 | 45%      | 65%      | Water Directorate                                       |
|                                      | potable water for domestic and industrial use in rural | Proportion of population accessing water in public institutions                                | 20%       | 2022 | 50%      | 80%      | Water Directorate                                       |
|                                      | areas  | Proportion of non- revenue water   | 70%       | 2022 | 50%      | 35%      | Water Directorate                                       |
|                                      |  | Proportion of Rural population that are open defecation free (ODF)                             | 30%       | 2022 | 40%      | 50%      | Water Directorate                                       |
|                                      |  | Proportion of Urban population with access to sewerage connection                              | 5%        | 2022 | 10%      | 20%      | Water Directorate<br>HOMAWASCO,                         |
| Waste management                     | Clean and safe urban areas                             | Proportion of Urban areas that are clean and safe  | 30%       | 2022 | 40%      | 70%      | Environment Directorate                                 |
| Environmental<br>Protection Services | Safe and conserved<br>environment                      | Proportion of urban solid waste<br>regularly collected and with adequate<br>final discharge    | 30%       | 2022 | 40%      | 70%      | Environment Directorate                                 |
|                                      |  | Proportion of riparian areas protected   | 3%        | 2022 | 5%       | 8%       | Environment Directorate                                 |
|                                      |  | Proportion of degraded landscape restored  | 20%       | 2022 | 40%      | 65%      | Environment Directorate                                 |
| Forest Development services          | Improved forest and tree cover within the county       | Proportion of land under forest cover  | 3.1%      | 2022 | 3.5%     | 4%       | Environment Directorate                                 |
| Climate Change<br>Mainstreaming      | Improved resilience to effects of climate change       | Proportion for HHs resilient to effects<br>of climate change                                   | 4%        | 2022 | 8%       | 12%      | Climate Change Directorate                              |
|                                      |  | Proportion of public institutions<br>mainstreaming climate change<br>actions in their programs | 0         | 2022 | 100%     | 100%     | Climate Change Directorate                              |
|                                      |  | Proportion of Annual Development<br>budget allocated for climate change<br>action              | 0         | 2022 | 5 %      | 10 %     | Climate Change Directorate                              |
|                                      |  | Proportion of County Government<br>Staff trained on climate change<br>mainstreaming            | 2 %       | 2022 | 50 %     | 90 %     | Climate Change Directorate                              |
| Climate Change<br>Action Monitoring, | Improved resilience to effects of climate change       | Proportion of population sensitised on<br>Climate Change issues                                | 1%        | 2022 | 40 %     | 80 %     | Climate Change $\operatorname{Directorat}_{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| Evaluation &<br>Reporting and        |  | Proportion of population adopting<br>Climate Change mitigation measures                        | 1%        | 2022 | 35%      | 70%      | Climate Change Directorate                              |
| Information Services                 |  | Proportion of population reporting on climate change risks                                     | 0         | 2022 | 35%      | 70%      | Climate Change Directorate                              |
| Artisanal Mining<br>Services         | Enhanced utilization of<br>natural resources for       | Proportion increase of revenue generated from miners   | 8%        | 2022 | 11%      | 17%      | Mining Directorate                                      |
| Mineral Prospecting<br>Services      | sustainable livelihood                                 | Proportion of the county mapped  | 10%       | 2022 | 30%      | 70%      | Mining Directorate                                      |

Education Outcome Outcome Indicator (s) Baseline\* Mid Term End Term **Reporting Responsibility** Programme Target Target Value Year **EYE Services** Enhanced Enrolment improved in EYE centres 85,600 2022 92,000 95,000 Department of Education transition rate Teacher/pupil ratio improved 1:50 2022 1:45 1:40 Department of Education Proportion of children graduating from 85,600 2022 100% 100% Department of Education EYE as school ready **VTC Services** Skills acquisition Proportion of graduates from VTCs 3001 2022 100% 100% Department of Education and reduction Enrolment improved in VTCs 3001 2022 4000 5000 Department of Education in d4ependency ratio Increased Proportion of staff competence 0% 2022 50% 100% Human capital Department of Education development productivity developed and Research & Proportion of staff undertaken through 0% 2022 50% 100% Department of Education Innovation services skills development



۲







#### **Data Collection, Analysis and Reporting**

The departmental M&Es will be responsible for collecting data for the programmes they are undertaking. They will be trained and responsible for systematic data collection.

The process of data collection will be automated. Currently, data collection is to be achieved through routine reports, quarterly reviews, customer satisfaction surveys, and case studies.

Data analysis will be achieved using MS Excel, SPSS, HMIS, RTMIS, and MIS. Reporting of activities, processes, outputs, outcomes and impacts will be done daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, semi-annually, and annually, depending on the programmes being undertaken by the departments. This will be clarified in the County M &E Handbook.

#### **Dissemination, Feedback Mechanism, Citizen Engagement, and Learning**

Information will be shared through the county website, citizen participation forums, retreat with programme/project staff, written reports, oral presentations, and press releases in newspapers, TV, and radio stations. The county will also generate fact sheets and performance Dashboards and create social and new media platforms.

#### **Evaluation Plan**

۲

Table 55: Evaluation Plan

| NO | Policy/<br>Programme/<br>Project   | Evaluation Title  | Outcome(s)   | Use of the<br>Evaluation Findings   | Commissioning<br>Agency/<br>Partners                                     | Anticipated<br>Evaluation<br>startdate | Anticipated<br>Evaluation<br>end date | Evaluation<br>Budget(Kshs.) | Source<br>ofFunding |
|----|--|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1  | CIDP   | Midterm Review of<br>the CIDP III and<br>development of<br>CIDP 4 | Improved<br>implementation of<br>the CIDP  | Improve<br>implementation<br>ofCIDP.  | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning                                  | June<br>2025                           | Sept2025                              | Kshs. 35million             | GoK/ Donor          |
| 2  | Policy,<br>Planning,<br>General<br>administration<br>and support<br>Services | End term evaluation<br>of policies and<br>support services        | Effective delivery of policy, and support services                               | To Increase<br>Institutional Efficiency<br>and Effectiveness in<br>Extension Service<br>Delivery  | CEC Agriculture &<br>Livestock   | June<br>2027                           | Sep 2027                              | Kshs.<br>6.6<br>million     | HBC/<br>Donor       |
| 3  | Crop, Land &<br>Agribusiness<br>Development<br>services                      | End term evaluation   | Enhanced<br>Food Security<br>and Improved<br>Livelihoods for<br>county residents | To increase<br>agricultural<br>productivity and<br>outputs  | CEC Agriculture &<br>Livestock   | June 2027                              | Sep 2027                              | Kshs.<br>50.1<br>million    | HBC/<br>Donor       |
| 4  | Food security<br>Enhancement   | End term evaluation<br>of food security<br>Enhancement            | Enhanced<br>Food Security<br>and Improved<br>Livelihoods for<br>county residents | To ensure food security in the county   | CEC Agriculture &<br>Livestock   | June 2027                              | Sep 2027                              | Kshs.<br>85.5<br>million    | HBC/<br>Donor       |
| 5  | Livestock<br>Development<br>Services   | End term evaluation<br>of livestock<br>Development<br>Services    | Increased Livestock<br>production and<br>productivity                            | To promote, regulate<br>and facilitate<br>livestock production<br>for socio-economic<br>development and<br>industrialization                | CEC Agriculture &<br>Livestock   | June 2027                              | Sep 2027                              | Kshs.<br>148.68<br>million  | HBC/<br>Donor       |
| 6  | Blue Economy<br>and Fisheries<br>Development<br>Services                     | End term evaluation   | Enhanced<br>food security<br>and improved<br>livelihoods                         | To increase fisheries<br>productivity and<br>output   | CEC Blue<br>Economy,<br>Fisheries, Mining,<br>and Digital<br>Economy     | June 2027                              | Sep 2027                              | Kshs.<br>91.3<br>million    | HBC/<br>Donor       |
| 7  | Blue Economy<br>Development<br>Services                                      | End term evaluation<br>of Blue Economy<br>Development<br>Services | Accelerated<br>development<br>and employment<br>opportunities                    | Optimize exploitation<br>and utilization of the<br>wetlands and the<br>catchment areas for<br>wealth creation                               | CEC Blue<br>Economy,<br>Fisheries, Mining,<br>and Digital<br>Economy     | June 2027                              | Sep 2027                              | Kshs.<br>322.75<br>million  | HBC/<br>Donor       |
| 8  | Lands and<br>Physical<br>Planning  | End term evaluation<br>of Lands and<br>Physical Planning          | Guided physical<br>developments  | To provide a spatial<br>framework that would<br>guide, develop,<br>administer and<br>manage Land and its<br>activities within the<br>county | CEC Lands,<br>Physical Planning,<br>Housing,<br>and Urban<br>Development | June 2027                              | Sep 2027                              | Kshs.<br>56.9<br>million    | HBC/<br>Donor       |

Page 119







|    |  |   |  |  | 1  | I         |          | 1                            |   |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|-----------|----------|------------------------------|---|
| 9  | Housing<br>and Urban<br>Development                                    | End term evaluation<br>of Housing and<br>Urban Development                        | sustainable urban<br>areas   | To improve suitable,<br>conducive and<br>affordable housing<br>conditions in the<br>county   | CEC Lands,<br>Physical Planning,<br>Housing,<br>and Urban<br>Development                               | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>121<br>million      | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 10 | Urban<br>Development<br>and Support<br>services                        | End term<br>evaluation of Urban<br>Development and<br>Support services            | Enhance and<br>improve quality of<br>life for residents of<br>the municipality                                   | To promote effective<br>development,<br>management and<br>maintenance of all<br>municipal facilities                                 | CEC Lands,<br>Physical Planning,<br>Housing,<br>and Urban<br>Development                               | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>68.55<br>million    | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 11 | Slum upgrading<br>& Affordable<br>Housing                              | End term evaluation<br>of Slum upgrading<br>& Affordable<br>Housing               | Improved access<br>to tenure security<br>and improved<br>infrastructure<br>Increased access to<br>social housing | To improve living<br>condition in selected<br>informal settlement in<br>homa bay county  | CEC Lands,<br>Physical Planning,<br>Housing,<br>and Urban<br>Development                               | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>50<br>million       | HCG, National<br>Government<br>and World<br>Bank,<br>National<br>Housing<br>Corporation |
| 12 | Water supply<br>and Sanitation<br>management<br>services               | Rapid evaluation<br>of Water supply<br>and Sanitation<br>management<br>services   | Sufficient water<br>and sanitation for<br>improved health<br>and safety of the<br>county population              | To increase access<br>to adequate and safe<br>water and sanitation   | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>1.120185<br>billion | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 13 | Environmental<br>management<br>and Forestry<br>development<br>services | Rapid evaluation<br>of Environmental<br>management<br>and Forestry<br>development | A healthy<br>population  | To enhance a clean<br>and safe environment<br>for the residents of<br>Homa Bay   | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>61.75<br>million    | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 14 | Climate Change<br>Management<br>Services                               | End term evaluation<br>of Climate Change<br>Management<br>Services                | Enhanced adaptive<br>capacity and<br>resilience of the<br>County to the<br>impacts of climate<br>change          | To Deliver Locally<br>Led Climate Change<br>Resilience Actions<br>and Strengthen the<br>County's Capacity to<br>Manage Climate Risks | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>28.55<br>million    | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 15 | Policy,<br>Planning and<br>Administrative<br>Services                  | Midterm evaluation<br>of Policy, Planning<br>and Administrative<br>Services       | Properly regulated<br>and Co-ordinated<br>Water Sector   | To Create enabling<br>environment for<br>effective and efficient<br>Service Provision  | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change | June 2025 | Sep 2025 | Kshs.<br>15.8<br>million     | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 16 | Gwassi Spring<br>developement  | Rapid evaluation<br>of Gwassi Spring<br>developement                              | Increased and<br>equitable access<br>to reliable potable<br>water for domestic<br>use                            | To increase access<br>to adequate and safe<br>water and sanitation   | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>17.06<br>million    | USAID-WKWP,<br>County<br>Government   |
| 17 | Establishment<br>of village<br>sanitation                              | Rapid evaluation of<br>establishment of<br>village sanitation                     | Increased and<br>equitable access to<br>sanitation services  | To increase access<br>to adequate and safe<br>water and sanitation   | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>15<br>million       | USAID-WKSP,<br>County<br>Government   |
| 18 | Rusinga WP   | Rapid evaluation of<br>Rusinga WP   | Increased and<br>equitable access<br>to reliable potable<br>water for domestic<br>use                            | To increase access<br>to adequate and safe<br>water and sanitation   | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>15<br>million       | County<br>Government,<br>French<br>Government   |



۲



| 19 | Energy<br>Services  | Rapid evaluation of<br>Energy Services  | Increased access to<br>power connectivity<br>and use of<br>renewable source<br>of energy in the<br>county | To increase access<br>to power connectivity<br>and use of renewable<br>source of energy<br>within the county  | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change              | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>89.7<br>million    | HBC/<br>Donor   |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|----------|-----------------------------|---|
| 20 | General<br>Administration,<br>Planning<br>and Support<br>Services | Midterm evaluation<br>of General<br>Administration,<br>Planning and<br>Support Services | Improved<br>coordination and<br>service delivery by<br>the department.                                    | To improve<br>service delivery<br>and coordination<br>of department-<br>wide functions,<br>programmes and<br>activities   | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change              | June 2025 | Sep 2025 | Kshs.<br>0.6<br>million     | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 21 | Road &<br>Transport<br>Development<br>services                    | Rapid evaluation of<br>Road & Transport<br>Development<br>services                      | Reliable and<br>efficient road<br>access and safe<br>transport services.                                  | To improve access<br>and mobility in the<br>county.   | CEC Roads,<br>Transport,<br>Public Works, &<br>Infrastructure   | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>771.575<br>million | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 22 | Public<br>works and<br>Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services  | Rapid evaluation<br>of Public works<br>and Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services    | Enhanced quality<br>standard control<br>of infrastructure<br>development<br>projects.                     | To ensure compliance,<br>quality and<br>standard control of<br>all infrastructural<br>development projects<br>in the county both<br>public and private            | CEC Roads,<br>Transport,<br>Public Works, &<br>Infrastructure   | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>24.9<br>million    | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 23 | General<br>Administration,<br>Planning<br>and Support<br>Services | Midterm evaluation<br>of General<br>Administration,<br>Planning and<br>Support Services | Improved<br>coordination and<br>service delivery by<br>the department.                                    | To improve service<br>delivery and<br>coordination of<br>programmes and<br>activities by the unit.  | CEC Roads,<br>Transport,<br>Public Works, &<br>Infrastructure   | June 2025 | Sep 2025 | Kshs.<br>0.6<br>million     | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 24 | ICT<br>Development<br>Services                                    | Rapid evaluation of<br>ICT Development<br>Services                                      | Enhanced<br>connectivity and<br>service delivery in<br>the county   | To enhance<br>connectivity and<br>service delivery in the<br>county   | CEC Blue<br>Economy,<br>Fisheries, Mining,<br>and Digital<br>Economy  | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>44.797<br>million  | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 25 | General<br>Administration,<br>Planning<br>and Support<br>Services | Midterm evaluation<br>of General<br>Administration,<br>Planning and<br>Support Services | Improved<br>coordination and<br>service delivery by<br>the department.                                    | To improve<br>service delivery<br>and coordination<br>of department-<br>wide functions,<br>programmes and<br>activities   | CEC Blue<br>Economy,<br>Fisheries, Mining,<br>and Digital<br>Economy  | June 2025 | Sep 2025 | Kshs.<br>0.6<br>million     | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 26 | Renewable<br>Energy services                                      | Rapid evaluation of<br>Renewable<br>Energy services                                     | Solar parks<br>established in<br>Islands  | Islands out of power<br>grid installed with<br>solar lights towards<br>enhancing security,<br>preservation of<br>fish product and<br>increasing income<br>levels. | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change              | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>40<br>million      | Rerec<br>Dream Global<br>County<br>Government                       |
| 27 | County Wide<br>connectivity<br>(LAN and WAN)                      | Midterm evaluation<br>of County wide<br>connectivity                                    | LAN & WAN<br>connectivity done in<br>the entire county  | To improve<br>connectivity across<br>the county   | CEC Water,<br>Irrigation,<br>Sanitation,<br>Environment,<br>Energy, Forestry,<br>and Climate<br>Change              | June 2025 | Sep 2025 | Kshs.<br>14<br>million      | HBCG<br>Development<br>Partner (ICT<br>Authority and<br>World bank) |
| 28 | Social Welfare<br>Development<br>Services                         | End term evaluation<br>of Social Welfare<br>Development<br>Services                     | Improved Social<br>protection and<br>livelihoods of<br>vulnerable groups                                  | To Mainstream Socio-<br>Cultural Concerns<br>in the County<br>Development Process   | CEC Youth,<br>Sports, Talent<br>Development,<br>Gender Inclusivity,<br>Cultural Heritage,<br>and Social<br>Services | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>31<br>million      | HBC/<br>Donor   |
| 29 | Cultural<br>Development   | End term evaluation<br>of Cultural<br>Development                                       | Enhanced<br>preservation of<br>cultural heritage<br>and arts  | To Mainstream Socio-<br>Cultural Concerns<br>in the County<br>Development Process   | CEC Youth,<br>Sports, Talent<br>Development,<br>Gender Inclusivity,<br>Cultural Heritage,<br>and Social<br>Services | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>30<br>million      | HBC/<br>Donor   |



۲

۲



| 30 | Cultural<br>Promotion<br>Services                                     | End term<br>evaluation of<br>Cultural Promotion<br>Services                               | Enhanced tangible<br>and intangible<br>cultural talent and<br>the arts   | To Mainstream Socio-<br>Cultural Concerns<br>in the County<br>Development Process  | CEC Youth,<br>Sports, Talent<br>Development,<br>Gender Inclusivity,<br>Cultural Heritage,<br>and Social<br>Services | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>4<br>million      | HBC/<br>Donor |
|----|---|---|--|--|---|-----------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|
| 31 | Gender and<br>Women<br>Empowerment                                    | Midterm evaluation<br>of Gender<br>and Women<br>Empowerment                               | Increased<br>productive<br>participation<br>of women in<br>development and<br>improved gender<br>equity  | To Mainstream Socio-<br>Cultural Concerns<br>in the County<br>Development Process  | CEC Youth,<br>Sports, Talent<br>Development,<br>Gender Inclusivity,<br>Cultural Heritage,<br>and Social<br>Services | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>42.25<br>million  | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 32 | Youth<br>Empowerment  | Midterm<br>evaluation of Youth<br>Empowerment   | Increased<br>productive<br>participation<br>of women in<br>development and<br>improved gender<br>equity  | To Mainstream Socio-<br>Cultural Concerns<br>in the County<br>Development Process  | CEC Youth,<br>Sports, Talent<br>Development,<br>Gender Inclusivity,<br>Cultural Heritage,<br>and Social<br>Services | June 2025 | Sep 2025 | Kshs.<br>69<br>million     | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 33 | Disability<br>Mainstreaming   | Midterm evaluation<br>of Disability<br>Mainstreaming                                      | Increased<br>productive<br>participation<br>of PWDs in<br>development  | To Mainstream Socio-<br>Cultural Concerns<br>in the County<br>Development Process  | CEC Youth,<br>Sports, Talent<br>Development,<br>Gender Inclusivity,<br>Cultural Heritage,<br>and Social<br>Services | June 2025 | Sep 2025 | Kshs.<br>50<br>million     | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 34 | Cross<br>cohort male<br>empowerment                                   | Midterm<br>evaluation of<br>Cross cohort male<br>empowerment                              | Established trust<br>fund to fund raise<br>for boy child<br>empowerment  | To Mainstream Socio-<br>Cultural Concerns<br>in the County<br>Development Process  | CEC Youth,<br>Sports, Talent<br>Development,<br>Gender Inclusivity,<br>Cultural Heritage,<br>and Social<br>Services | June 2025 | Sep 2025 | Kshs.<br>66<br>million     | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 35 | Management<br>and<br>Development<br>of sports and<br>sport facilities | Rapid evaluation<br>of Management<br>and Development<br>of sports and sport<br>facilities | -Enhanced<br>development of<br>sport facilities<br>- Construction<br>of phase 2 of<br>Homabay County<br>Stadium<br>-Completion of<br>perimeter wall<br>fence and No.3 at<br>Homabay County<br>stadium<br>- Enhanced<br>development of<br>sport skills and<br>talents | To identify, nature<br>and promote sports<br>talents for prospective<br>earnings from sports   | CEC Youth,<br>Sports, Talent<br>Development,<br>Gender Inclusivity,<br>Cultural Heritage,<br>and Social<br>Services | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>332.45<br>million | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 36 | Cooperative<br>development<br>services                                | End term evaluation<br>of Cooperative<br>development<br>services                          | Enhanced growth<br>and development<br>of cooperative<br>societies.   | To improve the<br>business environment<br>and promote<br>entrepreneurial<br>growth   | CEC Trade,<br>Industry, Tourism,<br>Cooperative<br>Development, and<br>Marketing                                    | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>10.9<br>million   | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 37 | Trade<br>Infrastructure<br>development                                | Rapid evaluation of<br>Trade Infrastructure<br>development                                | Improved business<br>environment   |  | CEC Trade,<br>Industry, Tourism,<br>Cooperative<br>Development, and<br>Marketing                                    | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>90.5<br>million   | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 38 | Tourism<br>development<br>services                                    | Midterm evaluation<br>of Tourism<br>development<br>services                               | Enhanced earnings<br>from the tourism<br>sector  | To stimulate industrial<br>development through<br>tourism, value<br>addition, industrial<br>research and uptake<br>of new innovations. | CEC Trade,<br>Industry, Tourism,<br>Cooperative<br>Development, and<br>Marketing                                    | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>13.8<br>million   | HBC/<br>Donor |



۲





| 39 | Industrial<br>development<br>services | End term evaluation<br>of Industrial<br>development<br>services                   | One animal feeds<br>processing plant<br>established and<br>operational<br>One multi-<br>processing plant<br>established and<br>operational<br>One potato<br>processing plant<br>established and<br>operational<br>One fish processing<br>plant established<br>and operational<br>Established cotton<br>processing plant | To stimulate industrial development  | CEC Trade,<br>Industry, Tourism,<br>Cooperative<br>Development, and<br>Marketing | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>48<br>million         | HBC/<br>Donor |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| 40 | Maternal & neonatal health            | Rapid evaluation<br>of Maternal &<br>neonatal health                              | Improved Maternal<br>and neonatal health<br>Healthy,<br>productive&<br>youthful population  | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                       | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>16<br>million         | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 41 | Immunization                          | Rapid evaluation<br>of immunization<br>services                                   | Increased county<br>immunization<br>coverage  | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                       | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>7.5<br>million        | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 42 | Nutrition                             | Rapid evaluation of nutrition services  | <ul> <li>Reduced stunting</li> <li>&amp; supply food</li> <li>supplement</li> <li>Reduced</li> <li>malnutrition among</li> <li>older children</li> </ul>  | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                       | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>4.75<br>million       | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 43 | HIV/AIDs                              | Rapid evaluation<br>of HIV/AIDS<br>intervention<br>services                       | New HIV infections reduced  | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                       | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>162.314816<br>million | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 44 | TB & Leprosy                          | Rapid evaluation<br>of TB & Leprosy<br>intervention<br>services                   | TB prevalence<br>reduced & Leprosy<br>managed   | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                       | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>9.15 million          | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 45 | Malaria                               | Rapid evaluation of malaria intervention services                                 | Malaria prevalence<br>reduced   | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                       | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>100million            | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 46 | Neglected<br>diseases                 | Rapid evaluation<br>of neglected<br>tropical diseases<br>intervention<br>services | Reduced morbidity<br>& mortality (Jiggers<br>(Tungiasis),<br>Schistosomiasis<br>(Bilhazia), Soil  | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                       | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>2.5 million           | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 47 | Disease<br>surveillance               | Rapid evaluation<br>of disease<br>surveillance<br>intervention<br>services        | Prompt outbreak<br>detection and<br>response  | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                       | June 2027 | Sep 2027 | Kshs.<br>3 million             | HBC/<br>Donor |





۲

|    |   |  |  |  |   | I            |             |                         |               |
|----|---|--|--|--|---|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 18 | School health   | End term evaluation<br>of school health<br>services  | Improved sanitation<br>indicators in<br>schools  | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June 2027    | Sep 2027    | Kshs.<br>1.25 million   | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 19 | Community<br>health strategy  | End term evaluation<br>of community<br>health strategy<br>services   | Improved<br>functionality of<br>Community Health<br>Units  | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June 2027    | Sep 2027    | Kshs.<br>65 million     | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 50 | Environmental<br>Health, water &<br>sanitation  | Rapid evaluation<br>of environmental<br>health, water, and<br>sanitation services                            | - Reduced OD &<br>promote clean<br>environment   | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June 2027    | Sep 2027    | Kshs.<br>2.5 million    | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 51 | Infection<br>prevention<br>control  | Rapid evaluation<br>of IPC intervention<br>services  | -Improved capacity<br>of facilities & staff<br>to handle IPC   | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June 2027    | Sep 2027    | Kshs.<br>2.5 million    | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 52 | Quality & standards   | Rapid evaluation of Quality & standards  | Legal & institutional<br>frameworks<br>implemented   | Scale-up high<br>impact interventions<br>at all levels to<br>reduce maternal &<br>child mortality and<br>morbidity | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June 2027    | Sep 2027    | Kshs.<br>2 million      | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 53 | Hospital level<br>services  | Rapid evaluation<br>of hospital based<br>services  | - Improved access<br>to quality health<br>care services<br>- Upgraded referral<br>hospitals with<br>comprehensive<br>health services | To provide a functional<br>& sustainable<br>infrastructure for<br>comprehensive health<br>services                 | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June 2027    | Sep 2027    | Kshs.<br>140.05 million | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 54 | Primary health<br>facility services   | End term evaluation<br>of primary health<br>facility services  | Primary healthcare<br>services<br>strengthened   | To provide a functional<br>& sustainable<br>infrastructure for<br>comprehensive health<br>services                 | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June 2027    | Sep 2027    | Kshs.<br>392.05 million | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 55 | Administration<br>planning,<br>policy and<br>management<br>support and<br>coordination<br>Human<br>resource | Midterm evaluation<br>of administration<br>planning, policy<br>and management<br>support and<br>coordination | - Efficiency in<br>data quality<br>management<br>- Stakeholders<br>joint planning and<br>reviews conducted                           | To improve<br>management of<br>the existing health<br>workforce through<br>capacity building and<br>motivation     | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June<br>2025 | Sep<br>2025 | Kshs.<br>112.6 million  | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 56 | Health care<br>subsidy for<br>health care<br>protection   | Mid-term<br>evaluation of<br>Health care subsidy<br>for health care<br>protection                            | Economically<br>disadvantaged<br>households<br>benefiting  | To increase<br>access tohealth<br>Services   | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June<br>2025 | Sep<br>2025 | Kshs.<br>51 million     | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 57 | Research and development  | Midterm evaluation<br>of research<br>policies developed<br>from operational<br>research                      | Informed policy<br>formulation and<br>implementation   | To improve decision<br>making through<br>evidence based<br>results that is reliable,<br>accessible & timely        | CEC Public<br>Health & Medical<br>Services                                    | June<br>2025 | Sep<br>2025 | Kshs.<br>6.45 million   | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 58 | Infrastructure<br>development<br>service  | Midterm evaluation<br>of infrastructure<br>development<br>services   | -Increased access,<br>retention, and<br>completion in EYE<br>centers<br>-Enhance access to<br>Education                              | To provide quality EYE<br>education and Child<br>care services to every<br>child in the county                     | CEC Education,<br>Human Capital<br>Development,<br>and Vocational<br>Training | June<br>2025 | Sep<br>2025 | Kshs.<br>148 million    | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 59 | Quality<br>assurance<br>services  | Midterm evaluation<br>of quality<br>assurance services   | Improved access<br>to quality EYE<br>teaching and<br>learning  | To provide quality EYE<br>education and Child<br>care services to every<br>child in the county                     | CEC Education,<br>Human Capital<br>Development,<br>and Vocational<br>Training | June<br>2025 | Sep<br>2025 | Kshs.<br>15.467 million | HBC/<br>Donor |



۲



۲




| 60 | Feeding and                 | Midterm evaluation                   | Improved nutrition                                    | To provide quality EYE               | CEC Education,                | June         | Sep         | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
|----|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|--------|
|    | nutrition<br>services       | of feeding and<br>nutrition services | of EYE Learners and retention                         | education and Child                  | Human Capital<br>Development, | 2025         | 2025        | 63.3 million  | Donor  |
|    |                             |                                      |   | care services to every               | and Vocational                |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | child in the county                  | Training                      |              |             |               |        |
| 61 | Infrastructure              | End term                             | - Increased access,                                   | To enhance access to                 | CEC Education,                | June         | Sep         | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
|    | development<br>services in  | evaluation of<br>infrastructure      | retention, and<br>completion in VTC                   | vocational education/                | Human Capital<br>Development, | 2027         | 2027        | 88.9 million  | Donor  |
|    | vocational                  | development                          | centers   | training and develop                 | and Vocational                |              |             |               |        |
|    | education/                  | services in VCTs                     | - Enhanced access                                     | skills, competences,                 | Training                      |              |             |               |        |
|    | training centers            |                                      | to Education  | knowledge and                        |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | right attitudes                      |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | towards improving                    |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | employments                          |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | prospects and                        |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | realizing potential.                 |                               |              |             |               |        |
| 62 | Quality                     | Midterm evaluation                   | Improved access                                       | To improve access to                 | CEC Education,                | June         | Sep         | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
|    | assurance<br>services       | of quality assurance services        | to quality teaching<br>and learning in                | quality EYE teaching                 | Human Capital<br>Development, | 2025         | 2025        | 24.35 million | Donor  |
|    | 301 11003                   | in VCTs                              | VTCs  | and learning                         | and Vocational                |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   |                                      | Training                      |              |             |               |        |
| 63 | Bursary and                 | Midterm evaluation                   | Increased access,                                     | To increase access,                  | CEC Education,                | June         | Sep         | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
|    | Scholarships<br>services    | of bursary and<br>scholarship        | retention and<br>completion                           | retention, and                       | Human Capital<br>Development, | 2025         | 2025        | 40 million    | Donor  |
|    | 301 11003                   | services                             | compiction  | completion of                        | and Vocational                |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | education                            | Training                      |              |             |               |        |
| 64 | Human capital               | Midterm evaluation                   | -Improved technical                                   | To improve technical                 | CEC Education,                | June         | Sep         | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
|    | development<br>services     | of human capital<br>development      | skills<br>-Built capacity                             | skills and capacity                  | Human Capital<br>Development, | 2025         | 2025        | 2.2 million   | Donor  |
|    | 00111000                    | services                             | Built Suparity  | building                             | and Vocational                |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   |                                      | Training                      |              |             |               |        |
| 65 | Research &                  | End term                             | Research and  | To increase access to                | CEC Education,                | June         | Sep         | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
|    | Innovation services         | evaluation of<br>research and        | innovation hubs                                       | education and training               | Human Capital<br>Development, | 2027         | 2027        | 10 million    | Donor  |
|    |                             | innovation hubs                      |   |                                      | and Vocational                |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             | developed                            |   |                                      | Training                      |              |             |               |        |
| 66 | Economic                    | Midterm evaluation of economic       | - Improved  | To provide leadership                | CEC Finance                   | June         | Sep<br>2025 | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
|    | planning and<br>development | planning and                         | planning, resource<br>allocation and                  | and coordination                     | and Economic<br>Planning      | 2025         | 2025        | 17.41 million | Donor  |
|    | services                    | development                          | community   | in planning,                         |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             | services                             | participation<br>for inclusive                        | resource allocation                  |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      | and sustainable                                       | and community                        |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      | development   | participation in                     |                               |              |             |               |        |
| 07 | <b>D</b>                    | <b></b>                              |   | development                          | 050 5                         |              | 0           |               |        |
| 67 | Resource<br>Allocation      | Midterm evaluation<br>of resource    | - A pool of officers<br>are properly trained          | To provide leadership                | CEC Finance<br>and Economic   | June<br>2025 | Sep<br>2025 | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
|    | Services                    | allocation services                  | on budgeting  | and coordination                     | Planning                      |              | 2020        | 5.5 million   | Donor  |
|    |                             |                                      | <ul> <li>Credible budget<br/>documents are</li> </ul> | in planning,                         |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      | generated   | resource allocation                  |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      | Č   | and community                        |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | participation in                     |                               |              |             |               |        |
| 68 | Public                      | Rapid evaluation of                  | - Improved  | development<br>To provide leadership | CEC Finance                   | June         | Sep         | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
| 00 | Public<br>Participation     | public participation                 | stakeholder   | and coordination                     | and Economic                  | 2027         | Sep<br>2027 | 6 million     | Donor  |
|    | Facilitation                | and facilitation                     | ownership of  | in planning,                         | Planning                      |              |             |               | DOIIOI |
|    | Services                    | services                             | planning and<br>budget processes                      | resource allocation                  |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      | augor processo  | and community                        |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | participation in                     |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | development                          |                               |              |             |               |        |
| 69 | Monitoring                  | Midterm evaluation                   | -Improved   | To provide the                       | CEC Finance                   | June         | Sep         | Kshs.         | HBC/   |
| 30 | and evaluation              | ofM&E services                       | framework for   | framework for                        | and Economic                  | 2025         | 2025        | 6.8 million   | Donor  |
|    | services                    | and                                  | enhanced service<br>delivery                          | improved service                     | Planning                      |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      | -   | delivery and better                  |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | development                          |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   | outcomes                             |                               |              |             |               |        |
|    |                             |                                      |   |                                      |                               |              |             |               |        |

# Page 125



۲



۲



۲



| 78 | Partnerships<br>and Projects<br>Implementation<br>Services              | Rapid evaluation<br>of partnerships<br>and projects<br>implementation<br>Services | - Active<br>involvement of<br>partners in county<br>development<br>- Effective<br>monitoring and<br>appraisal of county<br>projects | To provide strategic<br>support that would<br>scale up impact and<br>facilitate achievement<br>of the best possible<br>outcomes from<br>executive decisions | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2027 | Sep<br>2027 | Kshs.<br>4 million     | HBC/<br>Donor |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------|
| 79 | Communication<br>and Information<br>Services                            | Rapid evaluation<br>of Communication<br>and Information<br>Services               | All stakeholders<br>actively involved<br>in county<br>development<br>processes  | To enhance<br>involvement and<br>mobilization of<br>stakeholders<br>towards design,<br>implementation<br>and monitoring<br>of development<br>programmes     | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2027 | Sep<br>2027 | Kshs.<br>17.25 million | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 80 | Public<br>Participation<br>and<br>Stakeholder<br>Management<br>services | Rapid evaluation of<br>Public Participation<br>and Stakeholder<br>Management      | Enhanced<br>engagement of<br>county stakeholders<br>in county processes   | To enhance<br>involvement and<br>mobilization of<br>stakeholders<br>towards design,<br>implementation<br>and monitoring<br>of development<br>programmes     | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2027 | Sep<br>2027 | Kshs.<br>34.5 million  | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 81 | Inter-<br>governmental<br>Relations<br>and Liaison<br>Services          | Rapid evaluation of<br>Inter-governmental<br>Relations and<br>Liaison Services    | A vibrant<br>platform for<br>inter-government<br>consultations  | To enhance<br>participation of<br>stakeholders<br>towards design,<br>implementation<br>and monitoring<br>of development<br>programmes                       | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2027 | Sep<br>2027 | Kshs.<br>3.6 million   | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 82 | Field<br>Administration<br>and<br>Coordination<br>Services              | Rapid evaluation of<br>Field Administration<br>and Coordination<br>Services       | - Enhanced field<br>coordination<br>and response to<br>emergency<br>- Effective<br>operation of field<br>offices                    | To improve field<br>administration<br>and participation<br>of communities in<br>governance  | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2027 | Sep<br>2027 | Kshs.<br>25 million    | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 83 | Disaster<br>and Human<br>Services                                       | Rapid evaluation<br>of disaster and<br>human services                             | Effective response to all emergencies   | To respond promptly<br>and effectively to all<br>emergencies  | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2027 | Sep<br>2027 |                        | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 84 | Human<br>Resource<br>Management<br>Services                             | Rapid evaluation of<br>Human Resource<br>Management<br>Services                   | Improved efficiency<br>in Public Service<br>Delivery  | To provide necessary operational capacity   | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2027 | Sep<br>2027 | Kshs.<br>53.9 million  | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 85 | Performance<br>Contracting<br>and Appraisal<br>Services                 | Midterm evaluation<br>of Performance<br>Contracting                               | All officers put<br>on performance<br>contract  | To support<br>improvement in<br>service delivery and<br>coordinate manpower<br>planning   | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2025 | Sep<br>2025 | Kshs.<br>20 million    | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 86 | Capacity<br>building<br>services  | Midterm evaluation<br>of capacity building<br>services                            | Improved<br>effectiveness and<br>efficiency in service<br>delivery  | To support<br>improvement in<br>service delivery  | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2025 | Sep<br>2025 | Kshs.<br>2 million     | HBC/<br>Donor |



۲

Page 127

۲

08/08/2023 18:06:13

|    |   |  |   |  |   | (            |             |                      |               |
|----|---|--|---|--|---|--------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------|
| 87 | Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services             | End term<br>evaluation of<br>Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services             | -A transformed<br>county public<br>service<br>- A new office<br>block developed<br>for Public Service<br>Board Members<br>and the Secretariat   | To provide overall<br>policy and strategic<br>direction for the<br>transformation of<br>the County's public<br>service | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2027 | Sep<br>2027 | Kshs.<br>0.8 million | HBC/<br>Donor |
| 89 | Assembly<br>Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services | End term<br>evaluation<br>of Assembly<br>Infrastructure<br>Development<br>Services | -Rehabilitation and<br>extension of the<br>main assembly<br>offices<br>- Residential<br>accommodation for<br>the speaker<br>- Office space<br>for improved<br>representation<br>by the 40 elected<br>MCAs | To coordinate<br>institution-wide<br>service delivery  | CEC Finance<br>and Economic<br>Planning | June<br>2027 | Sep<br>2027 | Kshs.<br>29 million  | HBC/<br>Donor |





# ANNEX



## Annex 1: COUNTY FACT SHEET

(The County Factsheet should be aligned to the County Statistical Abstract)

| INFORMATION CATEGORY                  |                     |                           | COUNTY STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) | NATIONAL STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| County Area:                          |                     |                           |                                   |                                     |
| Total area (Km <sup>2</sup> )         |                     |                           | 3,150.2                           | 580,876.3                           |
| Non-arable land (Km <sup>2</sup> )    |                     |                           | 820                               |                                     |
| Arable land(Km <sup>2</sup> )         |                     |                           | 2,332.5                           |                                     |
| Size of gazetted forests (Ha)         |                     |                           | 29.6                              |                                     |
| Size of non-gazetted forests(Ha)      |                     |                           | 128                               |                                     |
| Approximate forest cover (%)          |                     |                           | 3.1                               |                                     |
| Water mass (Km <sup>2</sup> )         |                     |                           | 1,227                             |                                     |
| No. of rivers, lakes and wetlands pro | otected             |                           |                                   |                                     |
| Total urban areas (Km <sup>2</sup> )  |                     |                           |                                   |                                     |
| No. of quarry sites rehabilitated     |                     |                           |                                   |                                     |
| No. of climate change adaptation pr   | ojects/programmes   |                           |                                   |                                     |
|                                       |                     | AND CLIMATE               |                                   |                                     |
| Lowest altitude (metres)              |                     |                           | 1,163                             |                                     |
| Highest (metres)                      |                     |                           | 1,219                             |                                     |
| Temperature range:                    | High <sup>0</sup> C |                           | 34.8                              |                                     |
|                                       | Low <sup>0</sup> C  |                           | 17.1                              |                                     |
| Rainfall                              | High (mm)           |                           | 700                               |                                     |
|                                       | Low(mm)             |                           | 250                               |                                     |
| Average relative humidity (%)         |                     |                           | 68                                |                                     |
| Wind speed(Kilometres per hour/kno    | ots)                |                           | 15                                |                                     |
|                                       | •                   | IIC PROFILES              |                                   |                                     |
| Total population                      |                     |                           | 1208397                           | 150622914                           |
| Total Male population                 |                     |                           | 595184                            | 25104154                            |
| Total Female population               |                     |                           | 613213                            | 25518760                            |
| Total intersex Population             |                     |                           |                                   |                                     |
| Sex ratio (Male: Female)              |                     |                           |                                   |                                     |
| Projected Population                  |                     | Mid of plan period (2025) | 1278183                           | 32729164                            |
| End of plan period (2027)             |                     | 1327513                   | 34298077                          |                                     |
| Infant population (<1 year)           |                     | Female                    | 86301                             | 3138424                             |
| Male<br>Inter-sex                     |                     | 86754                     | 3162892                           |                                     |
| Total                                 |                     |                           |                                   |                                     |
|                                       |                     | 173055                    | 6301316                           |                                     |
| Population under five                 |                     | Female                    | 86301                             | 3138424                             |
| Male<br>Inter-sex                     |                     | 86754                     | 3162892                           |                                     |
| Total                                 |                     | 173,055                   | 6,301,316                         |                                     |
| Pre- Primary School population (3-    |                     | Female                    | 58,573                            | 1,605,522                           |
| 5) years<br>Male                      |                     | 60,643                    | 1,672,081                         |                                     |
| Inter-sex                             |                     |                           |                                   |                                     |
| Total                                 |                     | 119,246                   | 3,277,603                         |                                     |

۲

۲

08/08/2023 18:06:15

۲

| Primary school age group (6-13)                      |         | Female   |                               | 140,440    |                                     | 5,006,475 |
|--|---------|----------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| years<br>Male  |         | 139,864  |                               | 5,067,361  |                                     |           |
| Inter-sex<br>Total                                   |         |          |                               |            |                                     |           |
|  |         | 280,304  |                               | 10,073,836 |                                     |           |
| INFORMATION CATEGORY                                 |         |          | COUNTY STATIS<br>(AS AT 2022) | TICS       | NATIONAL STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) |           |
| Secondary school age group(14 -17) years             | Female  | 9        | 611                           | 77         | 223                                 | 32260     |
|  | Male    |          | 622                           | 21         | 232                                 | 24744     |
|  | Inter-s | ex       |                               |            |                                     |           |
|  | Total   |          | 1233                          | 398        | 45                                  | 57004     |
| School Going Population as per CBC Curriculum        |         |          |                               |            |                                     |           |
| Pre- Primary School population (3-<br>5) years       | Female  | 9        | 501                           | 92         | 18                                  | 56441     |
|  | Male    |          | 504                           | 64         | 1,88                                | 31,499    |
|  | Inter-s | ex       |                               |            |                                     |           |
|  | Total   |          | 100,                          |            |                                     | 35,102    |
| Primary school age group(6-12) Years                 | Female  | 9        | 121,                          |            |                                     | 30,679    |
|  | Male    |          | 121,                          | 369        | 4,43                                | 35,999    |
|  | Inter-s | ex       |                               |            |                                     |           |
|  | Total   |          | 243,                          |            |                                     | 16,678    |
| Junior Secondary School age group<br>(13 - 15) years | Female  | )        | 51,9                          |            |                                     | 01,473    |
|  | Male    |          | 51,9                          | 132        |                                     | 59,899    |
|  | Inter-s | ex       |                               |            |                                     | 102       |
|  | Total   |          | 103,9                         | 901        | 3,60                                | 61,474    |
| Senior Secondary School age group (16 - 18) years    | Female  | <u>)</u> | 38,9                          | 197        | 1,52                                | 27,206    |
|  | Male    |          | 40,4                          | 82         | 1,59                                | 91,302    |
|  | Inter-s | ex       |                               |            |                                     | 82        |
|  | Total   |          | 79,4                          | 79         | 3,1                                 | 18,590    |
| Youthful population (15-29)years                     | Female  | 9        | 201,                          |            |                                     | 70,392    |
|  | Male    |          | 195,                          | 015        | 7,6                                 | 14,374    |
|  | Inter-s | ex       |                               |            |                                     |           |
|  | Total   |          | 396,                          |            |                                     | 84,766    |
| Women of reproductive age(15- 49) years              |         |          | 306,                          |            |                                     | 09,824    |
| Labour force (15-65) years                           | Female  | )        | 336,                          |            |                                     | 79,666    |
|  | Male    |          | 325,                          | 503        | 15,0                                | 66,238    |
|  | Inter-s | ex       |                               |            |                                     |           |
|  | Total   |          | 662,                          |            |                                     | 45,904    |
| Aged population(65+)                                 | Female  | 9        | 22,1                          |            |                                     | 41,376    |
|  | Male    |          | 20,6                          | 517        | 93                                  | 9,807     |
|  | Inter-s | ex       |                               |            |                                     |           |
|  | Total   |          | 42,7                          |            |                                     | 31,183    |
| Population aged below15 years                        | Female  | 9        | 254,                          |            |                                     | 97,718    |
|  | Male    |          | 249,                          | 064        | 9,09                                | 98,109    |
|  | Inter-s | ex       |                               |            |                                     |           |
|  | Total   |          | 503,5                         | 330        | 18,2                                | 95,827    |



۲



۲



| Eligible Voting Population                      | Name of constituency             |         |            |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|------------|
|   | 1.Kasipul                        | 67,513  | 22,102,532 |
|   | 2.Kabondo Kasipul                | 59,910  | 22,102,532 |
|   | 3.Karachuonyo                    | 94,181  | 22,102,532 |
|   | 4.Rangwe                         | 58,886  | 22,102,532 |
|   | 5.Homabay Town                   | 58,335  | 22,102,532 |
|   | 6.Ndhiwa                         | 96,734  | 22,102,532 |
|   | 7.Suba North                     | 60,674  | 22,102,532 |
|   | 8.Suba South                     | 54,838  | 22,102,532 |
|   | Total (county)                   | 551,071 | 22,102,532 |
| No. of Urban(Market) Centres with population>2, |                                  | ,       |            |
|   | ban population (By Urban Centre) |         |            |
| Kendu Bay                                       | Female                           | 3144    | 7433955    |
|   | Male                             | 2920    | 7309839    |
|   | Intersex                         |         |            |
|   | Total                            | 6064    | 14744474   |
| Homa Bay  | Female                           | 23507   | 7433955    |
|   | Male                             | 21440   | 7309839    |
|   | Inter-Sex                        |         |            |
|   | Total                            | 44949   | 14744474   |
| Oyugis  | Female                           | 10661   | 7433955    |
|   | Male                             | 9286    | 7309839    |
|   | Inter-sex                        |         |            |
|   | Total                            | 19947   | 14744474   |
| Mbita   | Female                           | 7748    | 7433955    |
|   | Male                             | 7166    | 7309839    |
|   | Inter-sex                        |         |            |
|   | Total                            | 14916   | 14744474   |
| Rodi Kopany                                     | Female                           | 4362    | 7433955    |
|   | Male                             | 3760    | 7309839    |
|   | Inter-sex                        |         |            |
|   | Total                            | 8122    | 14744474   |
| Ndhiwa  | Female                           | 2580    | 7433955    |
|   | Male                             | 2182    | 7309839    |
|   | Inter-sex                        |         |            |
|   | Total                            | 4762    | 14744474   |
| Nyandiwa Beach                                  | Female                           | 2084    | 7433955    |
|   | Male                             | 1949    | 7309839    |
|   | Inter-sex                        |         |            |
|   | Total                            | 4033    | 14744474   |
| Sindo   | Female                           | 5420    | 7433955    |
|   | Male                             | 4866    | 7309839    |
|   | Inter-sex                        |         |            |
|   | Total                            | 10285   | 14744474   |



۲



| INFORMATION CATEGORY                     |                             | COUNTY STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) | NATIONAL STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Rural population                         | Female                      | 532861                            | 16535833                            |
|  | Male                        | 485991                            | 16195922                            |
|  | Inter-sex                   | 19                                |                                     |
|  | Total                       | 1,018,871                         | 32,732,596                          |
| Population Density (persons              | Homa Bay                    | 688.5                             | 87.15                               |
| perkm2) by Sub-county                    | Ndhiwa                      | 326.4                             | 87.15                               |
|  | Rangwe                      | 458.5                             | 87.15                               |
|  | Rachuonyo South             | 545.3                             | 87.15                               |
|  | Rachuonyo North             | 438.1                             | 87.15                               |
|  | Rachuonyo East              | 518.3                             | 87.15                               |
|  | Suba North                  | 328.2                             | 87.15                               |
|  | Suba South                  | 206.0                             | 87.15                               |
| Incidence of landlessness (%)            |                             |                                   |                                     |
| Percentage of farmers with title deeds ( | %)                          |                                   |                                     |
| Mean holding size (in Acres)             |                             | 275,681                           |                                     |
| Labour force by sector (No.)             | Agriculture: Male           | 210,001                           |                                     |
|  | Female                      |                                   |                                     |
|  | Intersex                    |                                   |                                     |
|  | Rural self-employment: Male | 164,507                           | 6,625,973                           |
|  | nurai sen-employment. Male  | 104,307                           | 0,023,973                           |
|  | Female                      | 213,371                           | 7,247,112                           |
|  | Intersex                    |                                   |                                     |
|  | Urban self-employment: Male | 20,732                            | 3,163,985                           |
|  |                             |                                   |                                     |
|  | Female                      | 20,507                            | 2,639,726                           |
|  | Intersex                    |                                   |                                     |
|  | Wage employment: Male       |                                   | 1,765,400                           |
|  | Female                      |                                   | 1,141,900                           |
|  | Intersex                    |                                   |                                     |
| Unemployment levels (%)                  | Male                        | 258,225                           | 9,044,599                           |
|  | Female                      | 265,535                           | 9,882,589                           |
|  | Intersex                    |                                   |                                     |
|  | Total                       | 523,766                           | 18,927,188                          |
| Total number of households               |                             | 265,945                           | 12,043,016                          |
| Average household size                   |                             | 4.5                               | 3.9                                 |
| Female headed households (%)             |                             | 0.48                              |                                     |
| Child headed households (%)              |                             | 2,041                             | 68,330                              |
| Children with special needs              | Male                        | 17,050                            | 394,330                             |
|  | Female                      | 25,130                            | 523,883                             |
|  | Intersex                    | 20,100                            | 010,000                             |
|  | Total                       | 42,181                            | 918,270                             |
| Children in labour (No)                  | Male                        | 7,984                             | 718,099                             |
|  | Female                      | 7,904                             | 622,000                             |
|  |                             |                                   | 52                                  |
|  | Intersex                    | 1 15 775                          |                                     |
|  | Total                       | 15,775                            | 1,340,151                           |



۲





| Number of PWDs                                 | Visual  | 16,852                | 333,520 |
|--|---|-----------------------|---------|
|  | Hearing   | 8,388                 | 153,361 |
|  | Speech  | 3,708                 | 111,355 |
|  | Physical  | 17,523                | 385,416 |
|  | Mental  | 10,329                | 212,797 |
|  | Other   | 4,783                 | 139,928 |
|  | Total   | 61,583                | 916,692 |
| Orphans and Vulnerable children (OVCs) (No.)   |   |                       |         |
| Number of street Families                      |   |                       |         |
| Orphanages(No.)                                |   |                       |         |
| Rescue centres (No.)                           |   |                       |         |
| Gender Protection Units (No.)                  |   |                       |         |
| Correction/rehabilitation facilities (No.)     |   |                       |         |
| POVERTYINDICATORS                              |   |                       |         |
| Absolute poverty (%)                           |   |                       |         |
| Rural poor (%)                                 |   |                       |         |
| Food poverty (%)                               |   |                       |         |
| Contribution to National Poverty (%)           |   |                       |         |
| HEALTH   |   |                       |         |
| Five most common diseases(in order of prevaler | nce) Out Patient Diseases   | Malaria               | Malaria |
| Disease of the skin<br>Diarrhoea               |   | Disease of the skin   |         |
| Hypertension                                   |   | Arthritis, Joint Pain |         |
| Arthritis, Joint Pain                          |   | Diarrhoea             |         |
|  |   | Hypertension          |         |
| Infant Mortality Rate (IMR)/1000               |   | 57.5                  | 35.5    |
| Neo-Natal Mortality Rate (NNMR)/1000           |   |                       |         |
| Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR/100,000)          |   | 516                   | 355     |
| Post Neo-Natal Mortality Rate (PNNMR)/1000     |   |                       |         |
| Child Mortality Rate (CMR)/1000                |   |                       |         |
| Under Five Mortality Rate (U5MR)/1000          |   | 92.2                  | 52      |
| Prevalence of stunting (Height for Age)        |   | 32.1                  | 29.9    |
| Prevalence of wasting (Weight for Height)      |   | 4.4                   | 6.7     |
| Prevalence of underweight(Weight for Age)      |   | 10.1                  | 13.0    |
| Life expectancy                                | Male  | 50.5                  | 60.6    |
|  | Female  | 60.2                  | 66.5    |
| Health Facilities (No.)                        |   |                       |         |
| Hospitals                                      | By Sub-county   |                       |         |
|  | Kabondo Kasipul, Mbita, Homa Bay<br>Town , Rachuonyo South, Karachuonyo,<br>Suba South , Rangwe, Ndhiwa | 3                     |         |
|  | Mbita   | 4                     |         |
|  | Homa Bay Town   | 5                     |         |
|  | Rachuonyo South   | 4                     |         |
|  | Karachuonyo   | 4                     |         |
|  | Suba South  | 5                     |         |
|  | Rangwe  | 4                     |         |
|  | Ndhiwa  | 2                     |         |
|  |   | -                     |         |

HB CIDP 2023 two.indd 133

۲



|                        | ····   |    |  |
|------------------------|--|----|--|
| Health Centres         | Kabondo Kasipul, Mbita, Homa Bay<br>Town, Rachuonyo South, Karachuonyo,<br>Suba South, Rangwe, Ndhiwa    | 21 |  |
|                        | Mbita  | 10 |  |
|                        | Homa Bay Town  | 14 |  |
|                        | Rachuonyo South  | 9  |  |
|                        | Karachuonyo  | 11 |  |
|                        | Suba South   | 15 |  |
|                        | Rangwe   | 6  |  |
|                        | Ndhiwa   | 5  |  |
| Dispensaries           | Kabondo Kasipul, Mbita, Homa Bay<br>Town, Rachuonyo South , Karachuonyo,<br>Suba South , Rangwe, Ndhiwa  | 8  |  |
|                        | Mbita  | 20 |  |
|                        | Homa Bay Town  | 10 |  |
|                        | Rachuonyo South  | 13 |  |
|                        | Karachuonyo  | 31 |  |
|                        | Suba South   | 16 |  |
|                        | Rangwe   | 18 |  |
|                        | Ndhiwa   | 34 |  |
| Private Clinics        | Kabondo Kasipul, Mbita, Homa Bay<br>Town , Rachuonyo South , Karachuonyo,<br>Suba South , Rangwe, Ndhiwa | 3  |  |
|                        | Mbita  | 5  |  |
|                        | Homa Bay Town  | 6  |  |
|                        | Rachuonyo South  | 3  |  |
|                        | Karachuonyo  | 1  |  |
|                        | Suba South   | 0  |  |
|                        | Rangwe   | 1  |  |
|                        | Ndhiwa   | 4  |  |
| Nursing Homes          | Kabondo Kasipul, Mbita, Homa Bay<br>Town , Rachuonyo South , Karachuonyo,<br>Suba South , Rangwe, Ndhiwa | 1  |  |
|                        | Mbita  | 0  |  |
|                        | Homa Bay Town  | 0  |  |
|                        | Rachuonyo South  | 3  |  |
|                        | Karachuonyo  | 1  |  |
|                        | Suba South   | 0  |  |
|                        | Rangwe   | 1  |  |
|                        | Ndhiwa   | 2  |  |
| Maternity Bed capacity | Kabondo Kasipul, Mbita, Homa Bay<br>Town , Rachuonyo South , Karachuonyo<br>Suba South, Rangwe, Ndhiwa   |    |  |
|                        | Mbita  |    |  |
|                        | Homa Bay Town  |    |  |
|                        | Rachuonyo South  |    |  |
|                        | Karachuonyo  |    |  |
|                        | Suba South   |    |  |
|                        | Rangwe   |    |  |
|                        | Ndhiwa   |    |  |
| Youth friendly centres | Sub-county 1   |    |  |
|                        | Sub-county 2   |    |  |
|                        |  |    |  |



۲



| Health Facility Bed Capacity9 Beds Available) | Kabondo Kasipul, Mbita, Homa Bay<br>Town , Rachuonyo South , Karachuonyo,<br>Suba South , Rangwe, Ndhiwa | 67  |
|---|--|-----|
|   | Mbita  | 234 |
|   | Homa Bay Town  | 358 |
|   | Rachuonyo South  | 368 |
|   | Karachuonyo  | 286 |
|   | Suba South   | 259 |
|   | Rangwe   | 167 |
|   | Ndhiwa   | 132 |
| ICU Beds                                      | Homa Bay Town  | 10  |
|   | Sub-county 2   |     |
| Doctor/patient ratio                          | Sub-county 1   |     |
|   | Sub-county 2   |     |
| Nurse/patient ratio                           | Sub-county 1   |     |
|   | Sub-county 2   |     |
| Clinical Officers                             | Sub-county 1   |     |
|   | Sub-county 2   |     |

| INFORMATION CATEGORY   |              | COUNTY STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) | NATIONAL STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Laboratory Technicians   | Sub-county 1 |                                   |                                     |
|  | Sub-county 2 |                                   |                                     |
| HIV prevalence (%)   | '            | 16.2                              |                                     |
| Patients on ARVs (No.)   |              | 123,849                           | 1,294,498                           |
| Average Distance to Health facility (km)                                 |              |                                   |                                     |
| Antenatal Care (ANC) (%)   |              | 95.2                              | 91.7                                |
| Health Facility Deliveries (%)   |              | 74.1                              | 79.5                                |
| Registered traditional herbalists and medicine-men (No.)                 |              |                                   |                                     |
| Contraceptive use by women of reproductive age (15-49 yrs.) (%)          |              | 40                                | 35                                  |
| Immunization coverage(%)   |              | 81.1                              | 88.2                                |
| CHVs (No.)   |              | 2954                              |                                     |
| Crude Birth rate   |              | 47.7/1000                         | 45.2/1000                           |
| Crude death rate   |              | 8.7                               | 10.5                                |
| AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK&FISHERIES   |              |                                   |                                     |
| Crop Farming   |              |                                   |                                     |
| Average farm size (Small scale) (acres)                                  |              | 2.5                               | 8,432,133                           |
| Average farm size (Large scale) (acres)                                  |              | 7.0                               | 961,501                             |
| Main Crops Produced  |              |                                   |                                     |
| Food crops: Maize, Beans, Sorghum, Sweet potatoes, Cassava, Green gran   | ns, G/nuts   |                                   |                                     |
| Cash crops: Cotton, Pineapples, Sugar cane, Sun flowers, Banana, tea and | coffee       |                                   |                                     |
| Total acreage under food crops (acres)                                   |              | 172,536                           |                                     |
| Total acreage under cash crops (acres)                                   |              | 25,314                            |                                     |
| Main storage facilities (Maize cribs, store and warehouses)              |              |                                   |                                     |
| Extension officer farmer ratio: 1:3500                                   |              |                                   |                                     |
| Livestock Farming  |              |                                   |                                     |



۲

۲



| Number of livestock                     | Dairy Cattle                            |                             | 15095                             | 2,209,980                           |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|   | Beef Cattle                             |                             | 7236                              | 559,174                             |
|   | Goats                                   |                             | 179555                            | 13,005,664                          |
|   | Sheep                                   |                             | 148374                            | 19,307,445                          |
|   | Camel                                   |                             | 0                                 | 4,640,085                           |
|   | Donkey                                  |                             | 14046                             | 1,176,374                           |
|   | Poultry                                 |                             | 1,013,957                         | 38,816,238                          |
|   | Others                                  |                             | 17,756                            | 1,898,013                           |
| Number of Ranches                       |   |                             | 0                                 |                                     |
| Extension officer famer ratio           |   |                             | 1:4,500                           |                                     |
| Irrigation Infrastructure               |   |                             |                                   |                                     |
| Irrigation schemes                      | Small (<5Acres)                         |                             |                                   |                                     |
|   | Large (>5Acres)                         |                             |                                   |                                     |
| Type of Livestock, Population and Value | Type of Livestock, Population and Value |                             |                                   |                                     |
| Dairy cattle                            |   | Quantity (Total Population) |                                   |                                     |
| Value (Kshs.)                           |   | 66,500                      |                                   |                                     |
| Beef cattle                             |   | Quantity (Total Population) | 350,795                           |                                     |
| Value (Kshs.)                           |   | 50,000                      |                                   |                                     |
| Goat<br>Value (Kshs.)                   |   | Quantity (Total Population) | 516,204                           |                                     |
|   |   | 50,000                      |                                   |                                     |
| Sheep<br>Value (Kshs.)                  |   | Quantity (Total Population) | 259,313                           |                                     |
|   |   | 4,500                       |                                   |                                     |
| INFORMATION CATEGORY                    |   |                             | COUNTY STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) | NATIONAL STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) |

|   | 4,500                          |                                   |                                     |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| INFORMATION CATEGORY                        |                                | COUNTY STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) | NATIONAL STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) |
| Camel<br>Value (Kshs.)                      | Quantity (Total<br>Population) | 0                                 |                                     |
|   | 0                              |                                   |                                     |
| Livestock Products and Their Value (Annual) |                                |                                   |                                     |
| Milk  | Quantity (Ltrs.)               | 20,064072.5                       |                                     |
| Value (Kshs.)                               | 80                             |                                   |                                     |
| Beef  | Quantity (Kgs)                 | 4,466,261                         |                                     |
| Value (Kshs.)                               | 500                            |                                   |                                     |
| Mutton                                      | Quantity (Kgs)                 | 586,289                           |                                     |
| Value (Kshs.)                               | 500                            |                                   |                                     |
| Chicken meat                                | Quantity (Kgs)                 | 61,156,583                        |                                     |
| Value (Kshs.)                               | 600                            |                                   |                                     |
| Honey                                       | Quantity (Kg.)                 | 368,715                           |                                     |
| Value (Kshs.)                               | 1,000                          |                                   |                                     |
| Hides                                       | Quantity (kg.)                 |                                   |                                     |
| Value (Kshs.)                               |                                |                                   |                                     |
| Eggs  | Quantity (Trays)               | 2,756,427                         |                                     |
| Value (Kshs.)                               | 400                            |                                   |                                     |
| FISHERIES                                   |                                |                                   |                                     |
| Fish traders (No.)                          |                                | 59,600                            | 376,800                             |
| Fish farm families (No.)                    |                                | 2,412                             | 28,944                              |
| Fish ponds(No.)                             |                                | 3,226                             | 38,712                              |
| Fish Tanks (No.)                            |                                | 627                               | 10,032                              |
| Area of fish ponds (m <sup>2</sup> )        |                                | 967,800                           | 11,613,600                          |





| Main appaios of fish catch (list u             | with                                   | Nile Perch                          | 9,362     | 25,405    |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Main species of fish catch (list with tonnage) |  | 7,588                               |           | 25,405    |
| Omena  |  |                                     | 17,693    |           |
| Tilapia  |  | 4,070                               | 12,989    | 40.070    |
|  |  | Others                              | 2,674     | 13,370    |
| Fishing nets (No.)                             |  |                                     | 1,595,952 | 7,979,760 |
| No. of fish landing sites                      |  |                                     | 152       | 746       |
| No. of Beach Management Units                  | <b>i</b>                               |                                     | 141       | 578       |
| OIL ANDMINERALRESOURCES                        |  |                                     |           |           |
| Mineral and Oil potential (explain             | n)                                     |                                     |           |           |
| Ongoing mining and extraction a                | activities (Quarry, sand harvesting, c | ement etc.)                         |           |           |
| FORESTRY                                       |  |                                     |           |           |
| No. of gazetted forests                        |  |                                     |           |           |
| No. of non-gazetted forests                    |  |                                     |           |           |
| No. of community forests                       |  |                                     |           |           |
| Main forest products (Timber, fu               | el and poles)                          |                                     |           |           |
| Forestry products' value chain development     |  |                                     |           |           |
| Incidences of environmental three              | eats (Loss of biodiversity, drought, f | loods, Forest fires, Deforestation) |           |           |
| No. of people engaged in forestr               | у                                      |                                     |           |           |
| Seedling production                            | Forest Nurseries (No. of seedlings     | ;)                                  |           |           |
|  | Private Nurseries (No. of seedling     | s)                                  |           |           |
| Quantity of timber produced(m <sup>3</sup> )   |  |                                     |           |           |
| EDUCATION ANDTRAINING                          |  |                                     |           |           |
| Pre-Primary School                             |  |                                     |           |           |
| No. of ECD centres                             |  |                                     | 886       |           |
| No. of ECD teachers                            |  |                                     | 1,688     |           |
| Teacher/pupil ratio                            |  |                                     | 1:50      |           |
| Total Enrolment                                | Girls                                  |                                     | 42,668    |           |
|  | Boys                                   |                                     | 42,932    |           |

| INFORMATION CATEGORY                                      |         | COUNTY STATISTICS (AS<br>AT 2022) | NATIONAL STATISTICS (AS<br>AT 2022) |      |
|---|---------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|
| Average years of attendance                               | (years) |                                   |                                     |      |
| Primary Schools   |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| Number of primary schools                                 |         |                                   | 881                                 |      |
| Number of teachers  |         |                                   |                                     | 9256 |
| Teacher/pupil ratio                                       |         |                                   | 1:31                                |      |
| Total enrolment   | Boys    |                                   | 147,114                             |      |
|   | Girls   |                                   | 141,850                             |      |
| Dropout rate %  |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| Enrolment rate %  |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| Retention rate %  |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| Proportion of community nearest to public primary 0 – 1Km |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| school<br>1.1 – 4.9Km                                     |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| 5Kmand more   |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| Special Needs Schools                                     |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| Number of Special Needs Schools                           |         | 131                               |                                     |      |
| No. of Integrated Schools                                 |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| Number of teachers  |         |                                   |                                     |      |
| Teacher/pupil ratio                                       |         |                                   |                                     |      |





۲

| Ŏ |  |
|---|--|

| Total enrolment                                    | Boys                    |                                     |        |      |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------|
|  | Girls                   |                                     |        |      |
| Dropout rate %                                     |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Enrolment rate %                                   |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Retention rate %                                   |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Secondary Schools                                  |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Number of secondary schools                        |                         | 334                                 |        |      |
| Number of teachers                                 |                         |                                     |        | 2635 |
| Teacher/student ratio                              |                         |                                     | 1:34   |      |
| Total enrolment                                    | Boys                    |                                     |        |      |
|  | Girls                   |                                     | 41,676 |      |
| Dropout rate %                                     |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Enrolment rate %                                   |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Retention rate %                                   |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Proportion of community nearest to put             | plic secondary school   | 0 – 1Km                             |        |      |
| 1.1 – 4.9Km<br>5 Km and more                       |                         |                                     |        |      |
|  |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Vocational Training Centres                        |                         | No.<br>3001                         | 34     |      |
| Attendance   | Enrolment<br>Attendance |                                     |        |      |
|  |                         | 2707                                |        |      |
| Tertiary Education (accredited public and private) |                         | No. of TVETS                        | 1      |      |
| No. of universities                                |                         | 1                                   |        |      |
| Enrolment (desegregate by sex)<br>Attendance       |                         |                                     |        |      |
|  |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Adult Literacu                                     |                         | Number of adult literatu            |        |      |
| Adult Literacy<br>Enrolment                        |                         | Number of adult literacy<br>centres |        |      |
| Attendance   |                         |                                     |        |      |
|  |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Literacy rate (%)<br>Female<br>Total               |                         | Male                                |        |      |
|  |                         |                                     |        |      |
|  |                         |                                     |        |      |
| Ability to read                                    |                         | Can read (%)                        |        |      |
| Cannot read (%)                                    |                         |                                     |        |      |

| INFORMATION CATEGORY                  |                           | COUNTY STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) | NATIONAL STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ability to write                      | Can write (%)             |                                   |                                     |
|                                       | Cannot write (%)          |                                   |                                     |
| Ability to read and write             | Can read and write (%)    |                                   |                                     |
|                                       | Cannot read and write (%) |                                   |                                     |
| Percentage of schools with access to: | Electricity               |                                   |                                     |
|                                       | Internet                  |                                   |                                     |
|                                       | Computers                 |                                   |                                     |
| TOURISMAND WILDLIFE                   |                           |                                   |                                     |
| Hotels by category (No.)              | Five star                 | 0                                 |                                     |
|                                       | Four star                 | 0                                 |                                     |
|                                       | Three star                | 0                                 |                                     |
|                                       | Two star                  | 1                                 |                                     |
|                                       | One star                  | 0                                 |                                     |



۲



|   | Unclassified            | 15      |  |
|---|-------------------------|---------|--|
| Hotel bed capacity by category                  | Five star               | 0       |  |
| (No.)   | Four star               | 0       |  |
|   | Three star              | 0       |  |
|   | Two star                | 0       |  |
|   | One star                | 0       |  |
|   | Unclassified            | 0       |  |
| Animal Types ((No.)                             | Elephants               | 0       |  |
|   | Rhino                   | 14      |  |
|   | Lion                    | 0       |  |
|   | Leopards                | 5       |  |
|   | Others                  | 0       |  |
| Number of Wildlife                              | Game parks              | 1       |  |
| Conservation Areas (No.)                        | Reserves                | 0       |  |
|   | Conservancies           | 0       |  |
|   | Game ranches            | 0       |  |
| Number of tourists visiting attraction sites,   | Domestic                | 3680    |  |
| annually (No.)                                  | Foreign                 | 10      |  |
| Museums (list)                                  |                         |         |  |
| Heritage and Cultural sites (No.)               |                         | 3       |  |
| Social amenities                                |                         |         |  |
| Talent Academies (No.)                          |                         | 0       |  |
| Sports stadia (No.)                             |                         | 1       |  |
| Libraries /information documentation centres (I | No.)                    | 0       |  |
| Social halls/Recreation Centres (No)            |                         | 0       |  |
| Public Parks (No)                               |                         | 1       |  |
| FINANCIALSERVICES                               |                         |         |  |
| Number of co-operative societies                |                         |         |  |
| Active cooperative societies(No.)               |                         | 160     |  |
| Dormant cooperatives societies (No.)            |                         | 100     |  |
| Collapsed Cooperatives (No.)                    |                         | 150     |  |
| Total Registered Membership (No.)               |                         | 175,419 |  |
| Commercial banks(No.)                           |                         | 6       |  |
| Micro-finance Institutions (No.)                |                         | 10      |  |
| Mobile money agents (No.)                       |                         | 0       |  |
| Village Savings and Loan Associations (No.)     |                         | 0       |  |
| Community Organizations/Non-State Actors        |                         |         |  |
| Public Benefits Organizations (PBOs)            | NGOs                    |         |  |
|   | CBOs                    |         |  |
|   | FBOs                    |         |  |
|   | special interest groups |         |  |
| BLUEECONOMY                                     | opoolar interest groups |         |  |
| Total Area under marine protection              |                         |         |  |
| Total area of marine reserves                   |                         |         |  |
| ENVIRONMENTALMANAGEMENT                         |                         |         |  |
| Volume of solid waste generated: Daily/Annual   |                         |         |  |
| Volume of solid waste collected &Disposed: Dai  | ilv/Annual              |         |  |
| Proportion of waste recycled                    |                         |         |  |
| No. of Material Recovery Facilities             |                         |         |  |
| NO. OF MALEHAI NECOVELY FACILILIES              |                         |         |  |

۲

۲

HOMA BAY COUNTY CIDP



08/08/2023 18:06:31

۲

| No. of Waste Management Facilities  |                              |      |      |
|---|------------------------------|------|------|
| WATER AND SANITATION  |                              |      |      |
| Households with access to piped water (No.)                                       |                              |      |      |
| Households with access to portable water(No.)                                     |                              |      |      |
| Permanent rivers (No.)  |                              |      |      |
| Shallow wells (No.)   |                              |      |      |
| Protected springs (No.)   |                              |      |      |
| Un-protected springs (No.)  |                              |      |      |
| Water pans (No.)  |                              |      |      |
| Dams (No.)  |                              |      |      |
| Boreholes (No.)   | 1                            |      |      |
| Distribution of Households by<br>Main Source of water (%)                         | Piped into dwelling          | 1.0  | 10.1 |
|   | Piped                        | 2.0  | 14.1 |
|   | Rain/harvested               | 15.5 | 3.9  |
|   | Borehole                     | 12.5 | 9.9  |
|   | Protected well               | 7.1  | 7.0  |
|   | Protected spring             | 7.3  | 7.1  |
|   | Unprotected well             | 2.2  | 2.6  |
|   | Unprotected spring           | 2.8  | 2.4  |
|   | Stream                       | 20.8 | 16.8 |
|   | Water Vendor                 | 3.0  | 8.5  |
|   | Dam                          | 16.3 | 3.3  |
|   | Pond                         | 2.9  | 1.6  |
|   | Lake                         | 16.3 | 3.3  |
| Water supply schemes (No.)  |                              |      |      |
| Average distance to nearest water point (km)                                      | 0                            |      |      |
| Households distribution by time taken (minutes, one way) to fetch drinking water: |                              |      |      |
| ······································  | 1-4                          |      |      |
|   | 5-14                         |      |      |
|   | 15-29                        |      |      |
|   | 30-59                        |      |      |
| No. of Water Descures Lizer Associations (WDUA)                                   | 60+                          |      |      |
| No. of Water Resource User Associations (WRUA) established                        |                              |      |      |
| Households with latrines  | Flush toilet                 | 1.2  |      |
|   | VIP Latrine                  | 10.1 |      |
|   | Uncovered Pit Latrine        | 14.7 |      |
|   | Bucket                       | 0.6  |      |
|   | None                         | 10.2 |      |
| Community distribution by type of waste/gar-<br>bage disposal (percent):          | Collected by local Authority | 1.2  |      |
|   | Collected by Private firm    | 0.5  |      |

| INFORMATION CATEGORY         | COUNTY STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) | NATIONAL STATISTICS<br>(AS AT 2022) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Private couriers (No.)       |                                   | 901                                 |
| Post Offices(No.)            |                                   | 623                                 |
| Licensed stamp vendors (No.) |                                   |                                     |
| TRADEANDINDUSTRY             |                                   |                                     |



۲





| Trading centres (with>2000population) (No.) |  |
|---|--|
| Registered retail traders (No.)             |  |
| Registered wholesale traders(No.)           |  |
| Jua kali Associations (No.)                 |  |
| Major industries (No.)                      |  |
| Micro, Small and Medium Enterprise (No.)    |  |
| Flood lights/street lights (No.)            |  |
| No of Market Stalls                         |  |
| Disaster Management                         |  |
| Fire engines (No)                           |  |
| Fire stations (No)                          |  |
| Fire fighters (No)                          |  |
| Ambulance (No)                              |  |





۲